

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/16/2015 8:33:16	Peter	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Balancing day and night useage. The savings suggested are nowhere near those proposed. I am encouraging my friends not to go solar especially now that the connection fees are increasing and the unit cost is decreasing. This helps reduce the losses associated with the 44c but those on 6c have been caught in the middle and have lost.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Yearly	I am feeding my power to my next door neighbours during the day and they are being charged 26 c/kWhr compared to the 6c I am receiving. There is not much infrastructure between my house and theirs. The whole idea of solar was to produce clean energy and the 44c initially offered was excessive and now those on 6c are making up the shortfall.
11/16/2015 8:29:18	malcolm	Yes	44c	30c-40c	maintenance as unit get older	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		expence on initial setup to assist energy companies reduce load on power stations	current contracts should be honoured and cut the buy back out completely
11/16/2015 8:19:31	Marcus	Yes	44c	other: The same rate that retailers buy GREEN electricity on the wholesale market, with a small premium based on independent analysis of its true value to the network purchasers.	Being made the scapegoat for networks rising electricity prices. ALL power consumers need a FAIR and transparent tariff system that supports reduced energy usage and energy efficient. Ultimately we need a political and tariff framework that promotes the most efficient technical solution of solar with storage, supported by a grid.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	The ability for certain stakeholders to create an US and Them mentality based on mistruths	If FIT is linked to wholsale tariffs, reviews need only be on a 3 year basis	
11/16/2015 8:02:16	Paul	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The govt renegeing on our contracts	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every year after the contract ends	
11/16/2015 7:54:34	Richard	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	The daily charge for being on the grid.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	The added complexity of various power sources feeding into the grid.	When other supply prices are reviewed	We are not being paid a fair price for the power we are supplying when there is high demand (when air-conditioning demand is high). Look at the prices that are charged at these peak times for comparison.
11/16/2015 5:32:10	Ross	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Unfettered power company price hikes!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		As often as changes are allowed to increase in provision.	Government to provide security of investment for transition to renewables!
11/15/2015 22:58:07	Joanne	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Extra charges for metering, threats of charging if we go off-grid	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Every three years	A fair price should be offered for existing and new customers to encourage continued uptake of solar for the benefit of the environment and the planet as a whole.
11/15/2015 18:50:29	Rob	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Uncertainty of government support unfair price on use of the grid	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Less \$ for corporations	annually	I'm proud of our states rooftop solar please support it for a clean future
11/15/2015 17:47:08	Roger	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Reducing feedback rates for excess solar generated power back to the grid	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		annually	
11/15/2015 17:42:16	Jon	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Unrealistic feed in prices and the lack of reasonably priced and warranted battery storage systems.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Same time as changes to grid prices	Unrealistic and unfair.
11/15/2015 17:38:12	Jon	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Feed in prices and availability of realistically priced and warranted battery storage.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Whenever grid power is	
11/15/2015 15:50:05	Marg	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	paying off money borrowed for the solar system. would like to be able to store energy for night time use and forget the feed in tariff	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		every 2 - 3 years	
11/15/2015 15:45:39	John	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Being ripped off by electricity providers	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		2 years	
11/15/2015 15:01:01	Sandra	Yes	other: 11c	20c-30c	Battling to get a high enough feed in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually	The current feed in price is not sufficiently high enough to encourage people to make such a large investment in Solar, nor was it fair to reduce the price paid once people had made that investment.
11/14/2015 0:39:04	Marta	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	insecurity about the guaranteed return on a substantial investment, e.g. feed in tariff rates	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		yearly	
11/13/2015 22:09:35	Graeme	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	There should be no retrospective review feed in tariff of people who put solar on their roofs. It has cost a lot of money to install and we are feeding back plenty of power. If a property is sold I agree to a reduction of the feed in tariff But I feel any existing agreement/contract should stay in place...	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	It should stay as it is... New solar people should get the same rate as they buy and if any increase in the solar price of feed in should increase
11/13/2015 21:55:59	Russ	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Maintaining systems (cleaning, repairs) if inadequate price for contribution is not paid.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Making It necessary to review the whole system of providing electricity to households	biannually	It should be of a level to provide an economic return plus be an incentive to maintain existing systems into the future
11/13/2015 20:46:06	Judy	Yes	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Recouping initial price expenditure.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every year	
11/13/2015 20:44:02	Michael	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Poles and wire charges, the price energy companies pay for the solar power that we provide them, no power over the costs, product quality, loss of tariff if move house/alter setup, cost of power storage and unused potential of solar.	Yes		Other	All (cheaper energy, environmental benefits, safer & more reliable).	Other	Nil.	Yearly	Some feed in tariffs are too low.
11/13/2015 20:01:16	Douglas	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Uncertainty over tariffs. Government's lack of commitment to renewable energy. Subsidies to oil and gas companies.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	No negative impacts	every 4 years	It should be increased back to 44 cents to encourage more renewable energy. Our coal fired (any non-renewable) power should have a carbon tax placed on it.
11/13/2015 19:53:04	Kevin	Yes	other: 52c	other: Based on savings in construction of generators spread over 15 years	Extending their current array and maintaining rebate/feed in tariff level; ceiling structures and alignment in older homes; wear and tear.	Other	Needs to be safe storage. e.g. Tesla	Other	Both Environmental and financial during economic turndown	Other	Supply cost to those who can't afford the capital cost	Fixed Five yearly unless dramatic downturn	Build more large scale wind and solar generators
11/13/2015 18:26:04	Eddie	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Fair return for the price of installation.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 3 years	
11/13/2015 18:21:35	Chris	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Service charges from the retailers. IT's robbery!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 12 months	Too much was offered in the initial stages and not enough now. It's a ridiculous gap.
11/13/2015 18:10:39	Matthew	Yes	other: 50	40c-50c	Putting the price of being connected to the grid.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	The power company hate the idea of us making our own power so they put the price of the lines on the customer.	Every 20 years	When we put sola up it was to help the environment but business interest soon showed there true colors
11/13/2015 17:46:51	Jose	Yes	other: 52	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Getting penalised for doing the right thing!!!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Once a year, based on Ye rate retailers buy electricity.	
11/13/2015 17:28:23	Patrick	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	See below	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		At the same time the retailers adjust heir price	My decision to install solar power was made partly on the then combined feed-in price the state govt and the retailer were offering. When the govt withdrew its feed-in price I felt cheated then and I still feel cheated now. It is grossly unfair for the retailers to pay next to nothing for the electricity I feed into the grid and I have to pay the market price for the small amount of electricity I use from the grid. I am also livvered over the outrageous price increase retailers are now allowed to charge for the fixed price supply charge. I also note that the govt directly benefits from this Electricity Tax via the obscene annual dividend paid by the generators to the govt. Enough is enough.
11/13/2015 17:25:38	Phillip	Yes	other: .11	other: The same rate retailers can sell green power to their consumers less an arbitrary margin.	Fossil fuel subsidies.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	None	It should be a dynamic market.	I would like to eventually disconnect from the grid and not have to pay the service charge.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/13/2015 15:49:02	Colette	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	We installed a solar system at our present address based on the prices that were in vogue at the time but now our investment has become virtually worthless with the fees that have been increased and introduced in the meantime. It appears that we will never pay off our investment with savings on our electricity bill because of the new and increased fees.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Not as safe		constantly until we are being paid a price commensurate with what enerec etc is paying.	I think a device to divert unused solar electricity to water heating rather than returning it to the grid should be developed and subsidized.
11/13/2015 14:53:53	Gerowyn	No	Don't know	0c-10c	Lack of subsidies & the penalty of reducing their income from feeding into the grid.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 3 - 6 months	
11/13/2015 14:53:03	James (Barrie)	Yes	other: 52c	other: The same as they charge us.	Continual rising charges such as Supply Charges Solar Management Charge. Supply charges have risen approx. 334% in 25 months since 30.06.2013 and now the retailers have added a Solar Management charge as well. We were encouraged by Govt. sponsored subsidies to install solar & now it appears the Electricity retailers are engaged in a process to remove any benefits to those of us who have spent thousands of dollars on our installations. J.B.Steel	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Retailers determined to bleed us of as much money as possible by continually increased charges.	5 Years minimum	Original feed-in rate in Qld was \$0.44/KWh. Previous Govt. reduced it to \$0.08/KWh. This is nothing short criminal behavior. Give us an honest feed-in rate & remove all those other dishonest charges. J.B.Steel
11/13/2015 14:48:32	Dennis	Yes	44c	20c-30c	Get a fair return on investment...not been ripped off for the units.	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every four years	govt.subsidies don't no get passed on to the consumer...
11/13/2015 13:46:34	Raymond	Yes	44c	30c-40c	The continual review of pricing!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		4 yearly	The price was agreed with us to be reviewed in 2025. That's what we were told and that's the basis that we signed up upon!
11/13/2015 12:49:26	Nicole	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	Low feed in tariff and high fixed charges	Yes		Other	We supply energy directly to the end user without any transmission losses making energy cheaper	Other	Without battery storage we only supply power during daylight hours.	permanently fixed feed in tariff at a fair rate	Currently very unfair
11/13/2015 12:43:26	Koula	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Rule changes even though they signed a contract.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	The only negative I can see is the homeowner not being paid a fair price for electricity they produce.	A contract is a contract, so when the contract is up!!!	Instead of wasting money upgrading infrastructure solar should be mandatory on all new homes and subsidized for existing homes. The tops of buildings is a perfect location for panels.
11/13/2015 12:43:14	Catherine	Yes	44c	40c-50c	A big outlay to get started that you expect to save you money in the long run. Panels and inverters may need replacement and other ongoing costs eg. the cost of a callout to replace the battery in the inverter every year or two. Proposed battery introduction will be a further expense.Also cleaning costs to keep panels working to maximum capabilities.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		The contracted price should be honoured and only reviewed if increase is warranted.	Electricity is an essential and consumers should be able to access it for a minimal price. It shouldn't be about making a profit for the electric companies. Not everyone can afford the outlay to get started so we they go into debt to set up, they shouldn't have to worry that the rate will be reduced so they will be further out of pocket.Helping the environment and providing a sustainable solution is better for everyone in the long term.
11/13/2015 12:17:33	howard	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	hidden costs in every quarter bill	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	electricity companies don't seem to have capacity to store the energy they get from rooftop solar inputs	yearly	
11/13/2015 11:47:40	Nancy	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Maintenance of the system.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every two years	It is a wonderful incentive to change our Australian energy source into more renewable energy.
11/13/2015 11:10:52	Leanne	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Our initial layout was costly, but thought it would be worth it. Now it looks like it will have been a waist of money.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		When ever providers decide to put up the cost of power	I would assume those of us who installed solar did so because we thought it was a logical thing to do with the rising cost of electricity and the government urging people to do so, for this reason and to help the environment, but why am I not surprised they are trying to change the rules. If they had the intelligence one would assume they should have, they would have taken on selling and installing solar, and they could have made money, but of course, as usual, the only thing todays government knows how to do is spend money, not make it. When are they going to wake up?
11/13/2015 10:35:57	Peter	No	other: none	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	ensuring the installation remains safe and effecient	No		Other	There is a personal benefit of cheaper power but to half load major power stations is worse for the environment, they should be run as loaded as possible to stay efficient.	Other	The original cost to make the grid available for solar was large, the uptake of solar was extra large because the feed in tariff was too generous. The normal consumer has subsidised solar and Queensland has paid the price of falling revenue by the generators. The environmental impact to build and the later dispose of solar panels is greater than letting the coal fired stations generate at full load. The solar owner contributes nothing to the upkeep of the grid. Poor people who can't afford solar are left much worse off. The environment is the looser, the normal consumer is the looser and the people of Queensland all loose by killing the generators and their public asset revenue.	yearly	
11/13/2015 10:25:49	Scott	Yes	other: 52c	20c-30c	Demonization by the market.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	The suppliers are allowed to lock in a profit based upon a theoretical demand curve. The curve does not allow for drop in demand. They increase prices as users drop off the grid to make up the guaranteed profit. They call this the death spiral. As rates go up, more people take up solar and the cycle continues. The State government and our superannuations all own part of this so no one wants to change this broken model. Fix the AEMO pricing. Don't blame people for taking up solar when rates go up 100% in 5-7 years.
11/13/2015 10:03:22	Geoff	Yes	44c	other: retail rate plus service fee	Electricity supplier service fee increase for no accountable reason	No		Cheaper energy		Other	Electricity suppliers increasing service fees and not dropping prices due to solar feed in.	Annually	
11/13/2015 9:45:53	Felicity	Yes	other: 50c	other: 50c and up	A big investment(encouraged by the government) that is being eroded by electricity companies' hikes in the cost of electricity.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	nil impact	yearly	Solar feed in price should be adjusted upwards in line with CPI at the very least.
11/13/2015 8:57:10	Catherine	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	Not being supported by the government. Australia could be leading the world on solar energy development and yet we are not. With better government support we could be on top of the market for solar technologies and be the most green energy efficient country in the world.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 6 months or as often as is fair to all parties concerned	Please give solar owners a fair price for the energy they create through their investment into our future.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/13/2015 5:50:12	Ann	Yes	other: 52	other: 52+	Lack of incentive to increase either existing systems or put new ones on due to pathetic \$ amount recouped for your investment.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every year	Friends are getting very low rates Old has little/no support for the real integrity of the solar feed system .....come on let's get real.
11/12/2015 21:22:55	Lorraine	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Return on investment: export price should match purchase price: increasing supply charges: expense of battery systems prohibits many from using own power during peak hours.	Yes		Other	Households are investing in electricity generation rather than government having to invest in more power stations: this has to be a cost saving for government. The question is of scale and there is insufficient information available for the public to assess this comparison.	Other	Other consumers are missing out on the benefits of solar but are not currently encouraged to invest in solar by the lack of realistic feed in tariffs. Battery systems can reduce peak demand but the cost of battery systems currently prohibits many consumers from investing in them. At least some government support should be channeled to subsidise solar with batteries and feed in tariffs instead of focusing solely on coal fired power stations.	2 years	At present it actively discourages public investment in renewables by removing cost effectiveness for many households. In addition, actions by Energex to prohibit network connections of systems with batteries, by requiring a 65 business day review period, prohibit public investment in solar and need serious review. The frequency of reviewing the feed-in price is less relevant than the factors taken into consideration when it is reviewed. These must consider the cost of delivering conventional power to consumers, including necessity for new power stations and infrastructure, as well as encouraging investment in developing renewable technology to become more efficient and more affordable.
11/12/2015 21:03:36	Stephen	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Service / Supply charges too expensive. There has been a price increase this year in KW/H and Supply. I have a 5.5 KW and not happy with bills I'm getting.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		When it is fair, Every five years.	Price is probably OK but but power to grid price is crap, 6 cents what a joke and I pay 28 cents inc GST.
11/12/2015 20:16:11	Ross	Yes	44c	20c-30c	I would have said Tony Abbott and Campbell Newman, Its Political in other words.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		12 mthly	It needs to factor in a price for the clean energy, as the polluting generators are profiting from pollution and not paying for it.
11/12/2015 19:47:05	Merilee	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Increasing daily supply charges	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		3 yearly	Total rip-off of people who have paid a lot to contribute to global warming abatement
11/12/2015 18:15:26	Chris	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Threat from government to make solar rebates so low as to be worthless. Remember that each solar installation costs us between \$5 and \$10K AFTER any rebates.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		related to overall energy price rises. No electricity utility should EVER be privatised. Why add an extra cost burden just so overseas shareholders can make profits?	I believe NO rural or isolated community should be on the national grid. With solar power, aid power and thermal underground energy why waste power through cable-losses? In urban areas the sun also shines provided there is not too much coal-power created smoke of toxic gases. Solar power should be mandatory on all new dwellings constructed. Chris Ayres
11/12/2015 18:14:02	Jason	Yes	6-8c	other: As much as they charge us	That my solar system power that goes back to the grid I get paid 6.8 c and the power company charges me 23 c for the power i use. Also they charged me 336 for a upfront fee that I feel I should not have to pay.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 6 months	I think if my solar system makes 18 kw then i should not pay for if i go over that.
11/12/2015 17:52:14	John	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The energy suppliers may change the feed-in price in the future. The government should regularly review wholesale market prices and fix a suitable price for solar owners.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually or when there are significant movements in the wholesale price	Question - if battery storage systems are to be used, who will own them. Surely the suppliers are not going to give up sources of revenue?
11/12/2015 17:51:17	Alan	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	poor feed in tariffs	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 2 yrs	
11/12/2015 17:01:22	John	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Rising cost for connection to the grid and the unfair prices paid for generated power returned to the Energy companies. They charge us plus GST and rebate us Less GST, they buy my power at 6c (less GST) and sell it back to me at .22c (plus GST)	Yes		Other	Solar renewable energy has to be better for the environment preserve coal for future energy needs more cost effective for all consumes by allowing Govt to meet international environmental demands with out having to introduce a carbon tax or trading scheme.	Added expense		annually	The Energy companies are gouging on prices to boost their profits with the protection of Government and Coal companies. It is totally unreasonable to exploit consumers by paying substantially less for solar energy than they pay to the generating plants and energy wholesalers for the same but more polluting alternatives
11/12/2015 16:54:27	Tristin	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	We are doing the right thing on helping cut emissions and cut our bills, but it just feels like companies like Ergon are just making a killing off us and using us as a power manufacturing party.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		When ever power prices change	It should be paid out at the same as what the charge rate is. As we already pay a connection fee of \$110 per quarter and that is just to be connected.
11/12/2015 16:49:46	Dean	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Crippling line charges to compensate for increasingly redundant poles and wires. Battery price still too expensive.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		annually	The retailers should be looking at decentralised models, and not insisting that consumers prop up their increasing redundant centralised model.
11/12/2015 16:46:58	Ashley	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Unable to come off the grid, as batteries are very costly	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		on a on-going basis	coal is a limited resource as it took millions of years to become that resource and the sun will last billions of years. So will the wind. I think that governments in this country should be looking at Solar as the future of the industrial revolution and not allow the bribery and corruption of the coal industries and their cohorts to carry so much weight in the discussions and allow us humans to have a renewable, clean and cheaper way of life.
11/12/2015 16:23:25	David	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Budgeting for a solar system based on a feed back tariff which may be changed.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Lack of energy production at night, this can be compensated with battery storage	after the installations life time, i.e. about every 10 years	Contracts need to be honoured as purchasing of solar systems are based on feed in tariffs. Once the feed back tariff dropped from 44c the price of installations greatly dropped. So, should the feed in tariff changed compensation should be made to investors.
11/12/2015 15:56:00	Jan	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Acceptance that we are in fact making a contribution to clean energy.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	No negatives. Coal won't last for ever but the sun will for a while at least!	Regularly	They are not high enough for those putting in solar now.
11/12/2015 15:42:19	Bruce	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Exhorbident service fees	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	That contract price should be honoured

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/12/2015 15:32:43	Frank	Yes	44c	20c-30c	GOVERNMENT DISCRIMINATION against us for INVESTING TO BE A ENERGY PROVIDER. WE SPENT A LARGE AMOUNT INVESTING IN SOLAR PROMOTED BY STATE GOVERNMENT OWNED AUTHORITIES EG ORIGIN & ENERGIYX And now they want to flog the horse to death regardless of the giant profits the government is sucking out of origin and energy as a cash cow, rather than using the profits to upgrade and fix the poles and wires. look to ergon who is trialing battery backup and solar for homes and community battery storage and isolation for cyclone seasons. they are heading in the right direction. If they can do it up north, why not in the south east ? if prices keep rising we will protect our investments by going off grid in the suburbs if necessary. I dont want to pay whatever tier pricing will exist when my FIT ends. I will buy supply from whoever in a non regulated market at the highest fit available, if no fit then no export to grid and the power companies can go broke.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		about every 5 yrs	we invested \$25K when the return was 44 cents. It was a sound investment then. stick to your word and original contracts conditions.
11/12/2015 15:27:42	Michael	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Increasing service fees which often more than offset FIT returns.	Other	They should support commercial level storage and offer a reasonable domestic FIT. I think many households with batteries may increase potential hazards.	Other	reducing the need for expensive distribution upgrades to provide for peak demand	Other	Reaching saturation point (more solar power than grid demand) without any form of storage in place.	Every two years	It should be based on the wholesale cost PLUS any costs associated with the social, environmental benefits of the CO2 reductions. Also the costs savings for not having to upgrade networks.
11/12/2015 15:17:29	Jennifer	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	The cost of installation compared with return in investment. The uncertainty of feed-in tariff with political changes.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	None	Annually for new installations and contracts honoured for all consumers above the retail price to consumers.	Reviews every 5 years to determine if tariffs could be increased.
11/12/2015 14:33:46	Helena	Yes	other: 52c	other: double the retail rate	Increase in daily supply charges, independent of usage: mine have gone up from 82c/day to 1.14 -- I call that a 39% increase!	Other	I am not well-informed about this issue	Other	Improving peak supply (Old), environmental benefits,	Added expense		not sure	I believe that pricing should support a transition to solar power and away from coal-based power.
11/12/2015 14:32:18	Ray	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The possibility that the feed-in tariff will be arbitrarily reduced by the state government.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		Every 12 months for new consumers	We made a substantial investment to put in solar power and do not consider it fair and reasonable for that amount we agreed to (and became part of our investment justification) can be lowered.
11/12/2015 14:31:28	Darcy	No	other: Nil	0c-10c	Federal government ,big power companys	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		10years	Pay in price same as pay out price
11/12/2015 14:31:28	Keith	Yes	6-8c	other: The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market less 15%	Regulation changes by suppliers/government.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		As often as they review kWh pricing now, which appears to be monthly.	In it's present form it quite obviously contravenes the Trade Practices Act. As it is clearly not a 'fair & equitable exchange of a goods, or service'.
11/12/2015 14:06:35	Cheryl	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	They are being ripped off by the government, who selling all of the excess electricity at a much higher rate. The rhetoric and scare mongering from the coal industry is being directed at those who are threatening their big profits.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	None. I can think of zero negativity to the community, by using a commodity that we have in abundance, for free. Those who did not take up the offer of rebates etc on solar power are not going to be very happy when their electricity bills are rising exponentially. Having said that, it should also be stated that, a lack of forethought on their behalf should not constitute a penalty on me.	Annually	We originally had the 44 cent tariff, and then upgraded to a bigger system only to lose the 44 cents, and have it reduced to 6 cents. We originally signed a contract of 'up to 5 kw', but the system was not that big. We still have not exceeded that limit, but we have lost a large amount of rebate because it seems that the rules are being changed to suit the large corporations. Personally, I think it is skating on some very thin ice in legal terms, and would be curious whether it has broken any contractual laws.
11/12/2015 12:55:05	Alan	Yes	44c	40c-50c	1. Frequent threats of loss or reduction of our contract price with Ergon Energy. 2. Ergon Energy's increasing electricity charges will erode our ability to maintain our way of life. 3. Increasing payback period of Capital Cost of installation. 4. Life cycle Cost of maintaining PV installation, together with deterioration of efficiency. 5. In periods of the power grid outages (may extend for weeks following cyclones or hours in normal stormy periods), the Ergon rules prevent us from switching over to use the power generated by our PV array. 4. All costs which includes Local Government property rates, insurance, water charges and electrical service charges, fuel, food, etc., are rising at an exponential rate which is not reflected by increases in our income.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Electrical authority's escalating charges will force more consumers into being self sufficient and increase the cost to those consumers who do not PV installations. Electrical authority's have been monopolistic and not behaved responsibly in the past. Now they need to fix this lack of reality in their operation.	5 year	
11/12/2015 11:46:09	Anthony	Yes	other: 50c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Large debts were accrued when purchasing solar systems. Many solar owners went into debt because the government was taking the initiative and foresight to support the residential solar market. Energy companies went a step further to increase the rebate. Curtailing or ceasing this support is not only breaking a promise but will damage further attempts to bring solar electricity generation to more households.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Incorporating and managing the electricity from solar panels into the grid. If battery technology were included in the solar panel rebates then this problem would be solved.	Every 5 years	If solar panels and battery systems were mandatory for new homes this would take a huge burden off electricity generation and grid infrastructure. Residential decentralisation of energy should be utmost priority to take pressure off the current energy distribution system.
11/12/2015 11:29:59	Les	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Reasonable payback time for cost of system based on feed in price savings	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	In the longer term, I do not see major negative impacts to all consumers once the Energy generators adopt a different business model	every three years	a fair and reasonable solar feed in price benefits all in the long term
11/12/2015 11:12:28	Shane	Yes	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	system upgrade/maintenance costs. Changing solar generation philosophies based on governments haphazard, knee jerk reactions.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		annually	Stop denigrating and attacking families who were coerced by government initially with green loans and marketing programs to get into solar.
11/12/2015 11:04:27	Robert	Yes	44c	20c-30c	Influence of big power companies have with governments	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Bi-annually	No other comments
11/12/2015 11:03:06	Sharon	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The price that energy companies pay for our generated energy. It is a valuable source and should be acknowledged by paying the householder the same price they pay other retailers on the wholesale market.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every year	Please do not let energy companies take advantage of householders.
11/12/2015 10:57:27	Patricia	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	We have made an investment based on an agreed price. If that price is changed, then an agreement is broken.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		People not on prior agreements should be paid the retail price of electricity, so that there is no need for review.	The electricity companies charge for connection to the grid. It is unethical to pay a lower solar feed in price than the going retail rate.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/12/2015 10:50:46	Leigh	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Government is the big problem, their is a lack of both leadership and vision and apparently a policy to protect the incumbents, and let's not forget that government earns a massive revenue from electricity it's tax by stealth and deception from them and that in itself might be the core issue are they trying to protect their revenue without looking at the big picture.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	I see no negative impacts unless you are referring to those created by government and the incumbents as they try to protect their revenue in the most selfish manner ever in Australia, the wheel has been invented what follows will blow them out of their cushy seats whether they support it or not, a wise man would.	never if it is tied to the wholesale price	I am installing batteries in 2016 and going off-grid so it really doesn't concern me that much, my pay back time is 8 years any increase in energy costs over that period simply shorten that.
11/12/2015 10:34:32	Des	Yes	other: 9c	20c-30c	Paying for the cost we outlaid in the meantime power company sell the power we generate at a huge profit	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every time the power companys get a rate rise	To low to encourage people to install solar systems
11/12/2015 9:31:43	Jamie	Yes	44c	40c-50c	being penalised for the decision & substantial investment that I made to go solar	Yes		Other	environmental & cheaper	Other	being blamed for electricity price hikes when I am lead to believe that it is an over investment by power companies in poles & wires. I also work in the sugar industry & the company I work for exports 10mwh on to the grid & gets very well paid for this	5 yrs	should be allowed to keep the original feed in tariff that you signed your contract for
11/12/2015 9:23:16	edwin	Yes	other: 52	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Lack of institutional and government support. Energy suppliers continue price rises to recoup infrastructure costs.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	A constant and reliable figure that is supported by government is essential to encourage continued use.
11/12/2015 9:07:33	Martin	No	other: 0	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	changes in pricing structure. I now have to pay a electricity connection fee of over \$100. When my solar is connected I will have to pay a solar connection fee. It seems the electricity company is increasing fees to the point that having solar will be of no economic advantage	No		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		not sure	
11/12/2015 8:32:24	Frank	No	Don't know	20c-30c	Vested interested sabotaging residential solar to protect their own profits	No		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3-5 years	
11/12/2015 8:31:59	Robert	Yes	6-8c	0c-10c	Low feed in tariffs.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		4 yearly	
11/12/2015 8:31:59	Glenn	Yes	other: 50c	other: the current retail rate.	Cost of storage batteries is prohibitive.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	None.	Whenever the retail price rises.	The suppliers are greedy and miserly.
11/12/2015 8:17:37	Matthew	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Cost of access to the network and feed in price sliding backwards in comparison to the retail buying price.	Other	there should be a more considered approach to it all.	More reliable		Added expense		same as the power generators	it's not fair to have a 2 stream system. its fair for all not at the 44c/6c difference.
11/12/2015 7:50:03	kerry	Yes	other: 52	other: 52	energy companys	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 5 years	not enough
11/12/2015 7:17:21	Sarah	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Loss of a saleable/transferable asset. Escalating bills that weren't part of the equation when we installed solar.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		don't know	
11/12/2015 5:38:42	James	Yes	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Unfair fees and constant attempts by the incumbent energy industry to push up the price of solar.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		2 years	
11/12/2015 5:27:42	Alexander	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Initial outlay and ongoing maintenance plus the exorbitant supply charges to all consumers	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		When the cost of power rises or (falls)	A rip-off. Everyone should be on the same price.
11/12/2015 5:22:45	Peter	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Pollution. Climate change. Exploitation.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		yearly	Need not greed.
11/12/2015 0:13:30	Hans	No	other: Nil	other: Close to retail prices	Greedy pwr companies	No		Other	Less demand for expensive power stations, grid already exists. Pwr companies have to adjust their thinking to become more effective.	Other	Less income means pwr companies will raise prices instead of looking for savings and more effective operations	When retail prices are reviewed	Current rate is a scandal. Pwr companies pay 8c and resell for abt 30c. That's abt 250% mark-up, which is corporate crime.
11/11/2015 23:59:31	Lynette	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	Going back to no rebate and then electricity prices	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every six months	It should be same for all solar owners
11/11/2015 23:53:06	John	Yes	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	By the time we receive a fair price for the electricity we produce,our solar panels will be due to be replaced.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Do not believe that there are any negative impacts.	Annually	Does not compensate for the savings we have created for the power company's, not to mention the benefit to the environment.
11/11/2015 23:03:44	John	Yes	other: 11c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Ever increasing fixed network charges.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Pushing up fixed daily network charges	Annually	The distribution network should never have been privatised forcing the power companies to chase profits for shareholders instead of fair pricing for consumers.
11/11/2015 22:41:34	John	Yes	44c	other: 40% above the retail price to purchase power.	I bought my system early on and it will never every be paid for as the cost of electricity is rising and what I receive in solar rebates will not recoup my outlay for the system, unless the rebate is raised.	No		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every time thr consumer has a rise in electricity cost of power or meter reading.	why can't I add panels to increase my solar production and NOT LOSS my rebate. eg from 3 to 5 kw
11/11/2015 21:44:18	Ken	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Storing power produced . The difference in the cost of power used and the price paid to the solar producer.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Yearly	The low price paid to recent installations cOmpared to the higher price paid to earlier installations. Should be evened out.
11/11/2015 21:02:39	Robert	No	other: N/A	40c-50c	Gaining sufficient return from the feed-in rate to make the possibility of repaying the initial investment realistic, within a reasonable period of time.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	The reality that electricity companies will increase the cost of supply per day, to replace the income lost because of the lowering of income from the consumption of electricity, as we are seeing at the moment.	Every time the electricity companies make changes to their charges.	The current solar feed-in price is way too unrealistically low.
11/11/2015 20:57:34	COLIN	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Luddite &/or corrupt government	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	No major negative impact	annually	
11/11/2015 20:23:08	Arthur	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Initial cost: we paid \$7500, govt. paid \$6000 to the solar provider: now we worry about fees electricity suppliers want to charge solar owners. With pension our only income, we don't want to pay more fees.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	The perception that solar owners receiving the feed in tariff are cause of higher prices to other consumers. In reality, the supplier is getting less profit and blaming solar owners.	Annually & applied to new contracts.	The govt. should honour the feed-in price as signed in the contract with solar owners. Changes made should apply to new solar owners coming into the system. Solar owners are saving the cost of building a power station.
11/11/2015 20:22:11	Simon	Yes	6-8c	other: 45c net	Getting bashed by Govt for doing there job for them by installing electricity capacity with our own funds.	Yes		Other	power generation at the point of use. No transmission losses. Makes power cheaper in regional areas.	Other	Without battery storage solar is only available during the day, but this covers business peak power. The platinum plating of the network is responsible for the majority of the price hikes. Solar reduces the need for further network upgrades, saving money for other consumers.	It should be permanently at 45c net	Given the power at night would come from Taroom Pocket loses in transmission would be huge. Estimates I have read are in the order of 10times. Coal fired power costs around 4.5c per KWHr that make 45c at the door here in Cairns. We currently get paid 6.2c, by ergon and they sell it next door for 22c with no transmission losses. A feed in tariff of 45c net is what it would cost the retailer to get power here. That is fair.
11/11/2015 20:11:13	John	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Governments allowing power retailers to hit PV owners with extra charges when residents install solar. Who is getting a kickback to cast a Nelsonian eye??	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		annually	If the government is fair dinko about encouraging renewables. the they must address the feed in tariff ripoff in Old

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/11/2015 19:14:26	Elizabeth	Yes	44c	other: Retain existing feed-in tariffs that are above the retail price of electricity, and give all others at least the retail price - about 25cents in this area.	Uncertainty about government guaranteed contracts. Net feed-in tariffs disadvantage most households and aged care facilities as their peak demand does not match their peak generation.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	None except if electricity companies are allowed to build unnecessary capacity and charge the cost to those who do not need it - eg. to the Gas fields of the Surat Basin	Initially every year until equilibrium is reached, and a reliable price can be sustained. all contracts must be honoured, with new installations fixed for a five-year term.	I am very happy to have been able to install at the 44 cents for twenty years when the price of installation was three to four times what it now is. My investment brings me over ten percent on the outlay, and the panels continue to be an asset. I think the in-put tariff should reflect investment returns on capital invested on the stock exchange or in bank accounts, whichever is higher. At today's installation costs, I'd think that 25c would provide incentive and be value for investment.
11/11/2015 19:03:27	Serge	Yes	other: 52c	other: 52c or more	Ignorant and/or corrupt governments. Those in denial of climate change. No guarantee that I'll continue to receive anything for the power I produce. Limitations on the amount I can feed into the grid and receive payment for.	Yes		Other	as above, as well as potential decrease in load on grid, and lower maintenance costs.	Other	nil	every 2 or 3 years	Encouragement in the use of solar power needs to continue. Governments need to start looking beyond their term in power and make prudent initiatives to guarantee cheap CLEAN energy for future generations. Stop talking about it and DO it.
11/11/2015 18:17:44	Kelvin	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Cost of buying & then recouping the cost before they need to be replaced. The uncertainty that the Government will overrule what we have in good faith set up with our provider or that the provider will somehow find a loop hole & cut the feed in tariff we have signed up for.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	I don't see any negative impacts. It should be a good thing for the grids and the environment.	10 years or at expiry of contracts	contracts have been signed in good faith for feed-in prices. Don't mess with them. Make it fair to the little guys. We saved up for 6 years to have our bathroom revamped & used that money for our solar, so now have to save again. The little guys (residential) don't have the funds like big business. So maybe big businesses need a different (lower) rate to the little guys
11/11/2015 17:11:19	Robyn	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Attitude of the Federal Government towards solar power.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		yearly	
11/11/2015 16:40:16	LESLIE	No	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	costs to install solar power	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		12 months	
11/11/2015 16:34:56	Greg	Yes	44c	other: same total charge as we buy it for including poles and wires etc	The poles and wires charge is now so large that the solar systems I own now make no profit, in fact they are making a loss.	No		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		when the carbon is too high	We are being charged 5 times for poles and wires. 1, We are charged as part of our electricity charge. 2, We are charged a daily fee for our meters that is now hundreds of dollars per quarter, to pay for poles and wires. (called a service charge) 3, As the poles and wires charge (called the meter charge) increases they should lower the cost of electricity because one of its components is the cost of poles and wires. (paying double) 4, The Government is gold plating the network and we are paying a high price for work that are now not required. (As the price of electricity goes up, everybody is conserving power any way they can, especially people without solar power) so the network needs not to be expanded. Taxpayers are paying for the expansion!!! 5, We have paid the cost of putting solar power on our roofs ourselves, up to \$10,000 dollars each. This is actually our "poles and wires" we have made this expense to save the planet and pay itself off. Now it will not pay itself off at 6 cents. Apparently Energex made a big profit! Greg
11/11/2015 16:25:58	Clive	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Recovering initial setup costs.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 5 years	There needs to be a positive cost outcome for people who are installing solar arrays. This should be guaranteed.
11/11/2015 16:24:43	Ray	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Substantial increases in the daily service charges which are well above that recommended by the Energyb Regulator that sets the pricing structure. I am being charged \$1.55 per day for my service charge whereas most other companies are charging \$1.28 per day.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every twelve months	
11/11/2015 16:23:13	Christine	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The cost of initial installation, we paid \$6,000.00 for our solar array but we did it because we believe solar is the future and it is important that we act.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 5 years	It is a great incentive for people to purchase a solar array and so reduce costs for the network provider and green house emissions.
11/11/2015 16:21:24	Terry	Yes	other: 52c	other: 21c	Reducing their usage but paying more. Threat of more increases. No clear policy that allow forward planning - its a gamble.	Yes		Other	Cheaper power for consumers. Forcing the power companies to rethink how they do business	Other	All consumers get punished for the mistakes and intransigence of the power companies	While we remain in this mess, every 2 years	It ignores the investment and savings made by solar owners. It supports the status quo of power companies
11/11/2015 16:01:10	Ben	Yes	other: 11c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Change in billing structure from Electricity companies. Bulk cost just to be connected to the grid is aimed directly at reducing the return on investment for solar owners and to slow/stop the uptake of solar.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Collapse of the coal fired power plants, which is what everybody (other than the Liberal Governments)wants.	Every 3 years	I invested in solar in good faith however the Newman government went on a brain dead tirade to try and get rid of solar users. I would like to see some sensible legislation put in place to protect solar users from idiots like him in the future.
11/11/2015 15:59:18	Adrian	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Solar is not cheap to install or maintain so like any business there is a cost / benefit ratio to consider  Solar owners are not looking to make huge profits unlike power generators and providers, they just want a fair go.  If solar power was encouraged on every roof we could be providing most of our electricity from renewable solar energy and saving the climate and the planet. Cutting tariffs is actually a disincentive.  Power providers want to maintain market share and drive huge profits so they have a vested interest in driving solar power out of the market place.  Solar should be embraced as part of a holistic approach to power supply, renewables like solar, wind and wave power should be encouraged as part of that broad spectrum.  Battery storage would provide a means for solar owners to utilise their own power with no need for pay in tariffs at all. You use what you produce. It doesn't get fairer than that!	Yes		Other	I see a raft of benefits not least of all environmental, by reducing carbon emissions, cheaper energy, a greater spread of providers provides increased security of provision and of course providers that are not solely profit driven.	Other	I see very little negative impacts once you factor in the true cost of coal and/or nuclear fuelled power (for instance Fukushima is not resolved and climate change is getting worse).	As often as the electricity price is reviewed	I believe encouragement (subsidies if you like) should be provided in order to help facilitate a transition from a poor product (coal and nuclear fuel) to a better product (wave, wind and solar).
11/11/2015 15:55:19	Bob	Yes	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Initial costs of installing	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Pollution from the disposal of used batteries	3 monthly	
11/11/2015 15:44:33	Linda	Yes	44c	40c-50c	We like to by more solar panels, but we are not sure about the future	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 6 month	

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/11/2015 15:35:32	Michael	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	The limitations are unfair for householders held back from upgrading from a low power unit to at least a 5 KW unit where this is could then be the limit in the 44c feedback. The electricity supply structure demands a supply charge of over \$400 which is in reality a Tax and should not be hidden within the electricity charges. This charge can be reduced significantly and should be done now, urgently.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		If it is less than the useage charges it should be reviewed annually	Solar is only a means that will reduce the demand on coal usage and lower the waste of electricity in the grid by not needing to have high tension cables carrying a greater load which radiates or leaks the energy supply in the form of heat.
11/11/2015 15:30:57	marianna	Yes	44c	40c-50c	electrical companies charging higher charges for the solar owners and adding new charges	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		never	stop charging solar customers extra cost for feeding into the grid
11/11/2015 15:25:41	John	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	initial cost, unknown rate of pay for power to the grid, cleaning of panels, automatic switch off during storm	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 3 months	
11/11/2015 15:19:17	Russell	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The uncertainty of future government action which could affect future feed-in tariffs	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 5 years	
11/11/2015 14:34:16	Georgina	Yes	44c	other: .90c	We have no representation at electricity price rise hearings.	Yes		Other	Clean electricity is always preferable to dirty electricity.Less pollution for Queensland, Australia and the world.Less need for coal,less need for power stations and infrastructure servicing.	Other	Power companies don't like solar power as they make less money.	As often as dirty power prices are reviewed	Power companies complain about needing enough profit to maintain their infrastructure. solar owners need to maintain and repair our infrastructure also.
11/11/2015 14:19:41	Kolya	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Uncertainty on the returns from their investment into solar power systems especially when it comes to FIT's.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		whenever the service fees go up I	The FIT should reflect the embodied energy required to produce green energy compared with dirty coal powered electricity and the environmental benefits of solar power. Coal powered electricity should be taxed according to the negative impacts it has on our environment.
11/11/2015 14:12:53	Elizabeth	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Ever increasing prices for services levied by the retailers as opposed to the pittance paid for solar feed ins.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		annually	I live on the Sunshine Coast in the Sunshine State, why am I not earning more for the power I produce from the sunshine?
11/11/2015 14:11:45	michael	Yes	44c	other: 9999999	the goal posts are continually changing as people look for reasons coal and wire power keeps getting more expensive, and punitively level that burden at/on solar owners. Power companies have representation at hearings for electrical pricing. Solar owners do not!	Yes		Other	less drain on the ageing wire system, most of which was conceived before domestic air-conditioners, or double power points for that matter.More power to the system during the hours when industry is using power. Helps provide a bridging pressure to impel us into the future. A future past central generation and long wire distribution of power. A future where power is most generated where its most used, and huge expensive infrastructure to distribute it is a thing of the past. (like steam trains. We can have a pole transformer	Other	Queensland electricity grid now has to make a profit on top of the costs of providing a service.It needs to sell electricity to do it. The major impact of solar is that they cannot continue as they have been going, and for an industry that has not changed for a hundred years, and hoped never to, any force impelling them to is a threat/negative impact. Modernity is many times,a negative impact for anyone who can't accept and change for it.	as often as the coal powered electricity is	There is a power differential that smacks of injustice ,and it can't be measured in volts. Power companies can ,and do write to me stating they are changing the rules at their whim. One even said they will no longer pay me for the credit I have ,so confident are they that they will be able to absorb it in charges, fees etc. We (solar and generator owners) need representation at energy commission hearings to argue with the same impact as power companies for such as feed in tariff rises or unfair charges to be withdrawn. The so called energy Ombudsman will only say he cant' change the law so he/she needs to be ignored for our purposes.
11/11/2015 14:11:31	Philip	Yes	other: 11c	20c-30c	Increasing daily supply charges are an issue. I am a recent solar investor and don't benefit from a jacked-up feed-in tariff. This jacked-up price shouldn't be subsidised by other electricity consumers, but by Qld government.	No		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Should be the same as the retail price, and reviewed as often, i.e. annually.	The solar industry employs many Australian's and deserves much more government support than dirty coal. The feed in price given should be the same as the retail price, and with a fair daily price to access the electricity grid. If it is not fair then people will go off-grid, compounding the grid problem.
11/11/2015 14:10:54	Mike	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Breach of trust with consumers. Govts offer incentives to encourage renewables and consumers invest significantly after factoring in these incentives. To then renege on or reduce these incentives retrospectively is by common law a clear Breach of Contract and misrepresentation. Why not remove subsidies to coal fired power generation?	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	None.	never changed retrospective	Domestic solar should provide same net rate of return on investment as grid supply
11/11/2015 13:39:13	Frances	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The poor feed in tariff	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		annually	
11/11/2015 13:18:10	Gerardus & Diane	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Electricity companies are now charging you to read the meter, this didn't happen before, it's wrong. We are doing the right thing by putting solar on the roof, we paid for it. Be fair and do the right thing by us. Power companies are getting greedy, CEO's want more in their pay packet and we are all paying for that now. Come back down to earth and pay us the correct amount for the power we generate, you are getting a fair price for it, by charging non solar users, so do the right thing. How on earth can these greedy people sleep at night???	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every year	Not enough paid back to the providers of solar power to the grid.
11/11/2015 13:09:17	maggie	Yes	44c	40c-50c	being encouraged to get solar power then price creeping slowly wiping out any advantage of installing the solar panels	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	none the big players in the power industry play with figures but the bottom line is it saves them generating costs	every ten years	
11/11/2015 13:02:17	cliff	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Reduced price for feed in solar	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 5 years	Gov.honour feed in price(44c)until expiry date
11/11/2015 12:59:17	Dave	Yes	Don't know	30c-40c	recovering the additional cost of installation against increasing power costs.and the fact that the Govt wants to change the fixed rules	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		5 years	We were guaranteed a fixed solar grid price now they want it changed
11/11/2015 12:56:30	Ian	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Cost of storage batteries	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other		Every 5 yrs	

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/11/2015 12:51:20	Peter	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Hi cost of purchase and maintenance, as well as low and inflexible subsidies currently offered. Hi Cost of fixed charges (access and availability charges)	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Perceived short term costs of their own connection and access fees. The network providers/Govt seem to be trying to recoup subsidy payments from all electricity users rather than improve infrastructure and billing efficiencies - as it is easier to just charge more rather than do the work or make longer term commitments to infrastructure.	Once contract is signed - no review - for new contracts then yearly to take into account market conditions and customer affordability	If the Govt want to encourage solar systems, it needs to fully offset the power charges by the feed in amount - if power costs 29 cents per KWH then the feed in needs to be the same for all new contracts and those on the low 6-8 cent rates.
11/11/2015 12:32:36	Johann	Yes	other: 11c	other: 25.4	Retailers and wholesalers manipulation the market to screw customers. I'd like to see the feed in tariff pegged to the retail rate. This would put onus of profit negotiations a matter between those parties. Who will pocket future carbon credits?	Other	No it would cost the taxpayer too much and should be an individuals choice.	Other	cheaper energy... environmental benefits... heighten customer awareness to energy saving and more efficient use of power. Could spawn new energy efficient retail shops. 12V 24v 36V products.	Other	Not as safe. too many handy men fixes. Would need strict control	every price rise	How do retailers justify the disparity in feed in tariff prices. between 6c and 11c. I should only pay for KWH over what I produce...day or night
11/11/2015 12:28:34	Geoff	No	other: None	20c-30c	No subsidy from the State or Federal Governments	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every Three Years	Initially, the State Government encouraged people to install solar electricity panels and then did a back-flip when they realized the impact on their revenue source. People should be encouraged to install the panels and paid a fair solar feed-in tariff and NOT be subjected to increases in the power consumed from the main.
11/11/2015 12:20:41	Jodi	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Electricity companies moving the goal posts trying to make it more difficult for solar owners and blaming solar owners for the increase in electricity prices. We have solar for ethical reasons and to try to save money. We are not high income earners and we borrowed money from family to enable us to buy our solar panels. Ultimately we will try to purchase batteries so we can get off the grid and hopefully become independent of electricity companies.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I don't know what the negatives are, other than electricity companies charging more and blaming solar owners for their ineptitude.	Annually?	Probably began too high, and are now too low. It would be nice to find a middle ground.
11/11/2015 12:15:54	Sean	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	Draconian laws that kick former 44c feed in tariff owners off the tariff for inconsequential changes such as changing the name or billing address. We will go off grid and leave the expensive network to rust.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		20yrs (life of panels)	Fixed charges are highly inequitable and penalise solar. These need to be addressed as well.
11/11/2015 12:12:51	John	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	The flat rate service charge on grid supply. The present cost of sufficient batteries to be independent. False information claiming the solar owners increase cost of electricity supplies. Entrenched interests which claim only centralised supply can be cost effective.	Yes		Other	Reduced fossil fuel use. Reduced need to increase grid capacity. Reduced peak demands, particularly with batteries.	Other	No real negative impacts.	Should be reviewed and increased with every increase in tariffs.	The feed in price should be sufficient to ensure that the development of the independent solar power industry continues at a high rate.
11/11/2015 12:07:50	Mike	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Uncertainty.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Stability Difficulty of coordination	5 years	It's too much at the whim of the supplier.
11/11/2015 12:06:33	Dr David	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Understated 'service charges' imposed by energy companies.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every five years	There should be a fair and steady price for people who have invested in clean energy.
11/11/2015 12:00:23	Vicki	Yes	44c	other: a bit more than the retail price of feed-out (but still less than the cost of producing electricity from diesel or gas)	Anti-renewables campaigns by media, politicians, fossil fuel lobbyists, fossil fuel companies. Lack of maturity of things like micro-grids, storage, smart metering.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	The electricity grid needs less fossil fuel burning generators as more residences & businesses & government buildings utilise solar, wind and other renewables.	at the end of each contract term, (my contract is until 2020).	Old's solar feed in price is on the nett amount. This is counterproductive as it pushes residences & businesses with solar to try to move their electricity consumption to the non-daylight hours. Some other states have gross feed-in price - which means there is no incentive to NOT use your own electricity.
11/11/2015 11:47:21	Hank	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Charges for supply at present \$1.40 per day. These charges should be linked to the daily usage and not a blanket charge. Further when we export power to the grid then surely the recipient should pay a charge for the grid and not expect the householder to pay for the 'transport'.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every time the regulator examines supply charges	The full benefits of a distributed power system are not taken into consideration.
11/11/2015 11:40:27	Pat	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Providing a lower return to that which we are change for electricity from the supplier.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Do not know	Renewal energy is the future and the transition to solar energy should be encouraged.
11/11/2015 11:30:31	Peter	Yes	44c	30c-40c	The biggest issue for solar owners is the imbalance in the price of their power against the state subsidized grid. Comparing the 44c rebate price against the coal-fired price is not an even comparison. Also the current charges levied by the state-owned power generator trying to recover and make a profit on their investment in a network is a crime. The upgraded grid is not required (gold-plated wires and poles) and those assets should be written down rather than charged to consumers who had no say in the investment decision. When I lose the 44c rebate I will go off grid regardless of the price of battery storage.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	The network is going out of date as it is being built, and consumers are being expected to pay for it. It's a rot and a waste of taxpayers' money.	Annually	The feed-in tariff should make us leaders in alternative energy. It is not sufficient on its own but with a wind back of the subsidies to overinvestment by the power generator we could radically alter the profile of energy generation in a few short years. We have to do this anyway to address climate change so why is anyone talking about reducing the incentive which will most directly address carbon pollution and create the energy industry (and jobs) of the future?
11/11/2015 11:21:03	Nigel	Yes	44c	20c-30c	The government is crippling the less well off and pensioners in the QLD community by increasing the daily supply rate by 1000% over the last 3 years. This is clearly to recoup the cost of the 44 cent FIT and increase retailers profits. So to summarise, the Labour party put this scheme in place and now they are stealing from the poor to support the rich. The low income earners and pensioners need to be compensated for this theft. If this doesn't happen I can see your actions being highlighted clearly to potential voters at the next State elections and that may be the end of the current Labour State Government - another 1 term wonder. Make no mistake Labour is 100% responsible for this situation, so it will be a definite point of difference between you and the LNPI	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually	The State Government needs to stay out of anything to do with going off grid and the use of battery storage or else they will create another mess such as the current FIT debacle!
11/11/2015 11:17:05	Allan	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The threat of governments reducing the feed-in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 10 years	Should the Queensland government reduce the feed-in tariff to a unrealistic level. It would prompt me to install a battery storage system with the view to removing my home from the electricity grid.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/11/2015 10:54:59	Murray	Yes	other: 11c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The price of battery storage.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		At least annually	
11/11/2015 10:41:32	Vishal	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The fact that the government would rather listen to the big businesses than the fact that we everyday Australians have put our own money to help Australia	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every year	Lets get the balance right
11/11/2015 10:24:55	Robert	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Large power bills	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		12 moths	
11/11/2015 10:12:18	Larry	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Getting a fair price for the power we generate and return to the grid. Purchased Solar only to find the Government of the day was to change the 'ground rules' meaning I was reduced to the 6 cent feed in rate. The purchase of solar was a considerable expense and as things currently stand - I am advising my family and friends that the current returns DO NOT justify the outlay. All I ask is that I be appropriately compensated for the power I generate and feed back into the grid (6cents does not come close to being considered reasonable)	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Why is it necessary to continually review the feed-in price (I see it as nothing more than an opportunity for Government to go back on their promises / decisions)	I made the decision to install solar in an effort to reduce my overall power costs (nothing more...) However since the installation my power costs have continued to grow to the point where my initial investment (based on the current feed-in price I receive) means it will be at least 10 -15 years before I am likely to get my initial cost back. All I ask is to receive fair compensation. I also dispute the notion that other power users are subsidizing me. I've been paying power bills for the past 35 plus years - so I consider I have contributed to the wires and poles just as much as anyone else.
11/11/2015 10:02:57	Graeme	Yes	44c	40c-50c	recouping the large cost incurred to install solar compared to the price today	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		2026 as when I signed up	leave it alone
11/11/2015 9:57:32	Martin	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	upfront costs. Quality control of solar installers and suppliers.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Absence of storage and diversified environmentally friendly energy production	Yearly	It should match the price of other energy suppliers.
11/11/2015 9:44:07	amrit	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	there is no room for negotiation with retailers for solar rebate yet they will sell pwr generated by my solar panels and make profit.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every year	give fair share of money back to those who are feeding pwr to the grid.
11/11/2015 9:39:10	Mark	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Government attitude	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		At the end of contract date	
11/11/2015 9:37:17	margaret	Yes	other: 52	40c-50c	The connection fees have become a disincentive. In the last quarter I had a bill from Energex for the first time in 10 years. Since I've always provided more power than I use, I feel this is an inequable system now.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		every 3-4 years	
11/11/2015 9:28:38	Graham	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	If the price we receive continues to decrease we will have no return on our solar investment and no savings to put towards replacement/maintenance of our present system, now or in the future. The electrical providers will continue to increase their profits.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly	If we generate more power than we use I feel that this should result in a zero payment. Also tighter control over Suppliers other fees eg service & meter reading fees, should be introduced. I estimate that these fees have increased 127% since the carbon tax was abolished. Please explain??
11/11/2015 9:26:31	Cathleen	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Hikes of more than 1,000% in 12 months for 'supply' although nothing has changed in costs for same. No monitoring by Govt. watchdogs guards us against this rip off which has now eaten up all the value of my solar panels installation. From a return of circa \$200 per quarter, I am now sending all my garnered electricity to the company which grabs it and is now charging me for my own electricity. Once again I am paying electricity bills. This is bare-faced robbery as my electricity consumption has not changed.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every time we are slugged with a price increase	Solar feed-in price should be on a par with the cost of electricity to the consumer/solarsupplier
11/11/2015 9:26:06	David	Yes	44c	20c-30c	Electricity companies trying to reduce payments made to solar owners and governments relative lack of support of alternative energy generation.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 3 to 5 years	The feed in price should be at a rate that encourages more home owners to put solar on their roofs. Ideally, this will reduce the need to build more power stations. Battery storage would also help as a back-up to solar during non-generating times.
11/11/2015 9:21:19	Mark	Yes	44c	10-20c	Uncertainty that we will not be further penalised for investing in our own clean energy.	No		Cheaper energy		Other	I don't see that there are any negative impacts. The tiny amount that roof top solar has added to the retail cost of electricity is far outweighed by the environmental positives and the reduced load on the grid	Every 2 years	Parity with the cost of power would be a fair price for a solar feed in
11/11/2015 9:13:21	Robyn	Yes	other: 12c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The fact that power used at night i.e. not solar generated, is so much higher priced than the feed-in tariff, with no options for storage rather than grid use.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		At the same time as the retail/wholesale price	Losing the gov't feed in tariff was a blow, as we had just installed our system. Fortunately we found Click Energy, or it would have been a huge loss for us financially. Make solar worth the investment!
11/11/2015 8:58:30	Robert	Yes	44c	10-20c	Power companies trying to push solar out of the market & Power companies playing blame games saying Its the solar owners fault we have to put the price up when really it them trying to make more money.  Solar owners are also users of power from power companies we are charged like everyone else for the power we use and the use of the network. Power companies try to make out we don't pay when we do. It's unfair.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		2 years	It should be fair, some where between 50-75% of retail price considering we own the solar system and are already paying for the use of the network in our power bill. This allows the power company to earn money & pay overheads.
11/11/2015 8:57:33	Gerald	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Government interference. Promote solar energy and then penalize people who take advantage of solar energy	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Whenever government reduces energy prices	
11/11/2015 8:50:32	Helen	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	Low feed in tariff and being on the grid	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 5 years	The cheapest source of energy comes from the sun and we've got plenty of it in the Sunshine State! Be the leaders and really make this State the renewable energy innovator!
11/11/2015 8:48:03	Suzanne	Yes	44c	10-20c	I believe my feed in price should be fair considering it is a clean, green and reliable source of energy. I believe I should only be charged for electricity I use over and above what I produce and while I don't begrudge the companies making a profit they should not be gouging consumers for an essential, state owned asset. It is not reasonable to charge 30c/kilowatt for power and only pay the producers of that power 8c/kilowatt.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	Work on making it fair and remove the companies who are in it for profit alone, so called competition does not appear to have yielded the savings to the consumers it was supposed to provide.
11/11/2015 8:45:26	Paul	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Too much adverse publicity for the cheap service we provide to the electricity industry in whole.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		when ever the wholesale ia altered	We were encouraged to do the right thing and are now the bad guys.
11/11/2015 8:41:38	Glenys	Yes	other: 50c	other: power from renewables should be subsidised initially so they can grow and produce enough electricity to meet the whole market.	power companies are forcing customers to pay very high price for connection. Power companies have invested too heavily in needless infrastructure.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 5 years	People need stability to be able to make decisions about major expenditure such as solar systems.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/11/2015 8:30:08	Eric	Yes	44c	0c-10c	A negative attitude from major suppliers and national government. With a rise in urban population and housing developments Solar Energy should be mandatory to assist in the energy supply. How else will we have enough energy. We should not rely on Fossil Fuels or Nuclear energy as a source, when many countries are reducing their dependency on these fuels.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every two years	
11/11/2015 8:28:41	Ray	Yes	other: 50c	20c-30c	Fighting against the coal corporations.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly	We are trying to help ourselves whilst helping the environment. We want to and need to leave the world in a better state for our children and grandchildren.
11/11/2015 8:08:42	Simon	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Complexity! Parity would simplify a lot of issues. A feed in tariff that equals the charge rate would focus attention on the cost and efficiency of producing power.	Other	Yes by removing regulatory obstacles, but No, not with monetary incentives.	Other	All of the above plus a more distributed system is more stable and resilient.	Other	Added complexity for residential PV clients.	Every 5 years	The over-investment in infrastructure needs to be paid by those responsible for it, or those most able to pay.
11/11/2015 8:08:25	Paul	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Prejudice from non solar blaming us for electricity price rises!	Yes		Other	All of the above	Other	Solar peak. Could be managed with battery storage!	yearly	Should be managed independently from Government & Electricity generators/retailers.
11/11/2015 7:50:57	William	Yes	44c	30c-40c	The constant tinkering with feed in price structure	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	As more consumers opt for off grid systems the cost for those on grid will have to increase	3 yearly	
11/11/2015 7:44:54	Tom	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Initial cost, maintaining and eventual replacement. Our decision was based on a Government campaign and promise that required a long term commitment from Government.	Yes		Other	Reduction in cost of providing power from other sources	Other	The poles and wires are already in place and were paid for by consumers. How could you even think that there is an increased cost when much of the reasoning behind Government subsidy spoke of its political objectives at the time eg environmental	Every year to rise with CPI	Looks like another broken promise on the way
11/11/2015 7:39:35	John	Yes	44c	30c-40c	The huge increase in electricity retailer costs.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	The obvious negative is money, however it does mean they don't have to build more power stations	as per contract for existing	Old was in emergency power mode when solar feed in rebates and incentives for solar was introduced. It is tiresome to see those people who invested a lot of money for environmental good, now vilified for being parasites on the economy because of the feed in tariffs. The huge doubling of electricity costs in the same period is the main concern.
11/11/2015 7:24:22	Ken	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Addition fee & charges that erode our feed-in tariff. Not being able to increase our solar array without losing our current feed-in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		as required	
11/11/2015 7:22:02	Giovanna	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Price hikes in solar equipment and improvements in battery life	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		As often as providers are reviewed for there pricing to consumers.	
11/11/2015 7:18:22	Charles	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Subsidising the exorbitant rate paid to some other solar customers gifted by our stupid labor state government	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every two years	
11/11/2015 7:16:40	Daniel	No	other: don't have	10-20c	Poor Installation work and unreliable products	No		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		once every 3years	The 44c Feed in Tariff was too generous. I have worked in the Solar Industry for the past 6 years and I can say without a doubt this feed in tariff caused a boom- bust industry. It costs the govt millions of \$, and has seen thousands of installs go in that are well below an acceptable safe standard.
11/11/2015 7:16:28	Fred	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Lobby groups representing coal miners, Coal miners who are able to maintain/receive huge tax-payer subsidies when little is given to non-fossil energy R&D	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		each time fossil fuel energy companies alter their prices	Feed in price should be kept high to attract more users and thus facilitate distributed energy generation
11/11/2015 7:16:11	Graeme	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Uncertainty - ALL govt's keep playing with the goal posts - Yes thank you, you're doing your bit for the environment so now we're going to punish you for it! Federal & State Govt's need to accept once & for all that solar is a good thing & encourage it's use.	Yes		Other	All of the above!	Other	Perceived added expense due to media jumping on the anti- wagon & crucifying solar owners, rather than proper, subjective reporting. Can be less reliable due to extended bad weather, so there will always be a need for the grid, but charging needs to be fairer, especially with regard to daily service charges - \$1/day just for being connected to the grid, even if you use nothing	When electricity prices are	For us it's fair as we're on .44c + .6c as we got in early. Other new users on .8c, no, that's not fair - their's should be higher. Also not fair that if someone on .44c but with only a small system eg 1.5kwh, want's to expand their system, they will lose their .44c FIT & drop to .8c.
11/11/2015 7:15:48	Noel	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	The disparitu between what we are apod for energy we generate and energy we use is too great. Energy storage would aolve this as we could simply store the additional power for non daylight hours.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		The same frequency as energy prices	
11/11/2015 7:12:12	Patrick	Yes	44c	40c-50c	rebate price decreases	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 2 years	
11/11/2015 6:59:14	Michael	Yes	44c	other: The retail price.	The falling feed-in price.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		At the same time as retail prices are set.	44c is a ridiculous price (far too high, and not financially sustainable. 6-8c is also a ridiculous price (far too low), giving retailers excessive profits. Feed in price should be the same as retail price to be fair.
11/11/2015 6:52:34	Greg	No	other: 10c	other: Wholesale market rate + avoided transmission network cost	No power when grid down	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense	Technical issues from negative power flow, mismatch of demand and supply timing	5 years	
11/11/2015 6:40:51	Claire	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Lack of certainty about the place of solar in Queensland's energy portfolio	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Five years	
11/11/2015 6:36:07	Stephen	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	low feed in tariffs. high daily charges from retailers.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I don't think solar energy need have any negative impacts on the electricity grid.	2 Years	With the right feed-in tariffs we could reduce the need to burn coal.
11/11/2015 6:27:11	Eric	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Apathy by current Parliament and current government.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Never	If you believe the climate is changing please do not discourage people who have already done something to try to combat the change - lower feed-in tariffs is a disincentive to care for the Earth.
11/11/2015 6:08:37	Lin	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Recovering the cost over time.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every few years	
11/11/2015 6:07:49	Gary	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Governments wanting to give you nothing	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 5 years	
11/11/2015 5:58:04	Peter	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Fair price for solar. Storage of power to combat poor price for solar.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		annual	
11/11/2015 5:42:50	John	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	A fair price. 51% of energy cost is the gold plated network costs.	Yes		More reliable		Other	Envy. Renters are not able to take advantage of cheaper power.	5 years	Stacked in favor of suppliers.
11/11/2015 4:10:53	Steve	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Negativity fostered by all politicians	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Honestly would be good	the current feed in of just over 6 cents is very mean and demonstrates that the power companies and mining magnates actually run this State.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/11/2015 3:22:44	Neville	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Unfair pricing of power generated at home by the retailers AND not being allowed to have a reasonable size solar system in country areas. We were only allowed 2.5kW	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		As often as retailers review their prices	It has to be balanced, so as to allow enough funds to maintain the grid for low income earners, who cannot afford the initial cost of solar grid connect systems.
11/11/2015 3:20:07	Suzanne	Yes	Don't know	30c-40c	Getting a fair go as we have had panels put on to save on electricity	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly	Make it worthwhile for people who have paid to have solar panels put on
11/11/2015 3:11:56	Donna	Yes	other: 54c	other: 54c	Effective battery storage. For solar power to be able to go off the grid and just sell green power back	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		Every three years	
11/11/2015 0:49:51	Rob	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	Having to deal with dishonest and corrupt government that say one thing then do the opposite.	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		As originally contracted	Please help remedy this ridiculous Neuman ruling This is offensive maintain an electricity account with an electricity retailer for the premises where the solar PV system is installed Due to ill health I was forced to move to a new location a had some friends move in put the account in their name believing they would get the 44c I even used this to attract them No kidding this isn't insidious Something you don't find out until after the fact I am now forced to rethink my supply at 6cents/Kw Where else can I buy something at 6cents and on sell it at 25 cents A profit margin which would probably bring me under notice of the fair prices tribunal I invested a large sum of money Now they are renegeing on the deal I am informed that I can no longer get the agreed 44 cents as the rule wording is clever must have continued account in my name Never was I informed of this important provision Even if I put the account back In my name I am still not eligible for the originally agreed 44 cents Of course should I wish to reopen the account there is a bond incurred Meanwhile the home is empty and I am told we don't know where the energy is going after it leaves my array Are we all idiots What do I do now I am forced to remove the solar array and associated wiring from my residence
11/10/2015 23:58:09	JIM	Yes	other: 50c	other: 50c	mY TOTAL COST FOR MY SYSTEM 2011 . PLUS UPGRADE TO 5KW 2015 IS OVER 10000 DOLLAS,I NEED TO GET SOMETHING FOR THE OUTLAY COSTS FOR ANY EXCESS I PRODUCE to the grid.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		never	We were under the assumption it would not be touched and would stay for at least 20 years.You will loose my vote if the Government change it.
11/10/2015 23:57:01	JIM	Yes	other: 50c	other: 50c	mY TOTAL COST FOR MY SYSTEM 2011 . PLUS UPGRADE TO 5KW 2015 IS OVER 10000 DOLLAS,I NEED TO GET SOMETHING FOR THE OUTLAY COSTS FOR ANY EXCESS I PRODUCE to the grid.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		never	We were under the assumption it would not be touched and would stay for at least 20 years.You will loose my vote if the Government change it.
11/10/2015 23:54:22	JIM	Yes	other: 50c	other: 50c	mY TOTAL COST FOR MY SYSTEM 2011 . PLUS UPGRADE TO 5KW 2015 IS OVER 10000 DOLLAS,I NEED TO GET SOMETHING FOR THE OUTLAY COSTS FOR ANY EXCESS I PRODUCE to the grid.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		never	We were under the assumption it would not be touched and would stay for at least 20 years.You will loose my vote if the Government change it.
11/10/2015 23:51:50	Kenneth	Yes	44c	40c-50c	If we fail to get a fair price for our solar power, should we stay on the grid? or go off grid and be independent.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I can't see any negatives its a win win. reduce fossil fueled dirty power stations. Saving taxpayers Billions.	every 2 years	The initial set up cost for solar is quite expensive, so I think it is only fair that the government should pay a higher fee to solar owners for the first few years, and then revert to the same price that they now charge us for units of power.
11/10/2015 23:30:01	Kirk	Yes	44c	40c-50c	To be taken seriously as people prepared to do something about reducing dependence on fossil fuels.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Don't know.	8c / kWh is an insult to people investing in renewable energy. Battery storage with peak time delivery should be implemented.
11/10/2015 23:23:50	John	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Poor feed-in tariffs & strong incentives to explore energy storage options and go off grid	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		4 yearly	Set price high enough to encourage smaller, more affordable systems to increase, but low enough that people with bigger systems still have an incentive to install batteries
11/10/2015 23:08:31	Mark & Jackie	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The increasing costs, charges, fees!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Don't have enough info regarding this question	We paid \$12,000 for our solar system so we could generate cheaper energy. Not the case. Solar owners have been duped !
11/10/2015 22:56:33	David	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	The need for affordable battery storage: misinformation being spread by opponents of solar power and the urgent need to take all forms of action to limit the impacts of climate change; lack of government support especially financial support, just compare the money handed to miners by the government and the gouging of profits by electricity suppliers	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Annually	The price needs to have a component that encourages people to invest in solar as we cannot continue to burn fossil fuels if we want to leave a habitable country for the next generations. This will need to mean that consumers can negate the costs of any electricity from the grid. The benefits for the community will far outweigh any costs involved.
11/10/2015 22:48:58	PAUL	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Poor feed in tariff, battery storage expense.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	44 cents is unrealistically high, 6 cents is an insult.
11/10/2015 22:43:21	Martin	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Poor return on investment. Power companies continue to increase supply charge, eroding away any gains.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		5-yearly	
11/10/2015 22:42:37	Tim	Yes	44c	20c-30c	- Electricity supply is being anti competitive by jacking up the daily rates. - All the benefits residential rooftop solar provides are being ignored - The rooftop solar really helps on the hot days when people are using air con - Lots of air con systems caused the gold plating of the network and a lot of the cost that solar gets blamed for - We would like to put up more panels but we would lose our tariff	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Residential solar has reduced the wholesale price of power so the dodgy bidding practices are being employed where re-bids are employed to make the wholesale price artificially higher	Every 4 years	Make it fair for residential solar owners. The grid is getting a free ride from solar. In some cases solar has prevented the need for network upgrades but the governments never talk about that. We still need to be encouraging solar installation. The FIT does not need to be overly high but it does need to be higher than it currently is for people not on the 44c. As more people move to electric buses, cars and trucks we need more solar. The government should be pushing to get commercial/industrial to put solar on as well as bowls clubs and nursing homes. With a fair FIT and batteries demand would smooth out throughout the day and further lower the wholesale price. With the crappy FIT people are encouraged to use their power during the day when its needed to reduce mid day peak from air con use. Please have a look on <a href="http://reneweconomy.com.au">reneweconomy.com.au</a> they have a lot of good and fair information.
11/10/2015 22:30:26	Lyn	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Fear of losing the feed-in tariff agreed to when we went to the expense of investing in solar panels to assist in reducing costs during our retirement.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Never	
11/10/2015 22:28:21	Prue	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	The price we pay for power v's what we are paid for power fed into the grid.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		annually	It is very unfair. Those locked in to 44cents feed in are laughing while those who joined later have been screwed down from a vaguely reasonable 14 cents to now 6 cents.
11/10/2015 22:25:57	Dee	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	A fair price for energy that is free from the sun & infrastructure paid for by the producer of that free energy	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Yearly	This price must be fair & equitable.Recognition of the benefits is also a value added contribution to mitigating climate change & carbon emissions in this country.
11/10/2015 22:19:49	Dianne	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Paying for power while making power that will be sold to other users. Having to find a collective voice for negotiations on price of power sold. Owning a clean means of energy production that is undervalued by power retailers.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Having it used to create individual (owner) unrest and not as a valued alternative power source that can be counted on by the retailers.	Perhaps as a 3 year contract?	Energy retailers ask us to think ahead about our power use but the reality is they should be thinking ahead and planning on securing power from clean safe resources that won't continue to do more damage to our environment. What may have been an ideal solution once isn't necessarily a solution for the future.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 22:17:41	Rado	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	1. Greed of electricity companies 2. weather modification programs blocking out the sun with toxic chemicals released from aircraft and therefore reducing solar yealds	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		yearly	
11/10/2015 22:16:54	David	Yes	44c	other: The same price they sell it to me	Changes in government	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	No major negative issues the negative news reports are from the retail power companies.	Every 2 years	
11/10/2015 22:13:49	Alan	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Uncertainty about feed it tariffs.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		anually	With Solar and battery storage, the electricity generating boards should be able to manage the distribution better as peaks and troughs will be eliminated.
11/10/2015 22:05:06	David	Yes	other: 50	40c-50c	Consistency and long term policy	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 yearly	
11/10/2015 21:54:19	Stephen	Yes	other: 52	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The power co's are loosing revenue due to he onset of soarand they don't like it. It was the same with water, everyone had to use less, the water co's lost revenue, so they doubled the cost of the water!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3 years	They should either put it up, or at the very least, leave it alone except tie the price to the CLI.
11/10/2015 21:54:02	RJohn	Yes	other: N/A	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The cost of repaying their initial outlay with the small return for electricity fed back into the grid.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	The electricity companies will increase the cost to the householder of having a poles and wires network to offset the losses they face by selling less electricity to consumers.	Every 3 years	The present level is ridiculously low, and has been influenced by pressure from the electricity companies and the Government which gains such significant amounts from the companies.
11/10/2015 21:49:42	Chris	No	other: n/a	10-20c	Getting a reasonable rate of return for an investment in future proofing the Old electricity grid.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Negligible negatives as the change will be sequential and increased feed into the grid will balance the retirement of coal fired power stations now at the end of their economic life.	Annually	The feed-in price changes should match the median percentage increase in the combined per kW charge and daily connection fees for mains supply.
11/10/2015 21:48:31	Fergus	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Uncertainty about future government policies towards renewable energy targets and associated electricity pricing and incentives.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		Every 4 years	Whilst the solar feed-in tariff of \$0.44 was a major consideration in the installation of residential solar on our new home 6 years ago, at a time when the cost of solar panels was relatively high, we are committed to playing our part in directly reducing greenhouse gas emissions. We would install residential solar power again for this reason, but would expect the government to offer some compensation via a feed-in tariff for supporting a national reduction in greenhouse gas emissions.
11/10/2015 21:33:06	Tony	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Unfair payment for supply. If this isn't resolved (pro rata), many will go off the grid which will not only create havoc with supply, but those without solar will have exorbitant bills they cannot afford, an even worse situation.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every time the cost of supply changes.	A fair return for supplying the grid.
11/10/2015 21:20:08	Jean	Yes	other: 50	40c-50c	The initial price paid for my solar - thinking I was doing the right thing and now they want to reduce my feed in tariff without compensating me for the initial outlay, which was a lot and has still not paid for itself even though I was told it would of by now. They glossed it over to make it sound feasible just to get you into the solar market and are now trying to default the contract I signed up for - this is surely a breach of contract and not by me	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		10 to 15 years	I work in the electrical trade so I know the prices being paid for the cabling - so don't tell me we (the solar owners) are putting a drain on the big power companies in having to upgrade to support me. They are just whining because they are no longer making money from me
11/10/2015 21:18:50	David	Yes	6-8c	0c-10c	Government and corporate greed. my understanding is that connection prices are being driven up because pole and wire infrastructure has been over capatalised on. If someone makes a poor business decision, that person/ organisation should pay for it. Consumers are being told politically that their power bills are going down when in reality they are going up. This misleads the consumer and discourages them from making accurate decisions on more sustainable energy systems. Renewable and clean energy should be embraced and encouraged, not retarded because of the incompetence/ greed of a few. Yet from what I see happening in NSW's court case build up and SEQ extreme service charge increases, undermining solar and battery technology is the corporate and political game plan.	Yes		Other	environmental(pro duction of power) environmental(red uction of infrastructure for such a large grid) cost reliability	Other	consumers are being made to pay for infrastructure managements inability to anticipate growth in sustainable energy. Supposed experts in the industry that couldn't see what 1.4 million house holders could see that have had solar installed. In my world, if I back a three legged horse, I expect to loose my money, not get the tax payer pay to for the stupidity.	5 years	be fair to consumers. Give them reliable information so they can make informed decisions. If power or connection fees are going to go up 400 percent in 4 years, tell them. If political members are going to advertise the prices of power are going down when in all reality they are going up, put them in jail. I can almost justify corporate lies but if individuals employed by the people to represent the peoples best interests are deliberately lying/ misleading the people, they should be in jail. Corporations and state governments have had a good run out of making money out of mid century energy technology around Australia. It is time to accept that a change is due- or perhaps we should go back to using asbestos and Thalidomide.
11/10/2015 21:14:42	Paul	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The constant changes to feed in tariffs, rebates and rules which cause uncertainty for both PV and electricity consumers and retailers	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		yearly	I believe both the environmental benefits and the owners individual capital expense to purchase PV solar should be taken into consideration when considering a solar feed in price.
11/10/2015 21:09:37	Donald	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The government playing with the feed in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 5 years as a minimum	
11/10/2015 21:07:39	Dean	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Being able to re-coup the initial outlay of installing solar panels	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually	None
11/10/2015 21:06:03	Allan	Yes	other: 10c	other: 20c	Being ripped off for power produced - power companies make huge profit on power generated by me	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	none	Annually	Not big enough!
11/10/2015 21:05:25	Pierre	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The endemic greed of the electricity producers. They receive financial subsidies from governments, yet they insist on increasing the price of electricity [cents per Kwh] to pay the unreasonably large salaries of the managers and executives. Then blame the fall in revenue on the consumers that install roof-top solar systems in response to very high electricity bills. The managers and executives should not receive such high salaries then pass the cost onto the consumer.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 12 months	Like mandatory solar hot water systems on roof-tops in queensland, p.v. systems should be made mandatory on all new houses in new residential estates and on all new multi-storey apartment blocks to offset the concentrated demand these residential buildings require.
11/10/2015 20:57:00	Basil	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	reual cost	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly	fair.....fair you by the sola and get very little return for some thing you produce
11/10/2015 20:50:15	Robert	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The constant reviews and threats of wanting to change the tariff for citizens who purchased their solar panels prior to the changes	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	No negative impacts	annually	
11/10/2015 20:48:12	Greg	No	other: I do not have solar panels yet.	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Not being paid a feed-in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		12 monthly	The removal of this this tariff has been very unfair to those people who made the investment on solar panels in good faith and for the opportunity to contribute to minimizing climate change.
11/10/2015 20:46:43	Russell	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Having the expense of having it fitted then having the feed in tariff price cut	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		24 months	Just be fair about it
11/10/2015 20:46:43	John	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	outlay of a huge expense with little return	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		when pricing structure changes	when I installed my system I was getting 8c government rebate and 6c from the electricity company the government withdrew the 8c and left me with 6c I don't think that is fair when other consumers are getting up to 50c and did not loose anything it would be much fairer if everyone was getting the same price

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 20:43:24	Phillip	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	That panels are not worth it at 6-8 cents as most power is used in peak when panels are not working	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		12 months	That power companies are making large profits on our power and are still crying poor and we are paying the price
11/10/2015 20:39:49	Trudy	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Rising bills	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually	Incentive to have solar has gone without a reasonable feedin price
11/10/2015 20:37:47	Keith R	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	High capital cost of equipment, relatively low grid power cost, long payback time and unknown lifetime of equipment. High cost and limited lifespan of storage batteries make variable power generation and usage rates very expensive to manage.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Annually	Why am I charged 4 times the rate for power I use from the grid compared with what my grid retailer Ergon pays for my feed-in power, especially as I pay a fixed service fee and since 1st July 2015 a metering fee towards (presumably) grid connection costs?
11/10/2015 20:37:39	Richard	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	A fair return for investment	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly	Solar should be in outraged in country areas as save government a lot of money that fact is not made known as transmission costs are high
11/10/2015 20:34:22	ADAM	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	solar owners should at least be given the same rate at which we are charged as we effectively giving excess generated power to grid for free which does nothing to offset power used at night	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other		24 mothly	
11/10/2015 20:22:03	Terence	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Being paid a pittance of 8cents feed-in-tariff compared to 30 cents buyback. Also being discriminated against with the Government still paying 44cents to the lucky ones who are supplying the same solar electricity back to the grid.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		12 months	Very discriminative with one section being given a 44 cents feed-in-tariff and others being given a pittance of 8cents a kwh for the same ammount of energy being fed back to the grid.
11/10/2015 20:18:09	Clare	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Uncertainty around the feed-in tariff. losing the tariff if you relocate; adding more solar cells.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	There are no negative impacts of using clean, renewable energy compared to coal-generated power.	5 years	Need to take it back to the original level of 44c to make it affordable for households.
11/10/2015 20:15:22	Emily	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Big corporations have the ear of government which have allowed solar feed-in to be virtually worthless, while fixed access costs have risen.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Increased fixed cost fees for low-electricity users like pensioners	As often as wholesale	We should be supporting innovation as well as clean energy, not allowing old dirty energy to be subsidised by increasing access fees and underpaying solar feed-in.
11/10/2015 20:13:11	Gordon	Yes	other: 50c	20c-30c	The lies being told by the power companies blaming solar owners for increased prices.	No		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		5 years	The original solar owners do receive a high feed in tariff but also paid much higher set up costs. These owners are not the ones who have made huge profits from the scheme. Look at the suppliers. My system cost over \$14000 and can now be installed for under \$5000.
11/10/2015 20:13:10	raymond	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	poor foresight by governments	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		2 years	
11/10/2015 20:09:30	Amanda	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Current feed in tariff of 6 cents makes investing solar not really financially beneficial. As I am a low energy user, benefits of solar is limited to \$100 a quarter. I know many people who don't see solar as being worthwhile	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every time there a review of energy prices	I don't expect to make profit from solar, just a reasonable feed in that makes it worthwhile
11/10/2015 20:05:18	Paul	Yes	44c	40c-50c	We require an incentive to invest in solar. The incentives the government gives will be more than repaid because of the lowered carbon emissions. Lower emissions will result in less catastrophic weather. Less catastrophic weather will mean less droughts, less bush fires, less cyclones, less floods and less adverse health impacts. The 44c incentive is a wonderful investment.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I don't believe there are any negative impacts.	annually	Let us transform from the costly, polluting fossil fuel industry to the clean, cheap solar and wind energies as fast as possible. Let Queensland LEAD the world in renewable energy implementation. Imagine the OPPORTUNITIES.
11/10/2015 20:02:15	Ken	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	The government constantly changing the rules	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 5 years	
11/10/2015 20:00:55	bruce	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	the price of the rebate it should the same price for the power going back as you pay to buy it less supply (the carriage device poles and wires) that would seem fair to me	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	none	shouldn't be should just be the same price for a kWh in either direction consumed or produced	stop playing with it same for all producers
11/10/2015 20:00:48	John	Yes	44c	other: same rate as it is sold to us the customer	1. In ability to expand system without loosing good fee in tariff. 2. Daily connect fees. 3. retailers and wholesalers making battery ownership difficult.	Yes		Other	Cheaper energy as well as the reduction of harmful emissions.	Other	I would support and even forfeit my good 44c feedin rate for a fair, across the board, dollar for dollar equivalent rate for everyone - i.e. the retailers pay the consumer the exact price that they charge us and allow us to have battery storage as well. Maybe this would work to incentivise both new solar and battery storage for businesses and residences. Would seem fair and a win win including for the environment. This is even more important with the now increasing uptake of EVs.	it should reflect exactly the charged retail rate. We are not here to subsidize fossil fuel businesses	No future policy is sound (economically or environmentally) without consideration of growth in locally generated power (PV mainly), battery storage systems and electric cars. Consider what Germany is now developing as the next synergistic link - a trailered battery pack that doubles as him energy storage and a range extender for an EV. See Nomadic Power website. Any future policy needs to consider and promote all these components as they will converge due to the tremendous synergies to be had when solar, batteries and EV are brought together. Think broadly not in a linear old business model sense.
11/10/2015 19:59:51	John	Yes	44c	other: same rate as it is sold to us the customer	1. In ability to expand system without loosing good fee in tariff. 2. Daily connect fees. 3. retailers and wholesalers making battery ownership difficult.	Yes		Other	Cheaper energy as well as the reduction of harmful emissions.	Other	I would support and even forfeit my good 44c feedin rate for a fair, across the board, dollar for dollar equivalent rate for everyone - i.e. the retailers pay the consumer the exact price that they charge us and allow us to have battery storage as well. Maybe this would work to incentivise both new solar and battery storage for businesses and residences. Would seem fair and a win win including for the environment. This is even more important with the now increasing uptake of EVs.	it should reflect exactly the charged retail rate. We are not here to subsidize fossil fuel businesses	No future policy is sound (economically or environmentally) without consideration of growth in locally generated power (PV mainly), battery storage systems and electric cars. Consider what Germany is now developing as the next synergistic link - a trailered battery pack that doubles as him energy storage and a range extender for an EV. See Nomadic Power website. Any future policy needs to consider and promote all these components as they will converge due to the tremendous synergies to be had when solar, batteries and EV are brought together. Think broadly not in a linear old business model sense.
11/10/2015 19:59:12	Donavyn	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	The energy Co. complain that they are loosing money but can only pay me six cents per KW. then resell it for twenty nine cents These Co. should be government regulated not self regulated.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every time electricity goes up.	Give us a fair price to feed in electricity. Our feed in electricity saves on infrastructure.
11/10/2015 19:55:55	Gregory	Yes	other: 0	20c-30c	Whether it be solar owners or anybody, the massive power hikes to our electricity bills	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Every 5 years	Make the major power suppliers more accountable
11/10/2015 19:55:10	Stephen	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Potential unforeseen changes by various governments to solar feed in tariffs causing uncertainty to solar contributors.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually	Once you lock in then that tariff should not be able to be reduced for a minimum period, say 5 years but should increase with CPI or in line with electricity charges so that you are not disadvantaged.
11/10/2015 19:54:30	Andres	Yes	other: 10	20c-30c	Unfair rules from monopoly providers	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I can't see any negative impact	As often a energy prices	Is time to think about the future and stop supporting big corporations
11/10/2015 19:52:40	Bruce	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The lies and distortions regarding the implications of solar power peddled by governments like the Newman and Abbott govts. The Abbott Govt's bullshit about a \$500- cost to us for the Carbon Tax was the final straw. I have reviewed all our bills and the best I could come up with was a \$7- cost for one quarter, or \$28- per year!	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	As more consumers move to Solar, our generators and retailers will have to change strategies and behaviours, and recent history suggests that they are troglodytic and won't move with the changes!	Every Year	Let's have honest political debates and statements. No more politics! Lets have an independent body involved in the setting of prices.
11/10/2015 19:52:04	Edward	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	We invested to get cheaper power and then they put the price of power up to pay for upgrades that we don't need	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		whenever they put the price up	

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 19:47:07	Stuart	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	My electricity provider charges me more for Tariff 11 and Tariff 33 than non solar households yet still only paying me 6 cents per kWh. Will soon be leaving this provider.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Generation of rooftop solar is dependant on good weather.	Yearly	We are all aware how number crunchers get it wrong. what with our electricity doubling over the last 5 years and little to show for it apart from top heavy bloated corporations so instead of giving these corporations even more pass it on to the solar feed in Tariff.
11/10/2015 19:45:47	Kay	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The fixed charges, these are increasing and are a burden on all electricity consumers	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Every 5years	We are the sunshine state, by using the sun to produce power it takes the load off the power stations on hot days when people rank up air conditioning we are doing our bit to help and shouldn't be put down for helping
11/10/2015 19:45:47	James	Yes	other: 50c	other: 50c	electricity providers clawing back our feed-in by putting up the access fee on our power	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		at the end of the contract signed by the consumer	We joined the solar community at the advice of the then government with a guarantee of a fixed rate of return for the duration of our contract, that we would be helping by reducing the emissions put out by the power plants.
11/10/2015 19:45:12	Andrew	Yes	44c	40c-50c	I had to borrow money to put my solar system on my home. I did that as an investment in the future of the planet and because it is obvious that power prices will go up due to the over the top price gouging that the power companies continue to force on the public.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		never	leave it alone or raise it to encourage more solar powered homes.
11/10/2015 19:42:28	Max	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Inability to transfer 44 cent feed in tariff.	No		Other	Diversity of supply leading to greater resilience and reliability	Other	Minimal to negligible negative impact.	annual	
11/10/2015 19:42:04	Mark	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The continuing rise in electricity network charges so they end up with the same priced electricity bill even though their usage of non-solar electricity has fallen dramatically.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		yearly	
11/10/2015 19:39:40	andy	Yes	6-8c	other: 10c	Political Pressure from energy retailers reducing solar tariff and loading the fixed payment. This response demonstrates consumer power has exposed a lack of effective business strategy and over reliance on lobbying. This in turn suggests there is not enough competition. Government policy needs to recognise that residential solar is doing the climate mitigation job for them using citizen capital not Chinese investment!	Yes		Other	Cheaper energy for householder and small scale capital investment in a dispersed supply match's Australia's grid capacity efficiently	Other	I don't see any!	every 3 years	residential solar is a democratic way for ordinary people to influence the energy and climate debate by their purchasing action. The price is also a political signal Keeping in the 8-12 cents would send a strong market signal that politicians from both parties understand the issues and want consumers to add their voice.
11/10/2015 19:34:14	dean	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	feed in tariff too low relative to ongoing costs to the owners of solar systems lets say an inverter packs in or a panel fails these are risks to solar owners that are feeding power into the grid. 6 cents KW is too low to cover the investment which helps everyone and the environment. also \$1 a day connection fee including gst is more than enough per day connection costs	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		annually	a good economist takes less not more. lets look into why energex can't be more economical in their budget blowouts
11/10/2015 19:32:03	David	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Feed in rates far to low	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly	We are helping the government with green energy how about the government helping / supporting us.
11/10/2015 19:27:16	Nathan	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Changes to pricing. If you enter into a contract you expect all parties to abide by the contract until it is complete.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I don't believe there a major negatives. It may increase costs in some areas but overall it would reduce costs as it removes the need for additional power plants to be created.	Every 24 to 36 months.	Any changes to pricing should only be for new systems.
11/10/2015 19:24:57	Gary	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Price needs to reflect initial investment, which is significant for many solar owners. Retailers should understand that the user pays surely? They need to be paying for green energy at a price that reflects it's true worth, taking load OFF their precious gold plated networks.	Yes		Other	Distributed power is (when off grid) going to be less interruptable than grid power. Major environmental benefits, of course. Long term, it will be far cheaper than continuing to build humongous networks.	Other	None, long term. Short term, higher costs to consumers without solar if network retailer are ALLOWED to gouge others for the green energy sold.	bi-ennially	Far too low right now. Not a real incentive and does NOT reflect ROI for owners of systems.  Also, we should be allowed to INCREASE roof top solar on a residence without being knocked off the older 44c feed-in tariff. That should continue no matter how much solar power one provides.
11/10/2015 19:22:32	Rod	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Uncertainty about feed-in price impacts investment planning: ROI of expansion &/or storage is impossible to reliably calculate.	Other	Only if the government cares about global warming. If they insist on promoting coal then probably domestic battery storage isn't a priority.	Cheaper energy		Other	It's allowed coal interests to portray solar users as leeching on other tax payers. If electricity had not been privatised in the first place then this would be a non-issue and the govt could have had promoted solar on almost every home in the state. Treating electricity as a retail commodity instead of as an essential service has distorted power generation policy to the detriment of consumers and the environment, but coal interests are crying foul.	Wrong question. See below.	If Old had a rational, publicly owned and operated electricity generation and supply system then price would be a non-issue. But given current circs, the feed-in price should be a 2-3x multiple of the grid price because it supplies during the day when industrial and much commercial demand is greatest, thereby reducing the need for investment in additional fossil fueled power. Tough on the power companies who didn't do their homework properly but their profits are not my concern.
11/10/2015 19:17:58	John	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	constantly increasing supply charges and constantly decreasing feed in tariff	Other	yes but continuing support solar as well through better feed in tariff	Other	all of the above cheaper energy environment safer and reliable	Other	sorry can't see any	every time the price of power or supply charges are reviewed	at the moment the feed in tariff of 6 to 8 c is the biggest rip off ever made publicly seriously taking the power from one house with solar and sell it to the neighbor without solar with a 300% margin on it ahaha what a joke what sort of business is this
11/10/2015 19:16:31	Stjepan	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	After the initial contract expiration, we be at volves mercy.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly inflation	1 Solar power producers should be rewarded for producing 100% clean power. 2 we are charged double for supply of power. At least return our produced power for free.
11/10/2015 19:15:02	Alfred	Yes	6-8c	other: 15-20c	I only receive 6.5 cents per kWh, which is robbery. 44 cents is too much. Reduce the 44 cents and pay an average of 15 to 20 cents per kWh to all owners of Solar Panels that provide Electricity to the Energy Companies. Solar Energy at this point in time, is the best available clean source of energy. Why not support it??, to help fight pollution	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		6 monthly	Why is there two types of Energy Feed in Tariff, 44 cents per kWh and 6.5 cents per kWh? This is outright discrimination and irrespective of Contracts, every one should be made equal.
11/10/2015 19:13:58	June	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Getting ripped off with higher prices. When we're helping the environment & using our beautiful sunshine. They should be paying us more, we're not a drag but a help by not ruining our country. It's also clean & friendly. I don't know what the retailers pay on the wholesale market.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		In 2028	We're helping the Ergon Energy not hindering what's the beef they get paid. But we got to subsidize our selves. So what's fair about that? I think 44c is a fair price.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 19:07:05	diane	Yes	other: nothing	other: something	Ergon will not allow us to feed into the grid,so maybe you could subsidise a battery system for us,fair share is what we would like	Yes		Other	all of the answers on the list above	Other	we want to do the right thing,but ergon seems to be playing unfairly,apparently due to instruction you have given the regulator.	often	would be nice to take advantage of it.
11/10/2015 19:06:45	Brett	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Potential changes that are currently written in legislation	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		When the current legislated rate expires	Don't change it
11/10/2015 19:06:34	Rod	Yes	44c	40c-50c	uncertainty from government changes	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		10 years	We have huge solar insolation here, it's amazing we're not harnessing all we can get, and thus reducing greenhouse gases, carbon emissions, etc
11/10/2015 19:04:18	Robert	Yes	44c	other: The same rate that retail suppliers charge us for electricity we use from the grid.	No issue from me.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		yearly in line with the retail tariff price.	None.
11/10/2015 19:03:52	Russell	Yes	other: 52c	other: 52	I signed a legal Contract price for solar which was guaranteed by the Govt for 15 years. All I ask is for that contract to be honored otherwise a collective lawsuit should ensue.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 5 years	Different people are on different contracts depending upon when they entered into a solar agreement. Stick to the contracts we made!
11/10/2015 18:57:08	Lily	Yes	other: 35c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Not receiving the government feed in tariff for generating the energy	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		bi annually	all should be paid the government feedin tariff no matter when they installed their panels
11/10/2015 18:51:59	Barry	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Being a reason for higher power prices it's not fair after being told we need to stop climate change.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Each year.	At current rates it's not worth getting put on unless of the grid.
11/10/2015 18:49:45	christine	Yes	44c	40c-50c	We invested our own money to reduce the cost of electricity and signed a legal contract with the energy distributor for the good of all. How can the government break this contract?	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	There are none.	Only when current contract expires.	The initial price offered was generous. It was the encouragement that was needed to stimulate investment.
11/10/2015 18:48:58	Widjaja	No	44c	10-20c	Constant political attack.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		Never, just index it to CPI.	The total cost of poles and wires consist of transmission and distribution. A fair FIT would be the wholesale price of generation plus the cost of distribution.
11/10/2015 18:48:20	Glenn	Yes	44c	20c-30c	Installation costs, maintenance of panels, and badgering from Big Electricity.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		As required, but always with the intention of encouraging more installations of solar and other sustainable forms of electricity generation.	See above.
11/10/2015 18:46:33	Diane	Yes	44c	40c-50c	I use my own money to purchase equipment based on past agreements so in fact I'm helping the government. The soaring energy costs seem to be based on extremely high line costs. I think there should be a government enquiry into soaring energy company tariffs. Privatisation was meant to lower prices not make them soar!!!.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Unfair rising costs plus unequal unfair costs born by the homeowner and not industry.	Every 3 years	
11/10/2015 18:45:49	Pete	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Supply Charges, now over \$400 per annum which is a rip-off.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every time the electricity goes up	Solar should be encouraged across the state so the solar feed-in price should remain high for a long period
11/10/2015 18:41:56	Suzanne	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Creeping new costs and fees by the retailers. Converting to battery storage to go off the grid.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		5 yearly	The 44c tariff was a great incentive and many households now enjoy solar and the limited power bills. It makes sense to continue with incentives to get solar on every roof.
11/10/2015 18:39:41	David	Yes	other: I have several systems, some at 44c and 1 at 6c	10-20c	The government lies that are being spread about solar ownership forcing non-solar owners power bills up. The solar feed-in rate is only a portion any power bill. What about the repealed carbon tax, what a joke. During the carbon tax period the service fee was 50c per day then now with no carbon tax the service fee is 117c. You don't have to be a rocket scientist to work out what the government did.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	The on/ off effect cloud cover causes, impacts spot electricity price and base load efficiency	yearly or dependant on other network changes	A fair price of about 12c would give the solar owner a better return and still give the retailer 13c profit without having to transport the electricity much further than the local area. Yes there is still the local infrastructure costs but there would be lower supply losses than supplying at 275kV from hundreds of km away.
11/10/2015 18:38:20	Rob	Yes	44c	20c-30c	Not being able to retrofit battery storage without affecting my feed in tariff	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	None	When the minimum wage is reviewed	Feed in price should go up the same % as retail electricity if they are going to reduce the existing feed in tariff
11/10/2015 18:31:36	Alan	Yes	44c	other: 5 year payback rate - then retail rate.	How to convert to Battery	Other	Only support technologies that are safe and will last more than 2000 cycles on a 5 year payback rate	Other	less reliance on increasing grid infrastructure	Other	Risk of supporting obsolete technologies	when new technology	Tariff not to be considered in isolation
11/10/2015 18:28:54	JASON	Yes	44c	other: Retailers rate-15%	Manipulation of the market by energy providers and their lack of support for alternative energy.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		annually	maintain this for the roll out of battery storage.
11/10/2015 18:26:07	David	Yes	44c	40c-50c	People who installed solar in the early stages paid much more than the people installing now making for a much longer payback for their systems	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Same as other suppliers	More consideration is required into the savings from the large reduction in new power stations.
11/10/2015 18:20:44	Guy	Yes	other: 52	40c-50c	Repayments on systems	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 5 years	Government should incentivise solar to reduce network costs
11/10/2015 18:20:07	charles	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	the two frauds 1)that of paying me 6 cents for my electricity and selling it back to me at 25 cents. 2)the increase of the service to property fee as way of maintaining falling revenues.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	there needs to be no negative impacts if the change-over if properly managed by government.	I have no opinion other than regularly.	
11/10/2015 18:17:04	Terry	Yes	44c	30c-40c	becoming a play ball of politics - politics going backward	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		yearly	there should be two different: 1. a fair basic reimbursement of feed in by energy retailer (same rate that retailers buy electricity) plus 2. QLD State guaranteed add on subsidy to feed in tariff to support solar rollout
11/10/2015 18:11:50	mark	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	1. rip off low feed in tariff.2.extortionate daily user charge increase eg 50 per cent on mine since July1113. no diff in service use/or improved service from distrib to warrant any user charge increase. 4.Solar users should pay same rate for grid power as non solar. no penalties.5. solar owners have been paying for decades any higher prices charged ny suppliers who for 2-30 yrs claim rises due to cost of maintaining/expanding infrastructure, we paid for this for decades, still do in the increases every increase.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		same time any other increases reviewed	its a rort at the moment. As are the outrageous price increases over the last 5 yrs on all customers. Daily usage rort for all consumers needs to be examined and removed.
11/10/2015 18:10:03	michael	No	other: n/a	other: see below	As previously with solar hot water systems, uncertainty rather than encouragement and certainty mean a reluctance to invest is the biggest issue and this includes pricing! Why should the cost of being connected but not using mains electricity be so high? The same applies to properties that are occupied only occasionally!	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	It appears the electricity industry will simply increase costs to those connected and not connected to ensure income to continue grid based systems!	should be part of any price adjustment for all those connected to the grid	It has to be sufficiently certain to allow investment in a substantial solar system and storage rather than be used to discourage going solar or not rewarding those who do. For example given it is used to justify increasing expenditure to cover peak load, why not require ALL residential air conditioning be powered only by solar for new and altered residential properties including multi-residential?

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 18:07:54	paul	Yes	44c	40c-50c	lack of support of the government	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		of ten enough to obtain the right level	it is discouraging to be paid less than the price we pay
11/10/2015 18:01:14	Roselyn	Yes	other: 50c	30c-40c	Possibility of no returns on the solar investment	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	
11/10/2015 18:00:05	Daniel	No	other: nil	10-20c	the legitimacy of the placement of a solar power system by dodgy solar power power sellers	Other	not at this stage; batteries do not have the lifespan. Renew ran an article the (solar) batteries have a 10 year payback; problem is the warranty is usually 5 years or a capped draw-down rate, which can equate to a 4 year warranty.	Other	an alternative energy feed that can translate into lower government infrastructure costs	Other	not major, just that in inclement weather, the supply back into the grid is less dependable	every time electricity retailers talk of increasing the price of coal fired power	The disparity between what retailers have to pay Energex for coal-fired and what electricity retailers / Energex pays for solar energy
11/10/2015 18:00:03	Barbara	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	Not given a fair go for doing the right thing to save our environments.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3 years	
11/10/2015 17:59:30	Doug	Yes	44c	other: 44c	What do you mean 'fair price' do you mean the price paid for the subsidy rate? Our main need is for support for battery based inverter systems to help get people off the grid and free of the monopoly pricing arising from the grid and control of the distributors by a greedy government and over unionised work force.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Only upwards.	Our subsidy is acceptable at the present rate and we don't want it reviewed or changed unless we get a better rate per cent.
11/10/2015 17:55:33	Frances	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	If we aren't paid a reasonable rate, battery storage is a more attractive option.	No		Other	Looking term planning	Other	There's is to much infrastructure to be supported by remaining users.	annually	Solar shouldn't be blamed for the poor grid planning.
11/10/2015 17:48:21	wendy	Yes	other: 52c	other: 55c	Cost to add extra panels & upgrade inverter and cost of storage batteries. And after investing solar, we look like being penalised for not buying as much electricity.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 5 years or CPI annually	
11/10/2015 17:47:46	marty	Yes	other: 11	30c-40c	The increases in the daily charge! We should receive 50% (inc GST) of the daily charge and 50% (incl GST) of the retail price and NOT differentiate a retail price with those that do have or do not have solar. Same retail price for everyone.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Less huge profit to the suppliers and hence, less dividends that the Queensland government can rip off the suppliers!	Every time the charges to households go up!	Those that could install early received a far larger FIT. This inequitable state is unfair to those that bought a house later and hence missed out on the huge FIT. A reasonable balance would be that the FIT cancels the retail costs, so that those who stumped up large \$ for solar can amortize their up front costs within a few years. When countries in Europe can commit to 20% renewable by 2020, why are we -with some of the best sunshine in the world- not utilising the advantages of wind and solar power? Drive around in Germany, Spain, France, Croatia, see the number of wind power generators. See the huge number of solar arrays feeding whole communities. Why are we so 4th world?
11/10/2015 17:46:58	Helen	Yes	44c	other: 75c	The companies that sell this anyway. Mine cost \$8,000 and I haven't come anywhere near looking like I will see out its benefits before I die...	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		annually	Its all a rort.....now for the next person to buy my property they go on the lowest feed in price....it should stay the same as I had it
11/10/2015 17:46:34	Simon	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	paying exorbitant fixed prices for being connected to the grid, but this may accelerate the deployment of battery storage which is falling in price, and enable to be free of the grid altogether and permit local micro generation	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	the whole power generation and distribution model is disintegrating as production and consumption becomes more and more local. the worst impact is allowing the market and vested interests lobbying to protect an increasingly unsustainable monopoly, preventing the sort of innovative solutions already working in places like germany and denmark	annually	the feed-in price is not the main point. it's the whole power generation & distribution model that needs a root & branch review by government on behalf of us
11/10/2015 17:45:29	Gavin	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Feed in tariff being reduced will make new customers reconsider getting this great energy source for there homes. The small feed in tariff makes it less viable as I still get a \$400+ energy bill after my large investment while neighbours with the same sized system on a different tariff do not receive a bill at all.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 2 years	
11/10/2015 17:42:02	John	Yes	other: 11	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Lack of government support. Subtle fee increases (e.g. daily connection charge).	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		Annually	
11/10/2015 17:40:42	Lynette	Yes	other: 52c	40c-50c	Biggest issue concerned about is the pricing structure that maybe implemented Higher electricity pricing for owners of solar.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Energy retailers unable to add more services when not really required - will mean job losses	every 4 years	It should be kept in mind not everybody who installed solar were entitled to rebates and any changes should be assisting people who presently have no solar from any gains. I keep saying this, electricity is not a luxury but a necessity and it is sad to see that a lot of people try and set up to keep costs down but price hikes happen on every necessity they need to have.
11/10/2015 17:32:12	Jenny	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Having all the advantages that were used to encourage us to use solar power systematically taken from us.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Whingers complaining about people who have solar power just because they didn't buy at the right time.	As promised at time of purchase.	
11/10/2015 17:27:45	Judy	Yes	Don't know	40c-50c	The fact that current solar owners seem to be at risk of losing their contracted price, and that those who are later owners are missing out on a fair return on investment	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		when a contract runs out, or on a 3-5 yearly basis if no contract exists.	Early investors put in solar in good faith that the contract would hold. Not everyone has been able to access these earlier more generous prices. However, there must be a level that would be economically viable for later investors because the major objective, from my POV, is environmental benefit.
11/10/2015 17:25:13	Gregory	Yes	44c	20c-30c	The negative attitude of some press	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5years	The owners have made a large financial commitment to reduce green house emissions and the fee-in should reflect this
11/10/2015 17:21:02	Werner	Yes	other: 52	40c-50c	Contracts that lock out any changes, change of owner, adding solar capability etc.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3 years if all terms of the contract can be changed at the same time, it adding more panels.	
11/10/2015 17:16:12	Alan	Yes	44c	40c-50c	High standing charges which penalize low usage	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		After the agreed contract has expired	

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?	
11/10/2015 17:15:11	Brian	Yes	44c	10-20c	exorbitant network charges	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Network and current major generators potentially lose a cash cow and need to get into this century	annually		
11/10/2015 17:14:03	Craig	Yes	other: 50	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Poor implementation from the get go. Doesn't matter how the power is generated its the same regardless. Solar should be encouraged not discouraged. Off grid solutions will be the next step if energy companies are not smart and practical.	Yes		Other	Cheaper, safer, more reliable and best option for the environment.	Other	There are none only the ones the energy companies are fabricating to bump up prices.	yearly in line with retail	Stop jacking up the other fee's or energy companies risk losing out big time as new off grid technology rolls out.	
11/10/2015 17:09:49	H	Yes	other: 50	other: 28	The same price that they sell it to me for. I will not sell it for less than I have to pay for it!!!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	There are NO negative impacts for Old electricity grid. If anything, it should lower overall costs of providing electricity to non solar users.	Whenever elec prices rise.	Unless it is at least the same as what the consumer has to pay, it is theft!!	
11/10/2015 17:08:46	Derrick	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The cost of initial ownership	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I see it as a positive because earth destroying fossil fuelled powered power plants can be phased out	Yearly	The solar feed - in tariff supports consumers to move away from earth destroying fossil fuels.	
11/10/2015 17:07:52	Gary	Yes	other: 10c	40c-50c	Huge outlay, minimum return on investment. want to break even	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		annually	needs to be fair	
11/10/2015 17:07:35	Roland	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	dodgy chinese imports	No		Cheaper energy		Other	feed-in only happens at fair weather/sunshine means what goes in is unpredictable ad only fit for the base load	every 5 years	I have solar and I vote. Treat me unfair with feed-in tariff and I will act accordingly.	
11/10/2015 17:07:04	Glen	Yes	other: 52c	other: 52c	Getting a fair return on a substantial investment.	Yes		Other	Given the clusterfuck which is the Australian electricity industry (and no direction home) it would be wise for anyone with the necessary resources to put themselves in a position where they can exit the grid.	Other	The grid is not designed to handle small, intermittent and dispersed generators. It is very unlikely that can ever be achieved.	Every 3 years	I have spent a considerable amount of money (for me) on a 5.4kw system with battery backup which can, if necessary, stand alone. I am a legacy supplier. I have a legal agreement with the QLD government to maintain a certain minimum feed in tariff which is 44c/kwh. If the current government reneges on this agreement before its expiry date there will be hell to pay in the courts with a virtually guaranteed class action.	
11/10/2015 17:01:22	Timothy	Yes	44c	30c-40c	none	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		2 years?		
11/10/2015 16:57:31	John	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Cost of staying connected to the grid	Yes		Other	1 Do not have to up grade the system to carry heavier loads. 2 Do not have to build more & bigger power stations at great expense to the Power Companies	Other	Power Companies less profits to share holders.	Adjusted to inflation	Pity our State Pollies sold OUR power supply leaving us skint	
11/10/2015 16:54:28	Graham	Yes	other: 0c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Electricity companies (Ergon, in my case) are refusing to allow ANY feed in tariff on rural installations. They are also restricting the size of installation by controlling the equipment they allow to be attached (I was restricted to a 5kWh inverter, although I wanted an 8kWh system) and the amount of battery storage on the system! These companies are taking government subsidies for capital equipment upgrades but are not spending it on creating a network that will accept power from home suppliers. Instead, they are doing everything they can to limit the size of home installations to a level below what a household might actually require, so that we all remain reliant on their supply. Well, if they want to do that, and the Queensland Governments thinks that is appropriate, fine, but they can at least allow us to sell power onto the grid and at the market rate.  I don't care so much that Ergon will not allow me to feed surplus energy onto the grid, or that, even if I did, I would be paid almost nothing for it. My main purpose in getting solar PV is to avoid using electricity that comes from burning fossil fuels. If I can also avoid, to some extent, being screwed by Ergon, that would be nice too. That's worth money to me.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	There are no negative impacts. If every Queensland resident had access to solar PV (or wind or hydro) with battery storage on their own premises and we did away with the coal- and gas-powered generators, we would all be better off, as would the whole world. The sooner these energy companies go out of business the better.	It should be pegged to the wholesale market price.		It is disgracefully low. The energy companies are simply profiteering, buying it at 6.5c (or whatever) and selling it at, at least, four times as much.  The Old Govt. (and the Federal Govt.) should be very actively encouraging the use of solar PV (and other micro-generation technologies) and battery storage. Tariffs and subsidies should be increased across the board in a concerted effort to end the fossil fuel industry.  This year, the world reached the 1 degree mark in its ever-increasing global warming. It is currently on track to reach 4 degrees by 2100. (Perhaps only 3 deg. If the Paris talks yield actual results instead of empty promises - like Australia's empty promise.) Anything other than the shut-down of the fossil fuel industry means future climate disaster for this country. All levels of government should be doing whatever they can to achieve that end.
11/10/2015 16:53:27	Monty	Yes	44c	20c-30c	Receiving a fair price for their feed in electricity.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	The Government should pay a fair price and stop gouging the profits from Ergon and Energex.	
11/10/2015 16:49:00	Ken	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Not getting a fair feed-in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	possibly a less certain power source.	Every time there is a price rise.		
11/10/2015 16:48:58	Ruth	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Exorbitant tariffs on infrastructure mean we are still paying for power that we are generating ourselves.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Bi-annually	generate the power, why are we not getting the benefits? The feed-in price should be commensurate with the costs we have to pay.	
11/10/2015 16:45:04	Brett	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Big power companies constantly trying to rip off the consumer through government approved price increases, and the constant attacks on owners of solar power systems by the same big companies trying to gouge profits by removing or severely reducing the contracted feed in tariffs.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Initial setup costs for residents upon purchase and installation, as for the QLD electricity grid there is no major negative impact except for the possible reduction in generation output required during the daytime hours.	10 years	Like many others we purchased a solar system had it installed and approved by the relevant authorities, a feed in tariff contract was then signed and as such should be honoured for the duration of the contracted term, should the contracted feed in tariff be altered by way of a reduction in price or value then there should be grounds for legal recompense for all the effected parties.	
11/10/2015 16:42:02	Brian	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Government using the power suppliers as a cash cow drives the bureaucrats to find ways of reducing the rebate.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	Index the feed-in-price in accordance with CPI would be fair.	
11/10/2015 16:36:56	Paul	Yes	44c	other: 60	Large investment for purchase of solar and set costs, corporate greed from electricity providers supplying brown energy from fossil fuels any getting support from govt.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		annually	Ergon energy continues to increase the price of electricity and administration charges. They supply dirty electricity generated by coal. Solar suppliers should be reimbursed for all additional costs i.e., administration, tariff increases, that Ergon continues to add to our electricity accounts.	
11/10/2015 16:36:14	Martin	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	government changing policy	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		five years		

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 16:32:38	John	Yes	other: 11	20c-30c	That the electricity wholesalers such as Energex and Ergon try to dissuade people from installing solar, and penalize those with solar, by applying excessive daily supply service charges. It is not the consumer's fault that the wholesalers tried to get rich by gold-plating the grid and failed because they underestimated the rate at which solar would be taken up. Also, the measly feed-in tariff paid to solar owners means that power is bought from solar owners at a low price and sold nearby at about four times the purchase price. This is profiteering by the wholesalers. Solar owners should be paid the sale price of the solar energy less the cost of transporting it by wires within the few hundred metres to where it is consumed by another household.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	The only negative impact on the grid is that the fossil fuel industry is increasingly out of pocket and expects/demands to be fed by consumers.	Annually	The world is facing an environmental train-wreck. It is important that citizens, the government, and even vested interests such as the fossil fuel industry, understand that surviving the coming catastrophe is more important than making an excessive profit or clinging to old fashioned obsolete ways. Solar and other sustainable energy sources are the way of the future and should be supported to optimize our chance of survival. By setting the feed-in tariff at an economic and fair rate to encourage consumers to install solar (and batteries) we can as a nation, help to ameliorate climate change and help our descendants have a world something like ours to enjoy, instead of one ravaged by climate change that is barely or not survivable.
11/10/2015 16:32:32	will	Yes	44c	40c-50c	We need to get a good tariff to make it more affordable to get more cells on the roof so that we can be self sustaining.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I don't see any negative aspects. It reduces the load on the grid which is what the govt. was complaining about. Now that we are doing it it seems thats no good...	Bi yearly	If you want to reduce gas emissions then solar power is the way to go. Put it in roads!
11/10/2015 16:31:35	Bevan	Yes	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Not being credited for helping the government reduce the energy consumption in this state.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	
11/10/2015 16:30:31	Vaughan	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Being able to incorporate batteries to the system	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		2 yearly	
11/10/2015 16:29:32	Peter	Yes	other: 52c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Solar owners went to the expense of installing solar panels (not forgetting the rebates) to offset their power bills and consumption from the grid with the positive addition of reducing our pollution. Having taken this step we then had our solar power rate reduced to practically nothing negating the savings we had depended on when the solar incentives were introduced. This especially applies to those of older years who planned to reduce their crippling power bills upon retirement from the working force. This would allow some comfort in the homes of those elderly who suffered from heat and cold during summer and winter climes. Those same power rates should also apply to those who not only put in a new solar system but those who sell their homes and move. The loss of their present rate to the lower one is quite an impost upon their hopeful savings.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		At each electricity price review	Just this, a fair price for those willing to have a go at helping the environment as well as trying to look after themselves after retirement.
11/10/2015 16:28:05	Lynelle	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Being charged for having solar power	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I don't think there are any negative impacts	Annually	Electricity pricing is just getting more and more expensive. Some stability in the market would be good so families can budget!
11/10/2015 16:26:13	Troy	Yes	44c	30c-40c	I want to upgrade to a 3Kw, from my current 1.7Kw, but the instant I do, I lose my current 44c, down to the 8c. I would need to put in 10Kw to make any difference to my power bill, but my roof is nowhere near large enough to accomodate such an upgrade.	Other	I think they should make the installation as least painless as possible, possibly even tax free. I don't want one handed out of heavily funded by goveremnt, that is just not fair to the economy.	Environmental benefits		Added expense		5 years	As I am on 44c now, and on such a small system it is feasible for me. If the feed in price gets dropped, then we should be able to install off grid batteries and larger inverters at no penalty. I can see how solar FIT would affect the grid, since during the day you have a massive amount of power fed in by users, and at night we slurp the grid like everyone else. Battery packs would offset the initial loads though.
11/10/2015 16:23:58	Ross	Yes	other: 50c	20c-30c	Uncertainty.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	
11/10/2015 16:17:22	chris	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	retailers have made it economically unfeasible to buy solar	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		anually so it can increase with the cost of electricity to keep it fair	Disgusting !!! the only drain on retailers from solar is their disgustingly huge greedy profit margin !!!
11/10/2015 16:15:22	Marion	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	negative and unreliable press statements.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		every 2 years	Considering that solar owners outlaid mainly their own money I feel that we should , not be recompensed, but paid a fair price for helping to supply electricity to the state of Queensland. We are responsible for our own equipment and do not ask that the government assist in our costs. As the government is buying the feed-in power surely it should be paying at a competitive rate.
11/10/2015 16:04:11	Seamus	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	we are being punished by our Government for doing the right thing...whereas the providers are being maintained. If we live in a market economy then the market forces cut both ways.This punishment just proves that neither Labor nor LNP are really on the People's side at all, nor on the side of climate control for future generations.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	cleaner air, cheaper power, ...oh yeah sorry it's only the Government and the providers who see it this way.	regularly and paid in line with the big end of town.	We produce energy to feed into a grid just like Ergon and Energex...we demand to be paid the same as them. It's an equity issue.
11/10/2015 15:59:23	Steven	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Not getting a fair Price. Wouldn't I like to buy something for 6cents and then sell it for 22cents.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Every time there is a price rise for the Companies.	Anna you are there to govern for all queenslanders not just BIG BUSINESS.
11/10/2015 15:54:18	Virginia	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	With such a low feed in tariff there is no incentive for people to go solar which is a real shame as solar is far better for the environment.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		At the same time as power costs are reviewed	It's pathetic and should be at the same rate as the kWh charge.
11/10/2015 15:54:05	Ron	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The clear reluctance by Federal and State Governments to embrace renewable energy as the clean, non-polluting energy of the future - probably because of the revenue generated from fossil fuel compamies.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		10 year intervals	The feed-in tariff should be transferable when a house is sold.
11/10/2015 15:51:59	Errol	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	BIG BUSINESS TELLING THE GOVERNMENT WHAT TO DO. GOVERNMENTS ARE THERE TO GOVERN FOR ALL QUEENSLANDERS NOT JUST THE SELECT FEW.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Should go up inline with the cost I pay to the company	ANNA YOUR DECISIONS WILL SET OLD UP AS A PROGRESSIVE / TECH SAVVY STATE FOR YEARS TO COME. GERMANY HAS A BATTERY SUBSIDY WHY CAN'T WE? FORGET COAL THE WORLD HAS.
11/10/2015 15:50:46	Gayl	Yes	44c	40c-50c	That the gov will reduce the feed in Tariff therefore making their investment redundant.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I really can't see that it has any negative impact except that the power companies are crying poor to the gov because of reduced revenue and if these companies were not so greedy with their power costs people would not be looking for a way to reduce their expenses.	At the end of a customers contract.	The gov should honor the contract that was made to people who went to a large expense to install solar. In our case the contract us till 2028 and I believe it should be honoured no other organisation can break a contract without consequences.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 15:49:03	Eric	Yes	other: 6.348 cents	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	While the consumption price per kWh has been cut back from 25.378c to 22.238c this has been of no or very little benefit for solar producers because the Service Fee including the Metering Services Charge has risen from 91.755c/day to 117.474c/day - an increase of 28.03%. The solar rebate dropped from 6.53c/kWh to 6.348c/kWh. As a result we are now paying considerably more for electricity. It is all smoke and mirrors and solar producers are being hit the hardest.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		In line with any other changes to electricity costs.	Solar producers are being unfairly penalised due to higher 'poles and wires' costs. We are environmentally more friendly than grid consumers but get little benefit. It is wrongly stated that grid consumers are subsidising solar producers because they refuse to take into account the initial cost of installing a solar system. When there is the capacity to be self sufficient through cost effective and efficient battery storage many will go off the grid. The government could lower costs to consumers but seeing as it rips millions from Ergon and Energex for its own pockets it is not going to do anything which actually lowers the cost of electricity to consumers. Time of use meters are the biggest con jobs going and will lead to further increases in power costs. It is obvious most families will consume more power from 6 - 9am and 6-8pm and it is not possible to change this - who wants breakfast at 5am and dinner at 9pm?
11/10/2015 15:48:12	Mary	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The biggest issues facing my household are the ongoing maintenance costs on top of ensuring the cost incurred in installing the solar system is recovered. It will be some time before these costs are recovered.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every twenty years	We entered into a contract stating feed-in tariffs have been locked in at a set price that is to finish in 2028. If our contract is to be modified the price should rise to compensate for any costs that are yet to be recovered.
11/10/2015 15:46:54	Rita	No	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	We are not getting a return for our money but are giving free power to the greedy electricity suppliers...	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		whenever the grid prices change...	Lets be fair as it stands this system is daylight robbery... we are saving the electricity companies generating costs yet getting nothing in return
11/10/2015 15:46:04	Jeffrey	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Lack of warranty on the solar systems being sold	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		5 yearly	
11/10/2015 15:40:03	Barry	Yes	other: 52c	40c-50c	Unfair rebate changes that affect our investment.	Other	Consider a greener and safer option by converting to hydrogen.	Environmental benefits		Added expense		10 years	It's good for some but unfair to many. Power price increases forced it to happen now they complain.Feed in tariffs should be a frozen contract.
11/10/2015 15:39:36	Richard	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	Low Feed in price, and no appreciation for the money we have saved the Govt in not having to upgrade facilities.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		12monthly	We are being treated as fools, the whole Govt thinking is based around money. Not making enough, and motivated by greed in exorbitant salaries and bonuses for the chosen few and not about helping the environment.
11/10/2015 15:39:32	Mal	Yes	44c	other: Same as the Retail Price of the day...	Uncertainty over the price gouging tactics currently being employed by the Power Industry to penalise people who either currently own PV systems or are considering them.	Yes		Other	More cost effective and environmentally considerate power generation and delivery system.	Other	None at all...	Every three to five years by an independent council of qualified people who are not beholden to either the Govt. or the Power Industry.	The current system is far too arbitrary and influenced too much by Govt's of the day and the Power Industry. The so-called Competition Authority in QLD is a joke and does NOT engender fair competition between all participants, and is far too heavily biased towards the interests of the Power Industry.
11/10/2015 15:38:58	LYNDA	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	The initial cost of the solar as well as the increasing 'service' charges that are increasing at alarming rates (50-100%) each year, just in the last few years.	No		Other	The ability for energy production to occur at the ground level rather than spending money on out dated infrastructure.	Other	That it is only helpful during the daylight hours.	Every 2-3 years	Perhaps the initial feed in price was a bit high, but at the time consumers paid a lot more for their solar to be installed. The government at the time wanted to increase the uptake of solar, which was achieved beyond their expectations. That should not mean that new solar customers should be disadvantaged by no or low incentives, especially feed in tariffs. I understand the original feed in needs to remain at the same level, however to actively discourage consumers to invest in solar is counter productive. If our population grows to expected levels, alternative new technology needs to be considered and invested in now in a proactive manner, rather playing catch up.
11/10/2015 15:36:07	James	Yes	other: 10c	20c-30c	feed-in tariff is too low.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		once couple years	
11/10/2015 15:33:16	Mark	Yes	other: 52c	20c-30c	Having to draw from the grid during no solar hours.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 5 years	
11/10/2015 15:32:08	June	Yes	44c	40c-50c	initial costs and maintenance of system to keep in good order, and the fact that the government keep moving the goal post in favour of the big end of town boys.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	none as they still receive the monies for all supply charges	see below	if uou have a contract for a set price then that is what it should be throughout the life of that contract. the feed in should then be at least the same as what the electricity provider charges the householder.
11/10/2015 15:30:30	Will	No	other: not on solar	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Low feed-in tariffs.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Need better load smoothing methods. Non-solar customers should be able to buy energy from solar customers at near feed-in tariff prices	Almost on demand - feed in price should be lower when everyone is generating solar and high when few people are. People in far West QLD could make a fortune! (I live in Brisbane so it's not my wallet talking)	The energy market isn't set up right for solar either - a more flexible pricing model (cheap daytime, expensive evening) would likely make solar make more sense for everyone (including those without solar who could use the energy produced by those with solar for less money than they currently pay)
11/10/2015 15:30:21	Mary	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Distress about the lack of incentive for others to go solar.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 2 years	I am delighted to know that this issue is on the agenda.
11/10/2015 15:29:08	alan	Yes	other: 10c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	my supply charge has increased by 600% in 4 years\$145 qtr.pushing consumers to battery off grid	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		2 years	those who receive 44c and do not use any power at all.they are the ones pressuring the system
11/10/2015 15:27:21	Vicki	Yes	other: 51c	40c-50c	The greed of the Corporations blaming people who have paid to have solar on their roofs for soaring prices of electricity.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Nil negative effects - we are doing our bit to reduce our carbon footprint.	Every 2 years.	We need to encourage people to have clean energy so we must keep a feed in tariff reasonable.
11/10/2015 15:27:14	Mike & Lexie	Yes	other: 50c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	It's not abt FIT. State gov MUST work quickly with Its NGO generators to restructure the whole cost of and return on distribution assets. Gov no longer can allow gencos a guaranteed ROI on assets in a falling consumption environment by ever increasing fixed rate charges. How can Qld and Australia possibly be a growing, agile, thinking economy with the highest power charges IN THE WORLD! The Fed Independent Arbitrator can't work against the gov/genco lobby who are making huge money from electricity. The gencos and Qld gov must integrate solar and fossil fuel generation into a new model, together with fair distribution costs without expansion incentives, and allow a lower ROR. Solar and fossil fuels will compete under the model and solar will grow as batteries come on line. Coal must of necessity die away over time and should NOT be supported by gov as it is now. I am getting very angry indeed about this situation. It is a big task but failure to take effective control by Qld Gov will result in mass disobedience of genco usury and rapid uncontrolled growth of a second rate generation alternatives.	Other	This must be integrated into the whole electricity generation model for the state. No incentives, just a fair system model from Government that private industry can work in. The best will always win, so make the parameters right.	Other	Obviously environmental, which also means cheapest in the final outcome. Don't concentrate however, on the relative comparisons between solar and fossil. Concentrate on the total generation picture by all sources, without funding by type. Our model is old and defunct in 2015. We need a new one. It will be easy to tweak in favour of one or more sources later, when it is clear how the market develops and votes.	Other	More expensive, under the current economic model. Let the private sector, under the market support of the consumers, determine which is better. From an environmental point of view, if fossil fuels come out better, then a different question must be answered, but that's fine and clear.	Not Applicable	1. This is a critical social economic issue 2. We are looking in the wrong direction with this survey 3. Please don't be taken in by lobbies on fossil and renewable energy. They never disclose their hidden benefits! 4. The Queensland Government MUST stop conniving with Gencos to get guaranteed ROR 3. Why can't Queensland be the first State in Australia to get a proper, intelligent, cost effective and public ally supported energy model which is fair for everyone.
11/10/2015 15:25:40	Anna	Yes	44c	30c-40c	To be charged for contributing via solar panels to the electricity production of Qld.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 10 years	

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 15:21:35	Charles	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Getting a fair price for power back to the grid	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Yearly	Clean energy
11/10/2015 15:21:03	Michael	Yes	other: 52c	other: 52c	The initial installation cost - so governments should do all they can to encourage more solar takeup	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	no negative impacts	bi-annually	customers should have the feed in price fixed at the time of installation so as to give certainty on the value of their investment
11/10/2015 15:20:32	Jeanette	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Uncertainty.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Not sure.	
11/10/2015 15:14:08	Geoff	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	you go to the effort and expense of putting solar on to save money and the planet then the Government wants to take their assistance off you.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		3 yearly	the 48c is to much but should be whatever the price of electricity is at the time.
11/10/2015 15:11:46	Jill	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Return on investment. Maintenance and support servicing No control of decisions	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3-5 years	
11/10/2015 15:09:44	Dee	Yes	other: 52	other: retail	Keeping competitive in a coal subsidised industry	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Lack of Wholesale Energy Infrastructure to support more residential Solar	link to retail pricing bi-annually	Solar is the most efficient source of energy, there should be rebates. Govt should spend less subsidies on Coal industry.
11/10/2015 15:09:02	Don	Yes	44c	other: 52c	The Government keeps trying to 'Move the Goalposts'	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Whenever Electricity prices are increased.	
11/10/2015 15:07:54	Suzanne	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Installation costs	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		every 20 years	
11/10/2015 15:05:22	Nate	Yes	other: standalone	20c-30c	Retailers deceiving the system with below retail buy back prices	Yes		Other	Supplying power at the required source abolishing massive losses in transmission lines. supporting an already high demand on the network.	Other	Distribution companies lacking in maintaining stable low voltage supplies within their own parameters	every 5 years	
11/10/2015 15:05:22	scott	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Being told im greedy when I pay 28c but get paid 6c.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		same as tariff rates	Solar should attract the same tariff as the retail tariff
11/10/2015 15:05:00	anthea	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	the disparity where some people are paid 44c and others are paid only 8c or less. It should all be the same and the government should subsidise the installation of panels	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		annually	having two schemes is not fair, pay everybody the same amount
11/10/2015 15:00:08	Rod	Yes	other: 52c	other: 52	Insecurity of rates. Time taken to recoup initial outlay. Cost of having access to the grid	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Longevity of roof panels	10 year intervals	If I was to build a new house I would use a stand alone power system to eliminate the government's say in my cost of power
11/10/2015 14:56:26	John	Yes	other: 44 c net.	other: At least equal to the retail price	Getting the return on the Solar Investment.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	I believe there is no negative impacts. Solar reduces the load on the wires. This is what the government wanted. It also reduces greenhouse gas.	In line with what the retail market	The feed in price should at least equal what households pay. We paid out our life savings to do what the government wanted us to do and now we are made out to be the baddies .
11/10/2015 14:54:26	Helen	Yes	44c	other: The same rate that consumers pay for electricity	Lack of government support for alternative energy. Solar owners these days are penalised for doing the right thing rather than encouraged to contribute to Australia's power generation.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		As often as the retail price for electricity	I'm disappointed with the lack of support from the governments in an area (alternative energy) where Australia could lead the world. It's about time the Australian governments did what's right for Australians and the environment rather than what benefits the big resources companies.
11/10/2015 14:53:53	Joe	Yes	other: 10ckWh	20c-30c	very little return (30%) for a large PV investment with all energy providers paying less than 10% feed in Tariff.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every CPI Increase.	Bring on Battery storage for home use and give the Energy providers some real competition. Stop private company gouging of the Australian public and give all a fair go to live.....JD.
11/10/2015 14:49:34	Denis	Yes	other: 52c	20c-30c	The manipulations and gouging of the electricity market across the board.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	None.	Adjusted to peak charge rates.	It should match peak charge rates and be adjusted to maintain parity.
11/10/2015 14:48:47	Paul	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	To choose to install solar knowing that you are in effect subsidising private energy systems and their shareholders. High initial costs and the subtle costs for maintenance.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every two years	Essentially I feel the energy companies complain about my feed in tariff but they then on sell at 3 times the price. Hypocrisy.
11/10/2015 14:47:48	David	Yes	other: 52c	other: 52c	Escalating costs of electricity supply. Like all investments, investors are looking at a return on their investment. However with the escalating costs of supply by retailers return margins are declining and therefore timeframes on return in terms of initial outlay for solar systems are increasingly expanding. One of the other key issues is environmental responsibility. I am of the view that there are many solar citizens that a key element of their initial investment was the benefits it adds to our environment long term. If the incentive is made far less attractive, or even worse completely removed, then the investment is no longer attractive or affordable and hence becomes a bad investment particularly during times of financial hardship and instability. This will discourage future investors in this sector and hence negatively impact on the environment.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		I think annually or biannually is probably reasonable whoever any changes to the pricing structure as a result of the review should require retailers to transparently justify any increase based on real increase to operating costs and that those costs should be clearly detailed in the consumers bill in much the same fashion as the government has done with tax returns.	I believe that QLD got the feed-in tariff formulae correct as opposed to some of their stae government counterparts i.e. solar feed-in price based on net contribution as opposed to NSW's gross feed-in and allow the retailers to bid for their business based on further incentives like AGL's 52c feed-in. All in all in my view I am happy with the status quo.
11/10/2015 14:46:42	Cyril	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Feed in prices and loosing the 44c Tariff if we decide to rent our place out for awhile. Blaming solar owners for high power prices really hurts too.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	I can't really see any real negatives only manufactured excuses.	As often as prices increase. Alternatively link it to wholesale prices and leave it alone.	Be fair and the people will respect you and your decisions.
11/10/2015 14:46:15	Phil	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Uncertainty over the feed-in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I don't see any negative impacts caused by residential solar.	don't know	A reduced tariff will hurt the environment or the fledgling solar industry.
11/10/2015 14:46:09	alain	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	big bills	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		as often as the electricity company a reviewing they price	My retailer as tricked me with their paperwork in a way their are now paying me 8c instead of 44c of feed-in
11/10/2015 14:45:34	David	Yes	other: 50c/kWh	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The power companies keep putting up daily supply costs to increase revenue unfairly. My rate has increased nearly 400% over last 6 years - total rubbish. The governments don't care as they get the power company dividends as a back door tax. The states need to support new battery technology, and clarify policies when people want to go off the grid as they have their own power system.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		never	We need to bring in batteries and go off grid. Government need to sell power asserts and make them compete with battery technologies as stand alone costs. We the consumer then decide what is best and cheapest to use, not be forced by governments.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 14:42:24	Phil	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Uncertainty over the feed-in tariff.	Yes	As a pensioner, it's only the initial cost of battery backup that's holding me up. A little support from the government would help enormously.	Environmental benefits	As well as benefiting the environment, the cost of residential solar is lower plus it's more reliable especially during the storm season.	Other	I don't see any negative effects: it's a winner for everyone.	don't know	A reduction in the tariff would hurt the environment as well as the solar industry in Queensland. Isn't the government always squawking about the cleverer country?
11/10/2015 14:37:09	Marcus	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Not being able to use the high-value energy they produce at the time, and not being paid the market rate for it either.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	It can't displace baseload generation. The 44c/kwh tariff should be closed down. It wasn't thought through properly.	Annually	The biggest issue is climate change. Carbon should be taxed, and high-carbon electricity should have a high tax on it. Also, PV owners should be able to voluntarily move to time-of-use charging.
11/10/2015 14:30:26	Sarah	No	other: Not	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	A fair price. It has to be worthwhile economically to install.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 3 years	
11/10/2015 14:27:30	Allan	Yes	other: not connected to the main grid	other: The same rate that householders pay for grid electricity	Poor government policy that continues to support fossil fuel generated electricity	Yes		Other	Residential solar is actually doing something to transition from fossil fuel generated electricity to renewables	Other	No negative impacts. The Old Government business plan for electricity supply is not keeping up with consumer demands for renewable energy	Solar feed-in price should track prevailing retail price rises.	Pathetic, which is why I have disconnected from the main grid and installed battery storage to meet all our electricity needs.
11/10/2015 14:27:18	Harry	Yes	44c	40c-50c	the government shifting the goal posts the hike in service charges will see more ppl disconnect from the grid (death spiral)	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		at the end of the contracted term	
11/10/2015 14:26:09	John	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Cost of the system, no incentives for feed in tariffs, should be more rebates offered and cheaper electricity for those who have solar	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		12 monthly	It's ridiculously low. If the government wanted to promote solar they should raise the feed in pricing up to what they previously were
11/10/2015 14:24:32	Jeanette	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Electricity prices rising and our rebate staying the same so in the end as usual the consumer loses out.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 10 years	That's we should be allowed to increase our solar panels at the same payback we have now
11/10/2015 14:23:58	Jean-Marc	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Being in debt	Yes		Safer		Added expense		Every two years	
11/10/2015 14:23:29	Serge	Yes	other: 52c	40c-50c	The supply charge is ridiculously too expensive (and I hope you are not contemplating making them compulsory when property owner choose not to be grid connected if the grid available to that property). There is no justification for the electricity price hike (including the supply charge) other than maintaining the profit of the privately own power station. The fault lie directly with both side of politics that have sold our assets. The profit from these assets (power station) should instead have been invested to plan and build solar farm with a long term view to decommission coal fired power station as they become obsolete (~20 years)	Yes		Other	How about actually doing something to try to avoid catastrophic climate change for the sake of our children, the situation is not looking good	Other	Seem the biggest negative is the loss of profit of privately own power station	It should onle be a temporary tool to shift the poduction from fossil fuel to renewable	
11/10/2015 14:22:51	mark	Yes	6-8c	other: same price the electricity companies charge	the government not backing renewable energy initiatives.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	less demand, creating issues of electricity supply in peak times	every 2 years	It needs to be equitable
11/10/2015 14:22:34	Rainer	No	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Governments not supporting solar energy.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Less profit for large power generators.	Along with electricity prices	Feed-in price needs to be kept
11/10/2015 14:22:16	Grant	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	I produce 3 times the amount of energy that my house uses in a green an sustainable way. My power at less than \$0.08 is then on sold to other houses and businesses at \$0.26. Almost a \$0.20 profit. Yet I have to pay expensive network charges of over \$1 per day on top of the profit of my on sold power . I don't think it is fair that the market price for daylight power is not reflected in the price I get paid. I am not saying that network prices are not justified as I use the Grid but the price charge are out of control. This has pay back time on my Solar system fall from 6 years in Jan 2014 when installed to over 12 years. Would you expect an ROI of 12 years?	Yes		More reliable		Added expense		evert 2 years	Green power will price Coal and gas out of the market. Make plans now for this Technology to take over before it hijacks the market and you as the regulator have to make drastic changes. Spend some money now to save it in the future. Open the efficient power stations. close the old an in-efficient power stations. Invest in Wind (off shore and on) , Solar, Solar thermal, Micro Grids for remote towns, wave/tide, and storage. Before it is to late.
11/10/2015 14:16:38	Sam	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Governments that don't realize they need to stick with the renewable energy transition in order to have it succeed.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		5 yearly	Government has the responsibility to make good environmental decisions feasible to the general public.
11/10/2015 14:09:19	Adrienne	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Not getting a fair go with our feed in tariff when we are contributing via our own expense to feeding Electricity back into the grid....why should Electricity companies get our clean Solar generated electricity any cheaper than what they pay for Coal fired dirty power...	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	What negative Impacts??? I can only see positive results from the people generating power on their rooftops & feeding the excess back into the main grid, making power cheaper & accessible to all..... Shopping centres, Business districts should all be contributing Clean Solar Enegy, Every roof in Old should have Solar Power.....The only negatives I can see is the continued use of the need for dirty coal !	Yearly	Its time to come out of the Dark Ages of Dirty power.... Good fair, Feed in Tariffs, should be an encouragement for people to put Solar on the Rooftops & bring on a Clean Future.... Any Government who doesn't see the sense in this shouldn't be running a State/Country.....It would appear that any Government authority who isn't doing all they can for a Clean Energy Future is obviously in bed with the big Money Power Companies & should not be representing our Country.....
11/10/2015 14:09:06	Alan	Yes	other: stand alone	40c-50c	We are investors in State infrastructure but we do not get a fair return for it.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	It is pathetic. It should at least be greater than bank interst rates as the energy is used for public energy generation and I bear the insurance and damage repairs costs myself.
11/10/2015 14:08:17	paul	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	maintaining a reasonable return on our investment.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		2 yearly	It should be enough to encourage others to commit to solar for the good of the planet know & into the future
11/10/2015 14:07:52	David	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Unjustifiable increases in the daily service charge.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		5 yearly.	
11/10/2015 14:06:33	John	Yes	44c	20c-30c	Payback times for the systems they purchase and political bias	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I really don't see any negatives to rooftop solar	yearly	I think there are a lot of untruths spoken about solar feed in pricing but I feel that the peak charge should also be about what solar feed in tariff prices should be for those that make that investment to pay for solar panels and inverters to go on their rooves.
11/10/2015 14:04:22	Eric	Yes	other: 52c	40c-50c	Reducing feed in tariffs and the imposition of extra charges an fees, ie extra metering charges. These negate the so-called benefits of going solar that was espoused in the initial programs.	Yes		Other	Both cheaper energy AND environmentally preferable.	Added expense		5 yearly	Solar generated power should be used first and THEN grid power can be used and charged. Then you would not need feed-in tariffs.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 14:03:31	Josh	Yes	other: 11	20c-30c	The biggest issue for solar owners is being able to negotiate a fair price for their solar contributions, and poor feed in tariffs (compared to the price green energy is being sold to consumers at)	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I'm not aware of any major negative impacts.	half yearly	
11/10/2015 14:02:56	William	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The Government and electricity companies constant push to scrap the feed in tariffs. Solar owners laid out substantial money to install solar which they may not get back for many years if the tariff is scrapped.	Other	What, support a scheme so we spend lots of money then cut off the benefits. I'll wait until battery storage becomes cost effective without government involvement this time round. I'm only getting conned once.	Cheaper energy		Other	Electricity companies always want greater profits so costs will increase, however if solar wasn't installed then upgrades to the grid would be required.	At the end of our existing Contracts	The feed in tariff was set to entice people to install solar because the infrastructure needed upgrading. At the time installations weren't cheap but the incentive was a contract for a set feedin tariff. These contracts should be honoured else the whole solar scheme in Australia was just a Government / Electricity company scam. Because it is potentially a government / big industry scam why are we expected to accept it. If they are now saying it is not viable then the scheme was fraudulent to start with and should not have gone ahead. Therefore it was another ponzi scheme which happen to be illegal in Australia.
11/10/2015 14:01:35	Richard	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Government lowering price of solar power supplied by home owners	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		yearly	
11/10/2015 14:00:31	Anne	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Getting reliable companies to install and still be in business for the period of the warranty.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	Keep it fair to encourage people to get solar systems installed and ensure the higher tariff can be transferred to new owners when a solar powered home is purchased. It will be better for all in the long term, coal is definitely out in the future.
11/10/2015 13:55:50	Deborah	Yes	other: 12	30c-40c	The energy daily supply price doubled for no reason as have other admin charges. While this cash grab applies to all householders bills, solar owners have invested in the equipment to provide renewable energy to the grid therefore we should be given a price that affords us our investment the cost of maintaining the equipment annually Those who are unable to take advantage of using their solar power during the day are over charged 4fold which is wrong. The feed in price should be slightly greater than the usage charges eg 30-40 cpkwh This price should also be protected & rise with price increases. Discussion with householders that benefit from pre 2013 installation prices to reach a compromise in receiving a unilateral fair price on all contracts is necessary. A cohesive renewable energy industry is needed. Currently new investors are subsidising those who have higher feed in tariffs This is failed leadership within Govt & solar investors now navigate the future wisely. Electricity is a world wide human right & is not a cash cow for government to use as means of affording debt It is also important for government to find a way to work with change Innovation will see households go off grid & our solar power is used for street lamps & government facilities Our taxes have paid for the infrastructure ten fold If Govt cant manage its budget & pay who it owes why double dip taxpayers Solar should be available to All mostly low income earners.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		When prices and charges go up	SCRAP pre 2013 FIT pricing, create a unilateral FIT price, re-invest earnings so ALL homes especially low income homes have renewable energy opportunities & stop using our human rights as a cash cow to pay for inappropriate government spending.
11/10/2015 13:55:39	Dixie & Arthur	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Daily charge for supply of over a dollar a day.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		13years	It should be the same for everyone.
11/10/2015 13:51:56	bill	Yes	other: 51	40c-50c	companies trying to opt out of their contracts that they agreed to	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		never	it should be at a rate that makes it worth while to the people
11/10/2015 13:49:49	wayne	Yes	44c	30c-40c	governments or retailers trying to reduce the feed in tariff, we don't get all that money in our pocket as the equipment doesn't last forever and we have maintainance costs	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 2 years	solar is maintained by the user which saves money for all consumers and the government talks about the environment but needs to back up us that are doing our bit
11/10/2015 13:49:44	Susan	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Poor returns & large fixed fees charged by the power companies.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	It needs to be based around long term sustainability & self sufficiency rather than on the old 'power company making a good profit' notion
11/10/2015 13:49:21	Denis	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Govt. Beurcracy	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		12monthly	Lets continue to reduce the need for Fosol fuels
11/10/2015 13:48:19	alex	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The power suppliers profiteering. Considering the tax payer paid for the infrastructure !!!	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I do not see a negitive	five to ten years	please Queensland Government don't keep changing the goal posts.
11/10/2015 13:47:28	Mara	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Not be able to store our own electricity we produce during the day. If we can have a battery where we can store the electricity we generate during the day, we will be self sufficient and can be independent from the greed.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every time the electricity from the greed is reviewed	Australia should go this way to help people to save money, and also be environmental friendly as much as possible. Preserve the nature by using the nature resources.
11/10/2015 13:46:32	Ruth	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	No incentive now for anyone to get solar with such a low feed in price.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		2 yearly for everyone	I think it is wrong that the earlier people to get solar have a guaranteed feed in price of 44c and the later ones only get a low 6c feed in. What is that but discrimination?
11/10/2015 13:42:36	Heather	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Making a huge contribution to reducing the impact of climate change and achieving sustainability, but not having costs recognised and acknowledged through a fair rebate for power generated.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		bi-annually	Solar energy makes sense in Queensland - let's be compensated fairly for it.
11/10/2015 13:42:33	John & Glen	No	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	I see solar users being punished for doing the right thing when they should be encouraged.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Nothing negative except for reduced profits for the corporations.	yearly	As we are only building now we have not yet reached the feed -in stage.
11/10/2015 13:42:05	Elena	Yes	other: stand alone	40c-50c	Being responsible citizens and investing our money in State infrastructure for public benefit. We should get a fair financial return for feeding our surplus power back into the grid, as our home generation minimises the need to upgrade transmission lines, and backs up supply if power stations can't keep up. If it works in Germany it should certainly be viable in Australia the land of sunshine.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every three years	It is a joke and a way for coal-fired generation to sabotage the massive public uptake of renewable energy, and the consequent threat to their monopoly on power supply.
11/10/2015 13:40:20	Ruth	No	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	For me, not a solar owner as yet, the cost of having it installed	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		every 2 years	It needs to be at least attractive to and payable by the residential solar owner.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 13:39:21	Ivor	Yes	other: 50 cents	other: 50 cents	Continuous miss information in the media pointing the finger of blame at Solar owners for the high costs of electricity.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	I don't see any negative impacts. I was wary at first and a friend showed me a different way of looking at the benefits and use of solar. If there were any negatives I would think it's down to the people with the oversized systems. Using it to make money rather than to save money.	It should be left as is for the agreed amount and time	
11/10/2015 13:33:10	Gabby	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Cost to set up and then not getting a fair feed in price.	Yes		Other	Cheaper energy and environmental benefits	Added expense		Every 10 years	I think it should be capped at the price you were given when you set up solar.
11/10/2015 13:32:37	Chris	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Poor return on investment. Electricity retailers paying bottom dollar and selling back at high profit.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually and as retail prices go up so should the feed in tariff	The Sunshine State, lets use it to benefit everyone, not just the power consortia
11/10/2015 13:31:24	Colin	Yes	44c	40c-50c	We have made a significant investment in solar because we believed it was better for the environment and are worried that the government keeps talking about reducing the feed in tariff thus ruining the return on our investment. Now we are pensioners increased power costs will reduce our quality of life.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		As per original deal 20 years	It should stay the level we originally signed up for.
11/10/2015 13:30:39	Sue	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Not seeing financial benefits from installing solar because the electricity companies are raising prices of fixed components of bill.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Annually	
11/10/2015 13:30:05	James	Yes	44c	40c-50c	uncertainty of existing feed-in contracts, are they able to be changed/broken by the retailer?	Other	Ensure that the existing feed-in contracts are not subject to modification/c change/cancellation if battery storage is installed.	Environmental benefits		Other	there will not be any negative effects because the distributed generation equipment is not owned/maintained by the traditional suppliers. The existing poles/wires have had significant upgrades and the additional solar supply is consumed within the local area with no transmission cost to the retailer.	When solar feed in tariff contracts reach the end of their term.	Solar feed-in price should match the NEM supplier rates, this is a radical but logical evolution of a contemporary National Electricity Market. This change would simplify the legality issues and not disadvantage all players in the market, (except those generators that continue contribute to global emissions)
11/10/2015 13:29:43	Trevor	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Continuing interference by Governments.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Every time there is an increase in Tariff charges	All over the place. If you install it you get the full benefit, however should you sell and move elsewhere, incentives don't exist, and feed-in tariffs become a joke.
11/10/2015 13:27:06	Donna	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Federal Government subsidies that attract all animals to the taxpayer trough to feed .	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		never	All installation subsidies should cease immediately and owners rely solely on power generated and feed-in sales as 40% of installs are not really that productive
11/10/2015 13:26:05	Jonathan Rhys	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The uncertainty of the constant threatening price deductions. My family and I have a contract for the \$0.44c feed in, which we took in good faith and to a great cost to our family but we are fair dinkum about solar. However we now have this constant uncertainty about credit price fluctuation or cancellation all together which is wrong. It would be nice if I could change my fixed price contract on my mortgage but alas I have to honour my contract.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		20 yrs after contract expires	Roll it out to all at the original price .44c/Kw
11/10/2015 13:24:14	rod	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	Poor initial subsidy. Poor feed-in tariff. Poor support for battery storage. Energex is a law unto itself ... worthless!	Yes	Battery storage is the way of the future.	Cheaper energy	Environmentally friendly.	Added expense	With current levels of subsidies and feed-in tariffs, most people will not live long enough to recoup their outlay.	5 yearly	When I purchased this property the feed-in tariff was 52c. It instantly dropped to 8c. That may be the law, but it's a blatant rip-off!  The PV system is part and parcel of the PROPERTY ... it's not strapped to the previous owner's back!
11/10/2015 13:23:41	barry	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	obtaining a reasonable rate for inputs	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		annually with CPI	Current feed in tariff's are a disincentive to install solar, and progress renewables
11/10/2015 13:23:10	Michael	Yes	other: 52c	other: 52	Uncertainty as expanding solar generation challenges the business model of the coal-fired generators. They cannot accept that theirs is a sunset industry and are seeking to undermine investment in solar.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Solar is a wake-up signal to the grid owners to invest in the power of the future. Negative impact is only short-term until they change direction	every two years	People like myself got in early and receive a high price for taking that risk. Eventually the price should reflect parity with the true cost of power from coal generators.
11/10/2015 13:22:53	Tricia	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Panels and setup of panels cost a lot then it takes you a long time to recoup your costs! The power Companies want to reduce tariffs and adjust feedin prices which isn't right !!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3yrs	the minimum feed in Tariff for new households should be no less than what we buy back for!
11/10/2015 13:19:21	Ray	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Tariff feed-in prices.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		monthly	
11/10/2015 13:18:46	Dianne	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Not being paid fairly for their feed in tariff and the cost of batteries for storing excess power for night usage	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Bi-annually	It seems to me the people are producing power and the electricity companies are more or less stealing it at 6 to 8 cents and reselling at top price, disgraceful. It's not all about huge profits but what is good for our country.
11/10/2015 13:17:18	Paul	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Resistance to local renewable energy solutions and trying to maintain the dominance of the big 3 Energy companies in Qld.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3 yearly	
11/10/2015 13:17:16	Marc	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	not getting the right cents per kwh, it think if your trying to save on energy by using solar there should be more cents returned once back to the grid	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		12 months	
11/10/2015 13:09:56	Anthony Andrew	Yes	other: 10c	20c-30c	Affording what I have purchased, whilst on a disability pension.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every time a Federal Election is held.	The Government needs to stop playing people for fools with what they do with our money! Politicians give themselves huge pay rises at the expense of those of us who are on pensions & can ill afford to waste any money...It's disgusting!
11/10/2015 13:08:28	David	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Extra charges coming into play which make our investment a loss over time. Goal posts changing again.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Never	It was the price set out in the first place. You made the bed now lay in it.
11/10/2015 13:06:13	Gary	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Whole of government support.	Yes		Other	All of the above: plus sustainability	Other	Added expense in the short term.	tie the price to an index	
11/10/2015 13:04:34	Brian	Yes	44c	40c-50c	rate decreases	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Decreasing feed in rate will result in less residential solar	two years	Increase rate
11/10/2015 13:04:29	Glenn	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	I think it is only fair that the buy price is the same as the sell price (fit). We already pay grid access fees plus others	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Yearly	To equalize the buy to sell price would benefit all parties involved, the only downfall is people who are contracted on 44c or higher - this is the problem.
11/10/2015 12:59:33	Shaun	Yes	other: 44c	40c-50c	The continued harassment by both State and Federal governments when we are trying to do right thing.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Nil impact	Never	I purchased my system at top Price expecting the government to honour its contract to pay me 44c kW . If they break this contract they are committing FRAUD. They will be swept out of power at next election and rightly so.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 12:58:40	Kazmer	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	despite the misleading spin about solar users being a drag on other power consumers the reality is that we produce power and should be equally paid for it like other producers of power who sell to the grid. we also instal and pay for our own infrastructure and maintenance and are entitled to the same fair commercial price for the same commodity supplied by other producers. when we use grid power we pay the same rate as other consumers which pricing takes into account the grid managers' costs in supplying grid power to all consumers, therefore we pay in fair and equal proportion for the underlying infrastructure, maintenance, administration and profit that make up the price all consumers are charged. Solar power producers who sell their product to the grid are the same as other power producers who sell their product to the grid and should not be discriminated against through a distorted view of what the relationship with the grid manager is. we produce power we sell it wholesale, commercially, to the grid so they can resell it, retail, to consumers at large. we are also consumers and as such we pay retail for the power we buy from the grid. there should be no punitive additional fees and charges applied to solar producer/consumers. we pay our way and should be paid for what we produce for the grid just as we are required to pay for what we consume from the grid, infrastructure AND profit included. FAIR'S FAIR.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable			If set at the wholesale price for power bought by the grid from other producers, there is no need to review the solar feed-in price separately. Instead of isolating, marginalising, emotionalising and politicising solar power producers and our impact on supply, demand and establishment profit, simply create a fair and level playing field and avoid the unnecessary and wasteful multiple intricate hearings and the resulting spin and argument.
11/10/2015 12:58:25	Doug	Yes	other: 50c	other: 50c	Having spent over \$25K on a system under contract for 20 years, I expect it to be honoured.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	There are none	as per the contract set	We went solar and spent a lot of money to use power from the sun. The contract we made should be held without any change.
11/10/2015 12:58:15	Shaun	Yes	other: 44c	40c-50c	The continued harassment by both State and Federal governments when we are trying to do right thing.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Nil impact	Never	I purchased my system at top Price expecting the government to honour its contract to pay me 44c kW . If they break this contract they are committing FRAUD. They will be swept out of power at next election and rightly so.
11/10/2015 12:58:12	John	Yes	44c	other: Contract	I entered into a contract and based my decision on that basis. If that contract is to be broken I will not be able to trust the perpetrator again.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Faith in a contractual agreement	For each contract	If there is to be honesty in proclaiming climate change and being seen to take steps toward its abatement and then renegeing indicates a lack of integrity
11/10/2015 12:56:47	Peter	Yes	44c	40c-50c	that the government may increase the 'meter hire sneakily introduced to bolster it's money grab or introduce some other unpalatable fee / charge	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		never unless upwards	I might consider reluctantly to 22 cents only if the remaining term was to be doubled. I'm disgusted that the Gov is using their supply monopoly to pay down DEBT. We've just come out of a 20 year mining boom, the treasury should be bursting at the seams? as to feed in tariff of those not getting 44 cents perhaps retail price less 5% so with as retail increase so does feed in tariff. The way things are going retail tariff could well exceed 44c
11/10/2015 12:50:24	Mark	No	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Electricity suppliers charging criminal fees just to be connected, just for connecting solar. If they had not been ripping people off with gold plating tactics they would not now be facing massive grid defection!	Yes		Other	Cheaper, cleaner, and safer	Other	Queensland electricity grid will have to change and it won't want to. If it thought about it, by no longer being a generator of power (renewables do all that and more) it becomes a power distributor and power storage entity. "It" has no generation costs, or any of the associated costs of operating, maintaining, supplying a power station. The biggest expense an investment in massive amounts of battery storage, and Tesla Energy has a infinitely scalable commercial unit available. So either "it" can jump on board, evolve, and survive, or continue to fleece "us" and watch it's business model fade away as we all become independent of our need for the ripoff power grid. "It" caused this problem by massive price gouging, and now wants to have a cry about "people" leaving it?	same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Aust / QLD Gov needs to get on board and transform our power model. Coal and CSG mining destroys, renewables don't. Surely the transition to Renewables and the maintenance of that would provide more employment than all mining. I guess the loss of income from mining revenue becomes a problem for governments. Well as the rest of the world transitions to renewables, and stops buying our dirty energy, it's a problem that's going to happen anyway. Why not learn to deal with it now?
11/10/2015 12:48:52	Nick	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	low feed in tariffs reduce the value of the investment	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	
11/10/2015 12:46:16	Ernest	Yes	other: 50	40c-50c	A consistent policy to encourage ownership of solar power	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3 years	An independent study a solar feed-in price to encourage solar ownership
11/10/2015 12:45:38	Rod	Yes	44c	20c-30c	Return on investment. System reliability/maintenance	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually but once signed up a 20 year contract	
11/10/2015 12:45:09	Paul	Yes	44c	40c-50c	This ongoing pressure on the government from electricity generators attempting to destroy the growth of small solar generating systems to maintain their excessive profits and save their jobs with no regard for the damage to the environment their generators are causing.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	As electricity prices rise, those consumers who did not have the foresight to go solar are getting cranky and despise those who had the sense to go solar.	ref comment below	The margin between the T11 retail price and the FIT be maintained whenever there is a tariff price change.
11/10/2015 12:44:19	Paul	Yes	other: 52c	40c-50c	Having feed in tariffs negatively branded about in the media. When I decided to invest in rooftop solar, energy prices were increasing at an alarming rate, and they still are. My system is 3kw and at the time cost me \$8500, with the knowledge that the feed in tariff was set at 44c until 2027 which I considered fair. I trust the government is not considering changing this.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		10 years	I consider mine to be fair.
11/10/2015 12:43:46	Stephanie	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Cost of installation, electricity costs going up No electricity supplier competition in Wide Bay area	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	Newer solar feed-in prices seem to be able to change without consultation. E.G. A friend's feed-in tariff was 8c when he had his solar connected but it is now 6c
11/10/2015 12:40:43	John	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Lack of Government support for green energy ie. solar grid feedback tariff	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	
11/10/2015 12:38:39	Brian	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The Electricity Providers can reduce feed in tariff at anytime. They paid 44c to get you onto Solar then reduced the tariff if you upgraded and then added extra charges to cover loss of income.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every time there is an application from suppliers for a price increase	I went solar to reduce my electricity costs and make a greener environment. When Suppliers apply for a price increase there should be a similar increase in the feed in tariff also if they reduce the cost per kwh then there should be a pro-rata decrease in feed in tariff

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 12:38:17	Brian	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	I am a pensioner and installed solar to cut down my costs of reliance on the system planning ahead for the years left to us only to find the power companies penalised us and blamed us for their excessive prices and losses for having to compensate us and then being a drain on their pocket I find this insulting and offensive and wished I could cut off my home completely from them as they receive a huge benefit from cheap power by rotting the public who tried to do what was encouraged and right for our environment Brian Hay	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every ten years	It should be decided by a commission of the public not by politicians corporations and men like Joe Hockey whose statements showed absolute disregard for folk who were just trying to lower their every day costs these people are just grandstanders lining their own pockets and benefitting their own agenda
11/10/2015 12:36:47	Lorraine	Yes	other: 52c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	cost of buying it in first place. It is much safer and more reliable. Better for environment.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annual	No more charges. We have paid out big money and want the benefits now.
11/10/2015 12:35:55	stephen	Yes	6-8c	other: 50% of tariff charged by energy suppliers	Fixed daily power connection charges. these charges are unjustified with recent increases currently I am putting approxand I still pay approx \$250 and most of that charge is :the Daily Supply Charge. In the near future with Battery development proven I will probably go Off Grid so the energy supplier would lose a customer and I am sure there are a lot current consumers looking to do the same.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Whenever energy suppliers want to increase tariffs and supplier fixed chargers	Privatisation of energy suppliers who are primarily multinationals are here to make as large a profit as possible while expecting the consumer to pay for the upgrade of the system. with the introduction of alternate sources these profits have been seen to be eroded by these multinationals and are being obstructed in the introduction of alternate sources. the energy companies really don't support the environmental benefits of alternate energy such as solar. the qld government should buy back the energy supplies for residential users to all become solar power generators and make the big polluters pay more.
11/10/2015 12:35:10	Marjorie	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Not being recognized for the contribution of the energy generated and the outlay of costs to do so. The energy produced by solar is clean and totally renewable. Burning coal is futile to the climate change problem.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		No ideas	
11/10/2015 12:34:58	Paul	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Uncertainty	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		once	
11/10/2015 12:34:12	Dave	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	Moving from a home with high rebates to a home on lower rebate	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually	We moved from a residence receiving 55c rebate, to a new residence receiving 8c. Residents who move should be permitted to receive their previous rebate.
11/10/2015 12:33:47	rob	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	refusal of vested interests to agree to a fair price for solar.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	
11/10/2015 12:33:01	Erik	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	The cost of setup and maintenance of the system as well as the low feed-in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 5 years	Every new property should be build with solar power. Therefore as we move forward with new buildings we then become less reliant on non-renewable energy.
11/10/2015 12:32:38	steve	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The government cutting solar out and relying on coal altogether.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		yearly	
11/10/2015 12:30:48	Ian	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	service chargers	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		five years	
11/10/2015 12:29:54	Chris	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Very small return for supplying power to the grid that the Government wont upgrade for future supply.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		When Gov/Retailers adjust prices	Miserable 6c to ones who installed after the 44c tariff feed in.
11/10/2015 12:29:46	Graeme	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Prejuice is rampant I have paid to help the envioment and now they say I am not paying my fair share	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		20 years	I am very happy with my feed in Tarif....all new houses should have compolsory solar ...water tanks etc fitted with GOV sub....Aus price regulatater is out of touch with consumers
11/10/2015 12:29:43	Lothar	Yes	44c	20c-30c	storage	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		always	
11/10/2015 12:29:33	Janice	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Not getting rebate luge we signed up for	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 12 months	Why should we get less rebate than we originally signed up for when we first installed our dinar panels
11/10/2015 12:27:06	Rod	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Very long payback time (10 yrs or more) for investment	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 yrs	
11/10/2015 12:23:46	Keith	Yes	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The initial cost	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	none	Using solar prices go down so as they get paid off the can go down	Te government should respond is solar is the answer to dealing with climate change.
11/10/2015 12:20:17	David	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The increases in the daily connection charges are out of line with inflation and are designed to destroy solar uptake. These should be capped.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	The load needs to be managed, but the providers need to be encouraged to solve this problem. It is not insurmountable.	As the wholesale price changes	Power companies need to redesign their network to accommodate solar before customers start leaving the grid. This will happen as batteries get cheaper.
11/10/2015 12:20:15	Paul	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Ability to use their own solar to offset what they buy from the grid. having your solar go next door to be sold for \$0.25 when i get paid \$0.6c for/to produce it.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	added service fee cost and retail fee's , that the utilities are trying to impose onto customers to remain viable in a changing market.	12 months	The calculations always tend to favour the encumbered, without calculating the true benefits of a cleaner source of electricity and not calculating the health costs into the Coal fired generation
11/10/2015 12:19:34	Paul	Yes	44c	other: 1 for 1	Whinging electricity companies  The access charge needs to be dropped altogether.This fee needs to be built into your per kw charge of tariff 11 power. Why charge the pensioner who sits in the dark and is frugal massive access charges? The big users of power should pay more on there Tariff 11 Usage. Simple. Big power hungry companies pay more.Big power users pay more. Frugal energy use is rewarded. Also get rid of this charge for electricity running past the front door idea. Biggest con ever. Batteries soon and it's only the greedy Old Government hiding behind the massive dividends paid to them by power suppliers not helping out consumers.  Stop gouging consumers. Electricity goes up people use less. Electricity goes up people use less again. So you hedge your bets and charge a bullshit access charge no-one can save on. This charge will only climb.  That's why people are waiting for batteries. Your own greed is pushing people towards disconnecting.  Consumers have had enough. I predict a class action lawsuit if you try to charge a access fee even if you are disconnected from your overpriced greedy grid.  Remember that you are your own undoing.....	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Never. 1 for 1 pricing. Always paid the same as current Tarrif 11 pricing.	Don't try and change the 44 cents feed in tariff before 2028. Natural attrition takes care of that. Class action lawsuit if you try to change it before than.
11/10/2015 12:18:48	CHRIS	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Anti competition from Govts.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		everytime the price the power stations get more	Govts are always on about competition, so why do they complain when they get competition? Feed in price should be the same as the power stations get.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 12:18:39	peter	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	we aren't being recognized financially for helping with the carbon emissions fiasco.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		yearly	i think paying us the same as they charge us would at least force companies to rationalize their pricing structures.
11/10/2015 12:18:35	Gordon	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Cut back of the feed in tariffs.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		When the tariffs for tariff 11 and the off peak tariffs is 10percent lower.	The feed in tariffs for solar power should be the same as the rate that is set for tariff 11.
11/10/2015 12:18:13	Richard	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Government policy changes are the biggest issue. A surety that our systems will still return a reasonable rate for our investments are the most important. If the rate goes down to low, then where is an incentive to use solar and save on grid power thus benefiting the environment. Richard	Yes		Environmental benefits		Not as safe		Every 2 years	Keep it high enough to make for an incentive.
11/10/2015 12:17:48	Ian	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	not enough people installing it	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 2 years	A fairer price would mean greater take up of solar and that would create far more quality jobs than coal mining ever will
11/10/2015 12:17:22	William	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Replacement Costs	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Whenever electricity prices are reviewed	Does not encourage clean energy in favour of the big energy companies
11/10/2015 12:17:22	Liz	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	By the time we get paid 8c and pay 22c plus a daily metre fee I am still getting a bill of \$450 for a quarter even though I produce almost all the electricity we use. I'm just pleased the batteries are becoming affordable and good enough quality so we can get off the grid.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		2-3 years	
11/10/2015 12:17:12	Ray	Yes	other: Off grid	other: A percentage of the highest rate being paid on the account that is generating that solar power.	Do they stay on the grid and be ripped off, or move off grid. I would suggest that the only way to beat these greedy power companies/governments is to tell them to get their wires off your property. Go off grid. If they continue to rob people, they will have no customers left. The world is changing. Their days are numbered. They don't hold the big cards any more. Change or go out of business.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	The Government will not have the income they now have from the profits of the power companies.	When ever there is a price rise to the customer. Yearly, on the 1st July.	Make it fairer or go out of business with residential customers. Commercial customers will follow.
11/10/2015 12:17:00	Norman	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Paying off installation and purchase costs	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		once every five years	For those of us who signed up early, the original conditions should remain for early customers. That is fair. New customers should be encouraged to take up solar by giving them a reasonable feed in tariff or subsidised battery storage to reduce our reliance on fossil fuels. Energy companies should be encouraged to sell solar and battery storage.
11/10/2015 12:14:22	Robert	No	other: Nil	other: The true cost of providing it. Not the artificially inflated cost that is occurring now. Solar is free! The only cost is the panels and the grid.	Government complacency. Corruption. Self interest of the fossil fuel companies.	Yes		Other	It is cheaper and will become even more so and it will stop global warming which will ensure our survival on this planet.	Other	It creates a multitude of small privatised electricity providers. What we need as a basic community need is to have a Government guaranteed electricity supply that is from natural renewable sources.	As often as new technology becomes available.	It doesn't represent fairness or reality.
11/10/2015 12:09:36	Karen	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Financing more panels. Battery storage. Maintaining panels. Ensuring cradle to grave recycling for used parts when destroyed by weather.	Yes		Other	More control over their electricity bills (certainty).	Other	Not enough means to share solar energy between households at present.	Annually	I'm pleased this review is underway.
11/10/2015 12:09:34	Petra	Yes	other: 52	30c-40c	Being penalised for having solar when gvt should look longterm and see the benefits for everyone	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 4-5 yrs to give some certainty to those buying	
11/10/2015 12:09:16	Barry	Yes	other: 50c	other: 50c	Having a fair FIT to provide for : 1. Recovering the cost of installing a solar system. 2. Providing for future repairs, maintenance and replacement of system components. The guaranteed FIT for eligible installations, of 44c plus the voluntary additional FIT of 6c till 30 June 2028, must be honored and maintained.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Both should be able to co exist.	If an agreement is in place, until a specified date, the price should be in accordance with that, no review or change.	The price needs to be such that home owners get a fair return for investing in solar electricity generation, to cover installation costs and provide for future maintenance.
11/10/2015 12:09:01	Michael	Yes	other: 52c	other: 60C	I and many others outlaid a lot of money to have a solar system installed, mine was in excess of \$9000.00, I signed a contract for the feed in tariff I have at present and I certainly don't want to see it changed in any way ...Lay off the people who installed a system ....	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I had the solar system installed at my expense, I was aware of electricity prices would keep on increasing, I consider it as an investment I am retired, Leave my feed in tariff alone ...	never, if a contract has been signed	LEAVE IT ALONE .. I AM A T.P.I. PENSIONER AND I VOTE .
11/10/2015 12:08:31	Peter	Yes	44c	40c-50c	reduction in feed-in tariff	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	is needed when the sun is down this is were battery storage should be supported to be used in peak load	every six years	should go up as the tariff goes up and the low feed-in should stay the same as the tariff price
11/10/2015 12:06:30	silvia	Yes	other: 11c	20c-30c	Energy companies have increased the fix daily rate we pay for electricity, making the prices go up no matter what feed in tariff you are on. Rates are even more expensive for solar owners.We are being punished for having solar	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	There are NO negative impacts, it works very well in other countries. Electricity companies and governments are dinosaurs that don't want to uptake clean energy	As often as tariffs are reviewed	Solar should not be seen as a privilege for owners or a burden for electricity companies. If they don't want everyone to go off greed with batteries, they should be clever an have a fair feed in tariff
11/10/2015 12:06:28	Jack	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The reluctance of our combined governments, from local councils to state and federal levels. All doing NOTHING to control the power companies and put some meaningful restrictions on their operations and money grabbing methods of working. Unfortunately we are having 'gutless' government after 'gutless' government that is ONLY interested in working to make sure that they get back in power and to increase their already too high pensions and gold cards. We, the constituents are just voters who cannot get a word in once an election is over. The ear that is for us to talk to is either blocked or just totally deaf. Jack Secker.	Other	The Government should not only support the roll out of batteries for the nation, they should put HEAPS MORE EFFORT into it and take over the whole thing and just charge EVERYONE a low amount as a grid maintenance fee. Get rid of these Electricity companies who are just fleecing the communities.	Environmental benefits		Added expense		Never	It was a grand idea that should be encouraged to include all house holders. Even local councils.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?	
11/10/2015 12:04:40	David	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Continual changes by suppliers in what they pay solar power providers	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly	Those with solar power have no redress in what the power companies can pay for the power that they receive from solar input	
11/10/2015 12:02:31	Gary	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Inconsistent policy treatment	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually	If feed in is too low will encourage greater use of batteries economy or not.	
11/10/2015 12:01:12	Andy	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	The fixed charges that keep going up	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		As often as tariff 11		
11/10/2015 11:59:03	David	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Affording installation of solar. The poor price paid by electricity companies for solar power by customers.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every few years	Our church gets only 6c for power it feeds into the grid, while the power company charges it 28c/kWh. The power company had the bare-faced affrontery to back-date the 6c from 8c. The feed-in price paid by electricity companies should be no less than their customers are charged. Power generated from coal should be more costly than for solar for the electricity company to buy, because it damages the environment.	
11/10/2015 11:58:51	Ron	Yes	Don't know	20c-30c	The disparity between the feed in tariff price and the electricity usage charges	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Yearly but fairly marketed		
11/10/2015 11:58:23	Karen	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Not enough savings for the investment. We appear to be penalised for doing the right thing.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		indexed to retail prices	We should get the price we pay for electricity off the grid for the electricity we put into the grid.	
11/10/2015 11:56:54	Tony	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	At the rate we are paid, the system favours only the electricity supplier. Not us.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every quarter.	The system we have will never even pay for itself.	
11/10/2015 11:56:22	Iman	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	retailers are charging us for using the power we generate and it would be fairer if they only charge us in any access we used of what we generate.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		they should not be fixed but rather increase with the increase of the retailers price instead of reviewing every now and then		
11/10/2015 11:55:48	Gary	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	Constant attacks by politicians	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		5 years	Keep the rate the same for a new owner	
11/10/2015 11:54:33	ROslyn	Yes	44c	40c-50c	ROI and Increasing service rates	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		10 years		
11/10/2015 11:53:48	Paul	Yes	44c	30c-40c	After the initial install costs, maintenance and repair issues can be expensive, many installers had come from the southern states and will not come back for warranty or repair work. One person I know had poor quality work done and the system failed and is not working and being elderly sees no way out for its repair.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		every six months	In my case I opted to have a 2KW system installed and had to pay full price \$8,000 for the 2nd KW making a total of \$12,000, now if I add to or consider battery back-up I loose my 44cents and go onto the 6cent rate. There needs to be some consideration to those that got on board early and feel the need to upgrade later without being disadvantaged.	
11/10/2015 11:52:17	Mark	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The SUPPLY TO PROPERTY charge!	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		annually	The supply to property charge MUST be severely reduced, back to what it was three years ago. It will force solar owners to abandon the grid as soon as battery storage is economically viable, which is a whisker away!	
11/10/2015 11:51:48	Norm	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Paying off a system that was bought 7 years ago. In my case it cost \$33,000 at the time for a 2.3 kW system. It was the good thing to do expecting a payback over time and good for the environment. I do produce a significant credit each quarter, so eventually I will pay off the initial investment, but not if the feed in tariff is cut.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Yearly	It's good at the moment for people like me who invested early (and were encouraged to invest). Pathetic for others who get less than the cost of production.	
11/10/2015 11:51:40	Anthony	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Only getting 6c feed in tariff makes the payback of the system nearly twice as long as when I installed it. Initially got around 12c and then the Newman govt messed with it and naturally the power companies leapt on the opportunity to lower the tariff.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 2 years	It stinks. 6c is hardly worth it. I have a 4.2 kW system and during winter it barely gets 75 bucks back on my bill.	
11/10/2015 11:49:58	David	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Electricity retailers trying to claw back the tens of billions they overspent on overbuilding the network -- the poles and wires. This in a falling market of reduced demand -- they assumed inelastic demand. People would always increase electricity consumption no matter what price was charged. Electricity retailers a-re now increasing standing charges and reducing per kWh consumption charges in an attempt to make solar less attractive and to get a return on their multi-billion dollar debts for having built what are likely to be stranded assets. It represents a huge incentive to solar owners to install battery storage and to leave the grid entirely.	Yes		Other	Stabilising the grid particularly during peak demand periods such as hot summer afternoons when air conditioning use is at max and solar input is also at a max. Deferment or cancelling of need to build new, replacement coal fired power stations -- elimination of need to spend billions on building obsolete, polluting technology. Great means of carbon capture and storage -- the coal which would have been mined for coal-fired electricity production stays in the ground untouched.	Other	The inability of many to take advantage of self-generated, self-consumed micro grids -- renters and those living in high-rise apartments who have no choice but to contribute to the folly of over-built networks and ever rising retail electricity tariffs.		six monthly	A risible feed-in amount offered with no scope for negotiation, which just builds resentment among residential solar owners who see their non-solar neighbours being charged 24c/kWh for the power they generate for which they get paid 6c/kWh. A straight price gouge which will only hasten the determination of many to install battery storage and end the inequity as they leave the grid.
11/10/2015 11:48:45	Margaret	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Low or no feed-feed in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	1. Expensive to start up but the payback period is getting shorter and shorter as electricity prices increase unreasonably. 2. The infrastructure of the grid may not be sufficient to accommodate all the solar being generated or potentially generated.	Annually	It needs to be fair. At the moment the electricity companies have it all their own way: high fees, high prices, low or no feed-in tariffs. The government should not be rewarding the electricity suppliers for woeful business practices and general failure to embrace alternative energies.	
11/10/2015 11:48:08	Kon	Yes	other: 50c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Too much uncertainty	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		2 years	Should be same price as they charge us for power	
11/10/2015 11:47:55	Alan	Yes	44c	20c-30c	We are the ones who paid for and put Solar on, therefor whatever the RETAIL Price that is being charged from the Energy Powers that is the prices we should get, NOT the Energy powers making money out of our supply we generate.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		CPI Annually		
11/10/2015 11:44:56	Frances	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Cost of installation and the great unknown....why put it on when the feed in tariff is so low. We want a government committed to clean energy.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		with every price increase	No incentive to go green. We would definitely go battery storage if we could afford it.	

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 11:44:47	Robert	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The massive PR might of the energy retailers and their sway with government. We bought solar for 2 reasons to save on our power bills and to do the right thing by the environment.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		Every 3 years	The big retailers and it seems government need to consider ordinary Queenslanders in their deliberations over pricing of feed in tariffs 6c is a slap in the face. They should pay what they normally pay for ergy from their normal source. Surely that is fair. And solar is green not dirty. Come on Annastazia stand up for us.
11/10/2015 11:44:14	Carolyn	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	At 7c/kwt the installation of solar panels really is not cost effective. Our tariff was 44c when we had the panels installed, but having moved, the tariff has dropped to 7c. We produced 50% more kwt than we used and still ended up with a bill of over \$230	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Are there negatives - apart from the power companies not making as much profit	annually	
11/10/2015 11:43:39	bevan	Yes	Don't know	20c-30c	The cost of installation of new systems and the unfair return on the investment	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		whenever the electricity companies decide to increase prices	Renewable energy is the way of the future. While some governments prefer to put their head in the sand and believe that coal is good for Australia, the truth is that it is not and the reliance on coal is diminishing. As I see it, governments can either ignored the problem and hope it goes away, or they can embrace the problem and act proactively and move towards a better more sustainable energy future. Your choice!!
11/10/2015 11:41:00	Halina	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Being mis used.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		With CPI	The debate is not honest and solar users are being hoodwinked and used.
11/10/2015 11:40:50	John	Yes	other: 10	10-20c	Fixed charges	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		With cost increases	I think the feed in price should be fixed at 50% of standard rate.
11/10/2015 11:40:12	Malcolm	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Recouping outlay based on feed in tariffs at date of installing	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		at end of contracts	We are assisting in saving the Govt the cost of new power houses at the same time been green
11/10/2015 11:39:21	Jeffrey	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The cost of putting the solar on your roof. We are DSP pensioners and we were encouraged to put solar on and then the tariff was reduced. We give more back to the solar regulators than we save on having solar. That is so unfair and inequitable. Who is the winner? Not us!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Increased expense to those who initiated solar at their own residences , especially if they only receive a low feed-in tariff price.	Yearly	It needs to be fair and equitable as those who have taken the initiative in the first place need to receive the greatest benefit.
11/10/2015 11:37:50	phil	Yes	44c	40c-50c	a reduction in the feed in tariff	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		5 years	
11/10/2015 11:37:11	Iain	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	I personally don't see any issues facing solar owners. Economically owning solar PV is now the more sensible course of action; on current trends this is likely to become even more so over time. This is true despite low or no existing FIT for new customers.  However inappropriate pricing runs the risk of stranding Government and private commercial assets, setting up a death spiral as those not on battery storage end up paying proportionally more for the same asset (and overall reductions in grid delivered power).	Yes		More reliable		Other	There's naturally a cost in making a consumer-centric grid more capable of production/consumption patterns. Storage will assist here.	As often as the commercial rates are reviewed.	The old 44c/kWh tariff was great, but entirely unsustainable - excepting where the spot price exceeds that level. Paying according to supply and demand - even if this results in much lower tariffs - makes perfect sense to me.
11/10/2015 11:36:09	Warwick	Yes	44c	20c-30c	We believe we are very concerned about the environment and want to reduce the amount of carbon in the atmosphere. It is environmentally advantageous to all that we use solar for both hot water and to generate power for our dwelling.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 3 years	
11/10/2015 11:33:52	Nora	Yes	other: 50	other: don't know	My solar contribution does not cover my electricity bill any more. I would like to put more panels on my roof in order to produce more solar energy. I understand that if I exchange my inverter for a bigger one to adjust to a larger amount of energy production Energex wont accept my contribution to the grid anymore. I can't afford becoming independent of the grid and have to wait till batteries are improving and more economical .	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	NONE	once a year ?????	carbon tax introduction for the big users would be fair.
11/10/2015 11:33:12	Craig	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Being the constant false and misleading excuse for increased energy costs	Yes		Other	Helps with peak supply issues and it's clean energy being funded partially by the end user	Other	This is no negative - clean energy is the only way forward	At the time of any expected increases in sales price	The next step forward is battery storage to help during peak periods when the sun is down
11/10/2015 11:30:32	Tom	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	The constant attempt by the government and the greedy power providers to disadvantage solar owners.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		should be adjusted with the power price rises	Electricity is an essential commodity but the price for it is a total rip-off. With the growth in solar arrays the switch is on to charge high connection charges so we can pay for the unused sub-stations and other gold-plating of the network. Enough is enough
11/10/2015 11:29:53	Donna	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Cost of getting enough panels initially. We would like to get more panels and a bigger inverter but would lose our good feed in price if we changed.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every year	
11/10/2015 11:29:05	Gordon	Yes	other: 16c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Battery storage at home	No		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	It should be fair but not at a level such that general power prices rise for those who don't have solar
11/10/2015 11:27:09	Marilyn	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Paying for the equipment to generate solar power. I believe that the government should encourage clean energy by subsidising all Qlders to install solar panels. It is vital we increase Renewable Energy NOW to fight Climate Change! or doesn't the government care about the future?	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Never - unless it is to be raised!	A contract is just that - to be honoured by both sides - the agreed feed-in rate is to be effective for 16 years!
11/10/2015 11:26:53	Anthony	Yes	other: .44	40c-50c	Government cut backs on Tariffs	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	I dont know of any negatives.	Every 5 years	None, it currently works great for me.
11/10/2015 11:26:45	William	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Being able to receive a fair price in return for any electricity returned to the grid because the retailers WILL not negotiate.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 3-5 years.	At the moment they are pathetic I also feel that if the retailers aren't made to pay solar customers more then the State Govt. should contribute a payment to solar owners of 10 cents or more.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 11:26:14	Stuart	Yes	other: 6 Cents Inc GST	other: 80% of the Retail Rate	The biggest issue I see is as follows I am producing on average 3 times more than I consume during poor solar periods. My investment in solar was driven for two reasons namely do my bit for the environment and try and reduce my cost of living. Now neglecting Connection fees which have risen 569.35% since the 2006-2007 gazetted rates (Regulated rate: even more for market contracts) I have to produce 3.7 times my consumption to be cost neutral just on consumption which is unfair given the additional constraints that I am limited on capacity 5KVA and cannot use batteries without losing my feed in rate which is very unjust.  The significant issue is Connection fees are substantially resulting in the energy network being cost prohibitive so if I don't receive a better feed in rate I will have no choice but to disconnect from the grid, go batteries which will only result in non solar users paying more as there will be less demand for the grid without price reductions.	Yes		Other	My driver was to reduce my cost of living and lower my carbon foot print. My investment is being eroded by rapidly increasing Connection costs, poor feed in rates and restriction on losing a feed in rate if I contemplate batteries.	Other	I still see a net benefit as capacity is being offset reducing investment in poles and wires. The Government should be taking the opportunity to add its own value added services like battery lease etc to drive new revenue models	Yearly	Why are we limiting users to loosing their feed in rate when they adopt batteries to cut down evening usage.  In my opinion the QLD State government is not helping people deal with rising costs of living.  I do however agree that the 44 cent feed in rate should be removed as it is not helping these users with solar reduce consumption and waste
11/10/2015 11:25:01	Frank	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Energy company profits. I cant understand how the CEO of Energex manages on his salary package of \$7,000,000 (2014), poor barstard!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense	5 years		
11/10/2015 11:24:46	Leigh	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Infrastructure fees cost more than the supply of energy. Will eventually move off grid with storage capacity leaving stranded assets.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	There are no down sides. Solar reduces the reliance on new large scale power generation and battery storage can enable better management of power distribution in local areas.	Same as for wholesale market	The current feed in tariff has not significantly increased the overall cost of supplying electricity but has enabled the Queensland and Australian Governments to claim significant greenhouse gas reductions for minimal cost. Energy use efficiency has also occurred through this investment.
11/10/2015 11:24:22	Estelle	Yes	other: 35c	30c-40c	The unreasonably high daily service charge negatively impacts on the benefits of installing solar. Apparently additional fees for new solar owners are also being levied, which is unconscionable.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		as often as (and as part of) the electricity pricing is reviewed	Old's solar feed-in price should be set by the Ombudsman the same as the cost price and not left to unscrupulous market forces. The range of prices paid for electricity (as reported in the last review) would allow a fair solar price of at least 20-25c/kwh being mandated (many spot prices are far higher than this).If the system was fair, the same price would be paid, as the electricity retailers are allowed to charge.
11/10/2015 11:23:05	Janice	Yes	44c	40c-50c	cost to put a good system on, then the threat of losing any benefit other than cheaper power. As pensioners, we saved hard for our system, only to be threatened with reduced returns.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		leave them as they are	The main benefit for us as pensioners is the rebate, although we know we are doing a little bit for the environment. Better eco building would also help.
11/10/2015 11:21:59	Paul	Yes	other: 52c	40c-50c	The inability for those who got in early and looked in a good feed-in tariff, to increase the size of their systems (inverters) without loosing their existing feed in tariffs.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		For new systems - annually.	Early adopters, who received good feed-in tariffs - 44c and over - should not be affected by any changes to legislation. For new adopters, no less than the retail price of power, should be implemented, due to the load shedding benefits that solar users provide to the commercial grid during peak times.
11/10/2015 11:20:58	Peter & Jenny	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Mainly that the feed-in price discourages people from bothering to invest in solar.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		As often as the CPI	
11/10/2015 11:20:02	Ken	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Being blamed for cost increases in electricity when it is due to 'poles and wires' existing and previous policies and decisions.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	1. Electricity suppliers have not invested in 'smart grid' systems to compensate for solar generation. 2. Lagging the rest of the world in terms of technology. 3. Government seems to be more interested in revenue than what is best for the consumer.	2 yearly	No real research has been undertaken into why solar households made the initial investment in solar. We just get blamed for cost increases!
11/10/2015 11:20:02	Paul	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The rapid increase in the fixed daily rate to be connected to the grid	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 2 years	
11/10/2015 11:18:49	Bill	Yes	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Inadequate return on investment.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		yearly	Because Queensland is decentralized, energy needs to be too and price is important. Solar energy with battery back up is coming.
11/10/2015 11:17:56	Albert	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	There are two main issues facing solar owners. The first is the feed in tariff. I used to be on forty four 44 cents because I was part of the Old Gov's first scheme. However when I expanded my solar installation I had to give up that rate and fell back to the bottom rate. I think what I should at least get the rate that electricity retailers get i e the wholesale price. The second issue is storage. The government would do well to subsidise home storage batteries because this would reduce the need for expensive polluting coal fired power stations.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every three years	The government should be encouraging localised supply not centralised power generation. That is old fashioned and not the way of the future.
11/10/2015 11:17:25	Raymond	Yes	other: 10c	20c-30c	Poor management by Federal Government and Electricity Companies. Lack of support and long-term commitment to the solar industry.	Yes		Other	All of the above	Other	Electricity Companies have over capitalised on assets, hence the increase in costs. This IS NOT the fault of solar, simply near sighted mis management on their part.	3 - 5 years	The government should set the rate across the board. Electricity Companies are running a business, solar owners supply that business, we deserve a better more appropriate rate. They sell to my neighbour for 30c and give me 10c? thats theft!! try giving me 20c thanks. They still get their profit.
11/10/2015 11:16:43	Catharina	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Reduced government support for the industry	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 4-5 years	It can be a fair subsidy to assist an industry that helps us to transition to a low-carbon future; it creates lots of jobs; it decentralises power generation, which will help better withstand natural disasters.
11/10/2015 11:15:09	Rick	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The unfair connection charges, they are currently about the same costs as the electricity itself.	Yes		Other	Though cheaper it would decentralise the power thus removing the need for poles and wires gold plating.	Other	Better for the environment	monthly	I was lucky to get the 44c/KW and with my 1.5KW system I am still paying out significant money due to the connection costs.
11/10/2015 11:13:12	Annette	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Solar owners outlay a lot of money to purchase their systems in order to reduce the amount we pay for electricity, reduce the electricity needing to be produced and to improve their carbon footprint. Now we have been plagued with excessive fees and charges by the electricity companies because of this. They pay us a pittance for the excess electricity we put back into the system and they blame solar owners for the excessive costs of power. If we could afford to purchase the batteries we would go off the grid and not be a burden to them.	Yes		Other	Cheaper electricity as well as having environmental benefits.	Other	The added expense of purchasing and maintaining the system.	bi annual or when they decide to increase the cost of electricity	Queensland's feed in price should be the same as what the companies pay to commercial enterprises not less. We need to be treated as a commercial partner not like a freestanding sore that they think we are. These companies make enough money through their exorbitant fees and charges.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 11:12:55	Clive	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	The almost total loss of the feed in tariff when the electricity account is changed on the sale of the property. This makes their original investment in solar electricity almost worthless as it does not add much value to the sale price of the property.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	I don't see any negative impacts of residential solar. It helps the grid by having locally generated power.	Yearly	It is very unfair that the electricity companies can purchase electricity for just 6c and sell it back for 27c when they DID NOT PAY for the capital cost of the generating capacity - it was paid for by the consumer. This totally PRIVATE investment in solar electricity across Queensland is massive and the large generating companies have not had to build new power stations because of this totally PRIVATE investment by taxpaying individuals. This has saved the power companies millions of dollars, not to mention the increased sustainability it provides in the fight against climate change.
11/10/2015 11:11:48	Frank	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Coal Companies & electric Suppliers	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Each time prices rise	Feed-in price should be at least what providers charge
11/10/2015 11:09:47	Mira	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The government changing their minds whenever they feel like it. e.g. if we install solar with an expected price return this should be treated as a contract for the lifetime of the infrastructure	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 3 years	It should be high enough to encourage increased installation of solar in residential homes
11/10/2015 11:09:37	James	Yes	Choice1	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	the service fee	Other	I think the cost of battery storage will be well within the reach of most Queensland solar households. Those who cannot may benefit from a scheme whereby they lease the equipment with no up front cost	Environmental benefits		Other	none as long as the cost of maintaining the gold plated distribution system is kept within reasonable limits. If not then more people will leave the system thereby being more expensive to those remaining.	yearly	continuing to apply service fees and increasing the amount solar households pay for grid electricity will result in more people leaving the grid.
11/10/2015 11:09:21	Malcolm	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Fair recompense for their investment in solar energy which in turn saves Government and Private enterprise in developing ever increasing infrastructure.	Other	Undecided. If fair feed-in tariffs are paid then perhaps it is up to the solar generators to provide their own storage batteries. Perhaps some subsidy may be applicable	Cheaper energy		Added expense		At the same time as the Electricity Tariffs are adjusted	Feed-in tariffs should be determined by a Solar Power Authority rather than by Government.
11/10/2015 11:09:18	rodney	Yes	44c	other: my 44c should stay and others should be at 10 to 20c	increased power prices	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	none Its a win	3 years	
11/10/2015 11:09:18	Jason	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	1. Getting a fair price for supplying the grid with electricity. 2. Paying discriminatory solar fees.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Every 5 years	
11/10/2015 11:08:29	Cynthia	Yes	Don't know	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Changing conditions and government policies.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	None! The argument of expense is entirely fabricated by the energy industry and their political allies that see solar as a threat to business as usual.	every few years	
11/10/2015 11:05:55	Lorraine	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Unfair charges for the power we use. Receiving a fair price for the power we produce. Attempts to penalise us for wanting to store and use our own solar power.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	None. We can cease to rely on polluting, carbon producing, expensive coal and use the sun's energy to power our homes and businesses.	Every three years.	Every Queensland home with solar panels on the roof is a miniature power plant. Why is the energy they provide to the grid not considered as valuable as that produced by coal? If we continue to be punished with high power charges and low feed in tariffs, we will leave the grid in droves and those who cannot afford solar will be left to pay the exorbitant grid charges to keep coal power companies in business. A fair price for solar will keep all consumers paying an equal amount. Fair is fair. The time has come for the Old Govt to phase out coal.
11/10/2015 11:05:35	JEREMY	Yes	44c	other: The same rate consumers pay for the power they buy off the grid, including GST.	Uncertainty in the political arena about renewables. This is a long-term investment and those contemplating paying for a renewable energy source require a level of certainty in the return on their investment.	Yes		Other	This is the start of a move towards localised energy production, away from massive centralised generating stations with their huge distribution infrastructure, and risks thereto, that entails.	Other	There is a mis-match between solar energy produced and power demands, meaning the massive generating stations have to stay on line to meet base load and peak demands when solar can't produce. So in a way, the solar power the power companies have to buy isn't saving as much generating capacity or emissions as it should.	Every 10 years from when a solar generator joins the system.	There needs to be structural change to the generating and distribution network to maximise the benefits of solar. Home battery storage may be part of the solution, but it is far too expensive at the moment.
11/10/2015 11:03:53	Gillian	Yes	other: stand alone	other: whatever is fair	Installation is expensive. It needs to be worthwhile. There should be incentives.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 5 years	we need efficiency and to reduce emissions. Govt needs a plan. Begin with small rural towns and homesteads going off grid.
11/10/2015 11:03:47	Graham	Yes	other: 52c	40c-50c	We paid big money for these systems and were guaranteed a particular feed in tariff for a certain amount of time. That's the biggest issue. Thieving, lying governments that want to break contracts.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	No negative impacts. Because of residential solar there is no need to build more power stations. That would be expensive.	Occasionally	Beware to the Old Government. Any lowering of the guaranteed feed in tariff, will bring class actions. Also, you must remember we can turn it off. All at once, when its hot and everyone's aircons are on. See how the grid handles that!!
11/10/2015 11:03:37	John	Yes	44c	40c-50c	possible change in feed in tariff	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		10 years	a change in feed in tariff could result in a wide class action suit brought by solar panel owners
11/10/2015 11:03:25	Dennis	Yes	other: 52c	other: 50c adjusted to CPI	maintenance, depreciation, shading by tree growth, threat of reduced feed in tariff,	Other	Yes - In new or remote areas to save distribution costs	Other	We save them from building new power-houses. We reduce their costs in not having to upgrade their infrastructure so urgently.	Other	loss of some revenue. This will be offset by new customers with population growth.	annually in line with CPI	It is a return on our investment though probably less than most businesses once all factors are considered.
11/10/2015 11:02:32	Justin	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	PV Isolators on the roof causing fires	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		2yrs	Doesn't seem fair some users get 44cents and we only get 8 Why not set it at 20cents for everyone!

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 11:02:01	Mark	No	other: 0	20c-30c	- Unfair feed-in prices - General misinformation and untruthfulness from politicians, utilities and retailers in regards to the benefits of solar - Much too low upper limits as to what sized systems are eligible for feed in tariffs i.e. 5kW - Onerous requirements to connect to the grid, in particular along SWER lines - Lack of consultation and communication with solar power owners - Utilities still think they have a monopoly... they don't and their old business models are gone	Yes		More reliable		Other	There are no negative effects, except to those utilities and retailers that are having their old business models eroded. The Question should ask if I/you see any negative impacts in the first place and then if so, what. This is a leading Question is very unnecessary and underhanded in its presentation and leading manner...	Every 5 years	The utilities and retailers need to start working with solar power owners under new business models, otherwise they will not survive in their current format.
11/10/2015 11:01:24	Beth	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Never knowing when the government is going to reduce the tariff. I would like more solar panels.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Never	Solar power is of benefit to everyone fossil fuel should be phased out and renewables only used for power. People should be encouraged to use solar. Why are there no solar panels on all new industrial sheds. Gov should be helping with the cost of installing them. Imagine the amount of elec generated by solar panels on these roofs.
11/10/2015 11:00:46	gary	Yes	44c	other: 60	losing tariff	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		10 yrs	
11/10/2015 11:00:09	Frank	Yes	44c	0c-10c	The new charges being imposed on us?	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		Annually	
11/10/2015 11:00:02	Ken	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Being ripped of by the energy companies with base charges for electricity plus solar.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly	The 44c feed in tariff should be dropped and a fair price paid for all who have solar panels.
11/10/2015 10:59:38	Stephen	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Individual citizens are trying to do the right thing for our country and environment but the leadership should be coming from the Government	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		annually	Solar should be part of new building codes both domestic and commercial
11/10/2015 10:59:19	Ivan	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Unstable federal and state policies	No		Other	Decentralized generation reduces the need to upgrade existing infrastructure	Other	Occasional voltage increases	It should be fixed to the regulated residential daytime tariff	
11/10/2015 10:58:42	John	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	(1) The current large fixed component of the power bills we get from Power companies in our monthly bills is unfair. (2) With such a low feed-in rate (8cents) which is below even the Tariff33 rate (18.45 cents), then regrettable decisions need to be made to save household costs, such as running equipment at peak times during the day when sun is shining. But knowing that this course of action is not necessarily best for community.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		3 yearly	I feel that the solar feed in rate should be no less than the lowest rate that is charged by the power companies to households, such as the tariff33 off peak rate. This would help to encourage householders with solar systems to take more steps to even out power usage over the course of the day and shift usage to off-peak times. This would be a significant benefit to the overall community & power grid, plus it would be much fairer.
11/10/2015 10:58:19	Mary	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	The ever increasing network supply costs.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every two years	I believe the Government should be encouraging solar supply as it is clean, cheap and green.
11/10/2015 10:58:13	Nicholas	Yes	44c	30c-40c	The ever increasing feed in tariffs	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		same as the electricity prices	
11/10/2015 10:58:07	LESLIE	Yes	other: 50cents	40c-50c	cost to buy	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	NEED TO KNOW IF FEED IN TARIFFS STAY THE SAME,IF UP GRADED if you haven't a big enough system went first put in like 1.5 kw to 5 kw	C P I	WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT KEPT THE SAME FOREVER.
11/10/2015 10:58:00	Roslyn	Yes	other: 50c	40c-50c	big power companies greed for enormous profits	No		Cheaper energy		Other	none	at end of contract	Feed in prices should be automatically kept in line with the price hikes the power companies get.
11/10/2015 10:57:34	Ron	Yes	other: 10	30c-40c	The enormous daily supply fee charged. Solar owners should get a proportional drop on that rate for the power they supply back into the grid	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Less reliability without appropriate battery backup	every 6 months	As solar grows and feeds more power into the grid the price paid should rise proportionately
11/10/2015 10:57:14	Ivan	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Poor feed in tariffs eroding the business case earlier adopters made for installation, in response to government incentives. Increasing proportion of network & connection charges and risks of further increases for 'backup' grid supply when batteries are available.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Lower revenue for change lagging utilities while they adjust to new business models	as required	
11/10/2015 10:56:57	Miro	Yes	other: Off Grid	other: Same Rate as Retailers Sell power to you and me	Lack of real voice of power producers at gov level.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I don't believe taking back power to generate our own has negative consequences other than giving greedy polluting power producers a wake up call.... Is that a negative?	Just adjusted for inflation	Get real and get fair! The current situation of the monopoly power producers writing laws, prices and enforcing them is clearly not in the interests of ordinary Australians and is not sustainable to boot! There is thus no justification for their continued presence on our shores.
11/10/2015 10:56:27	Maria	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Solar owners without net feed are at a disadvantage, never having been eligible for the Queensland Government/tax-payer 44c subsidy. Origin rendered me unable to have a net system when their contractor ignored the detailed meter box plans I submitted - & their installer (ignorant of the plans) failed to inspect property before installation. Yet Origin still reduced THEIR grid feed payment to me from the pre-purchase promised 20c, to 6cents. That's my biggest personal issue & I assume others may also be similarly affected.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	That Queensland taxpayers, via the Government, are subsidising solar power grid feed payment at the massive outlay of 44c per kilowatt produced. A fair grid feed payment should be supplied by the electricity producers. Instead of Anna Bligh's introduced piracy of Queensland Government funds.	Whenever electricity prices are reviewed	Residential producers' contribution to grid feed should be recognised by electricity producers paying them a fair price - before many of them wise up and go off-grid, leaving electricity producers with less/no cheap electricity production resources
11/10/2015 10:55:58	John	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	As pensioners we paid over \$4000 to have solar put on our roof. 6 cents is hardly a reward for us as we acted for the environment and to help us live on our Veteran Affairs pension.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every four years	It seems very unfair to be charged 22.238 c/kwh and 18.872 c/kwh plus a supply charge of \$101.27 and then be paid 6c for every kwh we produce.
11/10/2015 10:55:23	David	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Maintenance costs, and uncertainty about our investment in solar.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Whenever energy prices generally are reviewed	People invested believing that the tariff was set in concrete. It is unfair to pull the rug from under them, or even talk about the possibility that it is pulled from under them.
11/10/2015 10:54:49	Bobcat	Yes	6-8c	other: fair price	As one of suppliers we have no hope of getting a fair price for power pumped into the grid, I feel that if resellers make 100% mark up on what they pay us for the power thats fair...  After all it costs them nothing for power we pump into the grid...	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Everytime the power Industry want to increase power costs...	@ the moment its a fucking rip off...
11/10/2015 10:54:16	Maureen	Yes	6-8c	30c-40c	suppliers charging very high evening rates, high administration, metre reading, very unfair to solar users.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		yearly	A fair deal please.
11/10/2015 10:54:08	Cade	Yes	44c	20c-30c	the current solar tariff offered in single supplier areas is a joke 6 - 8c, my contract for 44c should be honored until it expires, I went to the expense of installing a system on the governments promise.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	that it makes all non - solar uses believe that solar users are the only factor that increases electricity prices because that is what the government has lead them to believe?	yearly	if you have a significant system you should not have to pay for electricity at all.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 10:53:52	Gary	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Power companies basically stealing power from solar owners.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		When ever power prices are.	The cost of installing solar is significant. Solar owners expect some return for their investment just as power companies expect a return on their investments. Electricity is considered an essential service and no part of it should be in private hands. It should be wholly public as it used to be.
11/10/2015 10:53:38	Bobcat	Yes	6-8c	other: fair price	As one of suppliers we have no hope of getting a fair price for power pumped into the grid, I feel that if resellers make 100% mark up on what they pay us for the power thats fair...  After all it costs them nothing for power we pump into the grid...	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Everytime the power Industry want to increase power costs...	
11/10/2015 10:53:22	nell	Yes	44c	40c-50c	low feed-in tariffs encouraging consumers to use electricity during the day because there is no incentive to conserve power as there is no financial benefit to do so creating a longer and stronger need for generation totally having the opposite effect than what the original purpose of solar panels	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	price gouging by retailers and blaming it on the feed-in tariff	20 years	the whole system of energy supply is in the hands of shareholders and not allowing any consideration for the average consumer trying to keep up with the cost of living vagaries
11/10/2015 10:52:23	Roy	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Not get n paid enough for feed in Tarif and why should ergon be make n money out of my solar that's why I paid to get solar for myself not for ergon to make money out of my solar example I get 6cents kW which is crap the other 16 cents they make on me	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 3 years	Give us a fair go at lest 10cents a kw
11/10/2015 10:51:04	BARRY	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	A great cost and very little return.	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 3 years	The Newman Government treated solar panel owners very bad by cutting the feed in tariff .
11/10/2015 10:48:04	James	Yes	other: 4c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	How long it takes to pay back system	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	It is a rip off
11/10/2015 10:47:10	George	Yes	44c	20c-30c	All the extras on the bill \$400 plus, is a RIP OFF.	Yes		More reliable		Other	I think there is not a negative impact at all.	Five yearly	Good for the 44 cents receivers. A rip of for the rest.
11/10/2015 10:46:52	Sue	Yes	44c	other: average wholesale price plus 25% for convenience of distributed supply to retailers	Having to pay high fixed charges for being connected to the grid Getting a fair price for their surplus generation	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 yearly	
11/10/2015 10:46:44	Praveen	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Uncertainty of the FIT	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		10 years	
11/10/2015 10:46:33	Peter	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Rising costs of connections/services which Authorities use to offset the loss of revenue.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		5 years	We were encouraged to install solar for its environmental benefits and the fair price offered for generation. Surely the uptake of solar shows the Government what voters want.
11/10/2015 10:45:39	warwick	Yes	44c	40c-50c	government trying to renege on solar tariffs or create other taxes/imposts on solar owners.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 5 years	
11/10/2015 10:42:45	Michael	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Federal Government Apathy keeping their thinking in the 1900's	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	There are no negative impacts to society, only to the greed of network providers.	keep constant ratio with grid supply	I thought there was a contract to maintain the subsidy.
11/10/2015 10:41:55	Leo	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Government lying all the time	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 yearly	Show guts and stand up for the decisions made by other governments
11/10/2015 10:41:02	Jonathan	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Initial expense.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		bi-annual	
11/10/2015 10:40:39	Matt	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Storage	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		according contract	non
11/10/2015 10:40:30	Arnold	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	being penalize for having solar power, unjst price paid by power companies to home owners for solar to the grid..	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every year	Should be increased and What happens if all companies and generators are privatized?
11/10/2015 10:39:47	Paul	Yes	44c	20c-30c	It's not reasonable that newer solar owners are offered the price for their exported clean energy generated at point of consumption as the dirty electricity is generated hundreds and sometimes thousands of kilometres away from the point of consumption.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Whenever the other electricity tariffs are reviewed	I have 4 of my own properties on 44c feed in tariff. I would be willing to give all of these up if EVERYBODY could get the same for their clean solar power generated close to the point of consumption as they pay...and no need for expensive meter upgrades or complicated billing structures
11/10/2015 10:39:20	Tim	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Governments opposed to renewable energy	No		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	
11/10/2015 10:39:01	Kieren	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Getting duped out of what was promised. Why should I be penalized for having solar when it's environmentally friendly?	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	If wholesale prices go up then so should the feed in tariff to maintain equality.
11/10/2015 10:38:27	Bruce	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	fees & charges just for having solar	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	what negatives?	every time electricity prices are increased	the current FIT is theft by the electricity companies
11/10/2015 10:38:20	Liza	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	I bought my system when it was expensive to buy... I have a contract and there is no way I'd be allowed out of a contract for anything else without some compensation, so perhaps the govt should think about offering us a package to leave the system - perhaps even a battery package or a discount on a battery package... We were the first adopters of solar technology, maybe our bravery should be rewarded with a compensation package that allows us to be the first adopters of battery technology.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	None! No negative impacts I Lessens the peak load and has ENABLED (and I mean that bad way) the uptake of residential Air-con to compensate for badly designed houses and lack of personal fortitude/endurance in the population...If it wasn't for solar, with the rise of air-conditioned classrooms, shops, houses and offices - WE'D BE STUFFED!	We are solar farmers, we should eb able to get a fair price at market from providers without barriers. Annually	With the increase in population. How many power stations have we saved them building? Gotta look at the other side of the equation. This is the people taking ownership of energy, surely that is a good thing.
11/10/2015 10:37:38	Richard Bruce	Yes	44c	0c-10c	We are still years from paying off the capital investment incurred in setting up the Solar system. Otherwise it is brilliant!	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every five years	
11/10/2015 10:36:55	Graeme	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The government keep want to keep changing the goal posts	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		why should it be reviewed	
11/10/2015 10:36:44	Denis	No	44c	30c-40c	The initial cost.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Maybe every 10 years	I want to install solar, but the feed in price at the moment is rather poor.
11/10/2015 10:36:11	Rick	Yes	44c	10-20c	being penalized for putting Solar on. Extra charges and only 6.3 cents feedin tariff.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		2 yearly as technology changes rapidly in this technology	Solar generation will stabilize the grid in remote areas, this has to be good for the network.
11/10/2015 10:35:18	John	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Component failure cost	Other	Rebates should be minimal if any. Government intervention screws up the	Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Yearly	Anyone cans my 44c rebate will be in breach of contract...
11/10/2015 10:34:09	James	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	State utilities delaying meter changes, putting up roadblocks to larger systems.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		4 years	
11/10/2015 10:34:07	wayne	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Lack of commitment by the government to a country wide conversion to renewable energy.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I can not see any negative impacts.	When my 44c contract expires	
11/10/2015 10:34:03	Bill	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Enough roof space	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		3 yearly	It should remain static, stop the adjustments, stop the greed
11/10/2015 10:32:56	Tara	Yes	6-8c	40c-50c	The threat the energy poses as they attempt to destroy the solar industry and try to tax the sun.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I don't think there are any negative impacts to the grid or other consumers.Helping reduce carbon pollution helps everybody by reducing the impact of global warming.	Annually	Protect people and the planet, not the profits of big dirty business.Solar owners don't cost the energy companies any money at all, all we do is threaten their bottom line. At the end of the day their bottom line is not as important as my children's future.

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 10:32:56	Yvonne	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Being penalised for having the initiative to install in the first place, then getting very little in return for the power we supply to the grid, is annoying.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	I don't see how there are any negatives.	Every Two years	Once you have signed a contract at a feed in price this can not be lowered.
11/10/2015 10:32:23	Edward	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Being scammed by the previous government into thinking that putting panels on my roof would be a huge benefit to me and also help the environment and then being virtually told that I was now ripping of the general population by using solar.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		yearly	Just be fair with the FIT, that is all I ask, stop the BS about using resources that are already in place.
11/10/2015 10:31:44	Kevin	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Stigmatisation by government. Double dipping by electricity providers. Continual reduction in benefits of buying solar through rising connection fees and reducing feed in tariffs. Rumoured threats to force off-grid solar storage owners to still pay connection fees similar to local government service charges. Not having a say in government policy development.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		Annually	Disgraceful level of disparity between early and later adopters feed in tariffs. I had to move town because of my work and after installing solar on my new house I am now on the lowest feed in tariff simply because of my work situation. If the government really believes in man made climate change, solar is a very simple and effective way of showing it. If there continues to be reduced benefit to solar owners the uptake of new systems will fall away any climate benefit will be lost. This is Direct Action in its best form so government should be doing everything to encourage it. There won't be too many opportunities to effectively work on climate change where property owners are willing to outlay two thirds of the cost of up front. Support it. Don't hinder it.
11/10/2015 10:30:58	Robert	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Solar Owners are being penalized. Feed in Tariff gradually being reduced and network charges increasing. No choice of Electricity supplier in Regional QLD	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		Annually	6.3 cents is way too low and 44 cents is way too high! Govt should do something about reducing the ridiculous 44 cent tariff and making a fairer tariff for all, say 20 to 30 cents
11/10/2015 10:29:44	Anne-maree	Yes	44c	40c-50c	After having made a significant investment solar owners face being penalised for attempting to do the 'right' thing, as encouraged by the government. Solar owners should be supported, not punished.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 5 years	
11/10/2015 10:29:42	Amy	Yes	44c	other: parity	The price gouging on daily service charges eroding any benefit of solar power	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	There are no negative impacts of solar power.	It should remain at parity with the price consumers pay for their electricity from the grid and mimic any movements this price makes.	It is pointless to discuss a feed in tariff when Energex and Ergon are forcing so many systems to be 0 export. All installed solar should be allowed to feed excess power back to the grid. 0 export systems should be abolished.
11/10/2015 10:29:24	Russell	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Recouping initial costs	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 3 Years	Governments should not keep changing the position of the goalpost and should honour their promises
11/10/2015 10:28:18	Anthea	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	The uncertainty in feed-in tariffs and negative attitude towards solar by suppliers and government.	Yes		Other	Far cheaper network costs, as coal-fired power stations won't be necessary. Some households may become self-sufficient via battery storage.	Other	The cost associated with installing solar (and acquiring batteries in the future).	every 2 years	
11/10/2015 10:27:13	Stuart	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Unpredictability of the regulatory environment, given most people have committed in excess of \$5,000 to their systems with a long term view of minimizing energy costs	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 3-4 years	Feed in should be paid out of investments that the generators/distributors no longer have to make in improving the network/making it more resilient. Battery storage will be another infrastructure killer if the generators and distributors don't get in front of it.
11/10/2015 10:27:06	Robert	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	write down of equipment, insurance and hailstorms. Limitation of KW. supplied by input box. Lack of storage	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		when wholesale prices change	
11/10/2015 10:25:40	Darrel	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	feed-in tariff not keeping up with rising electricity prices	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		annually	
11/10/2015 10:25:34	Nathan	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The Government not supporting the plans they made in the past when it suited them	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every time the retail price is reviewed	For once leave it in the consumers favour.
11/10/2015 10:25:29	Sean	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The fact that we don't have smart meters and that we only get a 'net' payment	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		The same as electricity prices are reviewed with a view to keep step	
11/10/2015 10:24:43	monty	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Low feed in rates that do not make it viable to invest in to help our country	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 6 months	I think that the government should be more realistic about pricing and to stop ripping off the people that invest in solar to try to help our country
11/10/2015 10:24:15	Nicola	Yes	44c	10-20c	The ever increasing access charge.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		the price agreed on should not be changed within the agreement time.	Its good how it is and its the only way I can pay off my system. If it goes down it will take years.
11/10/2015 10:23:54	Bruce	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Installing reliable and relatively inexpensive battery back-up.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		5 years	
11/10/2015 10:23:29	Robert	Yes	44c	other: market+50%	Vested interests corporate profits. They are going to fade through disruption, just a question of when. Governments propping them up is really bad policy.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	Corporate greed manipulating and misrepresenting; excessive investment in infrastructure; leads to excessive cost to all through inflated Supply charges.	Two or three years. Need long term stability.	Carbon pricing will make it all a no brainer. Bring back carbon pricing.
11/10/2015 10:23:08	Quentin	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Those that invest in solar are reducing the capital expenses of the energy wholesalers, we should be adequately rewarded.	Yes		Other	Cheaper energy costs, which gives more funds to spend through the rest of the economy. Less capital expenses on power generation.	Less reliable		Biannually	
11/10/2015 10:23:07	James	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Government policy changes to FIT. Can't ensure reliable payback calculations	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		36 months	Remove the dreaded 44c scheme which was a disaster and is costing everyone else. Implement one tariff for all solar 1:1. Export 1kwh offset 1kwh import. Customer can only reduce bill to zero. No rebates/paybacks/profits.
11/10/2015 10:22:53	Helen	Yes	44c	40c-50c	up front cost, not understanding the process, unscrupulous installers, being 'locked in' to one energy provider because if you change, you lose your feed-in tariff.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		unsure	Stop 'penalising' those who have solar feed-in and using rhetoric which makes them out to be criminals, or people who are receiving unfair benefits, or people who are 'orting' the system. Those who have solar feed-in want to protect the planet, are making an environmentally good decision and they don't deserve to be treated or spoken about as if they are not pulling their weight, or they are forcing other householders 'subsidise' their energy.
11/10/2015 10:22:35	tony	Yes	44c	40c-50c	recovery of capital investment maintenance costs of panels inverters over time.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	no negative impacts	biannually	It is nowhere near the price that producers receive at peak demand times i.e around \$12 kwh

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 10:21:49	Leslie	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	low feed in tariffs and up front costs.	Yes		Cheaper energy	Yes	Less reliable		2 years	removing the 8c tariff lost the LNP government for sure. Replacing it will be a political winner. Solar owners resent their excess power being fed to the grid for a pathetic 6c/KWH but then get slugged 30c/KWH when billed.
11/10/2015 10:21:38	Phil	Yes	44c	other: same rate you buy it for	While I can offset my usage with my solar generation, energy providers are increasing the supply fees at astronomical rates. I only installed a moderate 3kw system with a reasonable projected investment term, and now the term is increasing. While trying to do my part for the environment and reduce my carbon footprint, everyone is being penalised. This type of consequence strengthens my will towards investing in battery solutions and an increased system size to minimise my grid usage all together. As a middle income worker with a young family, I need to count on bills being steady, without uncertainty of sharp rises from greedy companies wanting to please their shareholders. We need a government owned power company to keep the competition honest. Okay, rant over :-)	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 2 years	
11/10/2015 10:20:58	Pamela	Yes	44c	40c-50c	That governments don't honour previous government promises and promote solar and other alternative energy sources.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every five years	The initial investment was based on environmental reasons because the price to set up solar would not be recovered for decades. However, the bonus is the low electricity bill because of the .44cent input to the grid. Upping the input price would ensure more people go to renewable energy and this is a good result considering that we are a privileged nation.
11/10/2015 10:19:47	JIM	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	feed in tariff of 8cents but being charged a lot more for the electricity I buy	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		every 12 months	the Newman govt scrapped the 8cents rebate. Lets hope this present govt can do something positive!!
11/10/2015 10:19:45	Ian	Yes	44c	40c-50c	We contracted with government until 2018 no changes will be accepted	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		as contract	Examine excessive charges from suppliers to allow them to make excessive profits and pay exorbitant salaries
11/10/2015 10:19:29	Geoffrey	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Uncertainty with feed in tariffs; Disparity between feed in tariffs; Demonisation of haves and have nots; Lack of incentives to expand solar for all; Lack of incentives to use back-up power systems such as Li-ion batteries.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	None	Yearly	At our own expense, we have become electricity providers, and we are not compensated for as service that ultimately will become the norm!
11/10/2015 10:19:24	keith	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The large energy companies delaying all modern development and recognising the true value of Solar/Wind/Battery power.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	none as this forges a trend towards decentralisation of the grid, making energy companies get their act together on clean renewable energy.	every 12 months	I generated 464 kWh of Solar, I used 443 kWh of peak usage as well as a green power charge yet I paid them \$204.00 this is clearly wrong.
11/10/2015 10:19:23	Ben	Yes	6-8c	other: The same rate we pay for it!!!	Being ripped off for the price we receive for our feed back power. I don't want to make a fortune but wish that it is made fairer. Make the rate the same as the rate we pay	Other	I don't think so if you want to fit the batteries you need to fund these your self. This way there is no gov. in your pocket and we are not in need of the Gov.	Cheaper energy		Other	what is the drama We still pay our poles and wires fees (Even though we don't have poles!!!!)	Every time they review the energy price	The Gov. says that the cost of feed in power is prohibitive. It started off at .44c but now we are down to .06c. I have read some where that every month there are roughly 800 to 1000 homes Qld wide with a feed in price of .44c being sold or rented. When these house are sold or rented the new owners or tenants only get the feed in price of .06c. I read this 2 years ago so I can only assume that there has been somewhere between 1600 and 2000 homes changed hands or rented. So the amount of houses with .44c feed in rate must be reducing thus reducing the cost to the Gov. The Gov. must be making more money getting our feed in rate at .06c and selling it for a minimum of .26c a tidy profit of .20c perKw not bad if you can get it!!! Can someone find this out please. You have my email address can I have some feed back please. Cheers Ben
11/10/2015 10:18:59	George	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	the extra rates the supplier charges as a punishment for having solar. I only get 4cents feed in	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	I fail to see a negative	annually in case of changes	Get it right and watch the public install it
11/10/2015 10:18:36	Jennifer	Yes	44c	40c-50c	The incessant changing of the rules. The solar industry needs stability, fairness and to stop this desire by electricity companies whose only desire is greater and greater profits, to stay out of it.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Solar has no negative impacts on the electricity grid or other consumers	Never	
11/10/2015 10:18:22	temp	Yes	44c	40c-50c	government	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		each price rise	
11/10/2015 10:18:05	Paul	Yes	6-8c	10-20c	Cost of installation, current feed in tariffs, no cheap battery options	Yes		Other	All of the above	Other	No negative impacts as long as its managed properly	Depending on market conditions and nwe technology available, every 3 years with triggers that can allow shorter periods if required	8c is no where near fair, battery storage must be made cheap and readily available
11/10/2015 10:17:14	Bernard	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Increasing service charges and reduced charge per kWh of discouraging people from using clean energy. This means installing solar has less effect on the overall bill but energy cost to customers each month is the same	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	There are no negatives, If the same investment went into RE as fossil fuels there would be no comparison	ever 5 years	Reduce the service charge and reflect actual costs in peoples usage.
11/10/2015 10:17:03	Michael	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Government supporting existing fossil fuel based market setup.	Other	They must not impede but at same time let natural market forces play out.	Environmental benefits		Other	None - Solar will reduce costs overall by use of distributed generation and zero fossil fuel consumption.	It should track wholesale price	
11/10/2015 10:17:02	David	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Companies adjusting the daily connection rate to compensate them for whatever feed-in rate forced on them by Govt regulations	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	maintaining a network than delivers less Kwh and receives more kwh	annually	well its set too low at present 6c per kwh whilst paying approx 22c per kwh for power. This situation makes storage systems attractive
11/10/2015 10:16:22	Chris	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	As a carer for my disabled wife it was a major financial decision to take up the 44c tariff, we dipped into to superannuation we have to fund a 5kW system and solar hot water. The deal was that this tariff would continue to 2028. If this is changed it is a huge breach of faith with the electorate.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		The original 44c should not be touched. Other than that every five years.	Why do the energy generators and suppliers feel they need to make such enormous profits?

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 10:16:19	Elizabeth	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	We are currently being taxed rather than rewarded for reducing our carbon emissions.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Every time energy prices are changed, feed in prices should also be reviewed.	Feed in tariffs should be in line with what we are charged for electricity. Residential solar should be seen as away to help Australia's energy needs not as a problem for the profits of electricity companies.
11/10/2015 10:15:50	David	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	The aggressive, anti-competitive marginalisation by the power companies in a sustained effort to protect the huge profits they have been gouging from the Queensland public for the last decade. Power, like water and health care is a basic need and requirement for a civilised society and too important to be left in the hands of corporations - who's objective is only profit - not fairness or the public well being.	Yes		Other	Cheaper, safer, better for our state, country and planet and allows the public to be responsible for their own energy generation. It also creates an entirely new service industry for ongoing maintenance and upgrades of solar installations.	Other	There will be some transitional issues - those that can afford solar and those that need help. Government incentives - like the Labour schemes that kicked off this solar revolution - will help and encourage the transition to a new, cheaper, cleaner energy society.	Every 5 years	Solar energy generators should not be treated as pariahs but as contributors. Only the voices of the power companies and their ridiculous demands SEEM to be heard by our publicly elected politicians. Why is that??
11/10/2015 10:14:15	Geoffrey	Yes	44c	other: The same tariff 11 rate as is charged fluctuating the same way.	Uncertainty. Also feed in tariff changes on change of ownership	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	Less revenue and underutilisation of assets and less ability to fund government coffers.	Set it at same price as tariff 11	All of this will be exacerbated as battery storage develops. Grid structure and operation will have to be changed. The insertion of private retailers was a big mistake; get rid of them.
11/10/2015 10:13:46	Ian	No	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	My largest concern is that by having a low feed-in tariff and still raising the line servicing charge, citizens are now discouraged from installing solar. It is now more expensive to purchase a reasonable solar installation, and feed-in your excess, than it is to solely use electricity from fossil fuels. It is also more expensive to purchase your own solar system and feed-in than it is to buy wholesale green electricity (solar in QLD).  This punishment needs to stop. Those who wish to install solar of their own should be no worse off than other electricity customers, and ideally would be rewarded for their green investment. Solar installed on residential premises should be encouraged for its green benefits, and the massive assistance it provides to our local economy.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Yearly, or at least as often as wholesale electricity tariffs change and wholesale line servicing charges change	
11/10/2015 10:13:32	Mark	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Forced to buy at the high price forced to sell at the low price.  Lack of battery	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	I dont see negatives	Annual	Export should be worth as much as purchase
11/10/2015 10:13:13	Julian	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	The rising cost of poles and wires, pushing up the supply charge.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Annually	
11/10/2015 10:12:07	Colin	Yes	44c	30c-40c	Getting a return on your investment in a reasonable time ie. 4 years	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		3-4 years	
11/10/2015 10:11:40	Anthony	Yes	44c	30c-40c	The increase in fixed costs which are unavoidable, at present, are penalising consumers who are trying to reduce energy costs by being energy efficient and reducing environmental harm	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Never	The problem is the Qld government reviewing itself as the only energy generator in the state supplying energy to retailers. The government is biased by its own self-interest.
11/10/2015 10:11:39	Bob	Yes	44c	30c-40c	negative press from the Power companies & media lack of commitment from the Government towards Renewable Energy	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 5 years	I deserve to be paid a fair amount for the energy I put back into the grid.
11/10/2015 10:11:35	Jonathan	Yes	6-8c	other: The price charged for grid-supplied electricity, uplifted by the inclusion of fixed charges.	Increasing fixed charges designed to sabotage solar rollout. Huge difference between price charged for grid power and feed-in tariff paid for solar power. Politicians in the pockets of fossil fuel-based electricity companies.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Other	None - experts interviewed on Radio National have consistently stated that the national grid is coping perfectly well with the feeding in of photovoltaic energy.	Biennially	The current price in Queensland is a corrupt joke.
11/10/2015 10:10:47	Patrice	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Solar companies going out of business with your warranty.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		2 years	no comment
11/10/2015 10:10:31	Robin	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Low price for solar - it's an insult not a price	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually	An insult and disincentive with political and environmental consequences
11/10/2015 10:10:24	Mark	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	lack of choice + cost of batteries.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		annually	if it doesnt improve, ill save harder for batteries.
11/10/2015 10:09:08	Michael	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Uncertainty about government changes	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 yearly	
11/10/2015 10:08:58	Jennifer	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Gold plating the network and driving up connect charges. This is sheer stupidity which will lead to a death spiral of the power industry as consumers install batteries and go off grid thus escalating prices more and driving more off the grid and on it goes	Yes		Cheaper energy		Other	The survey does not allow for no negative impacts and is thus a loaded question. The impact of solar is substantially positive in reducing GHG emissions and driving down the wholesale price of power during air conditioning driven summer peaks. It reduces profits for generators but that is not a problem for consumers.	Every time electricity charges are reviewed.	Queensland should move to a gross feedin tariff as long as it pays a premium above retail costs and based on this basis pay consumers for both rooftop solar on their own premises and for shares in cooperatively owned solar or wind installations. This would mean that solar would also be available to people who can't install rooftop solar such as renters and/or high rise residents.
11/10/2015 10:08:30	Leanne	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Being able to realise savings that enable the solar system to be paid for in a short enough period of time to make the initial cost viable.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Less reliable		every 5 years	Solar feed in price should be transferrable when moving to a new principal place of residence.
11/10/2015 10:08:14	Kate	Yes	44c	20c-30c	Increase in fixed charges	No		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	
11/10/2015 10:08:01	Jeff	Yes	44c	20c-30c	High grid voltage	Yes		More reliable		Added expense		5 years	A one for one scheme with no payouts is the fairest system
11/10/2015 10:07:58	Kath	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Initial set up expense/maintenance.Storage of own energy would be good.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Every 5. Years	Energy companies are interested only in profit .....solar users are thinking more of the future whilst still retaining a greener/cleaner way of producing energy from the sun and they should be adequately rewarded by a decent feed in tariff.
11/10/2015 10:07:35	Kieran	Yes	44c	40c-50c	I invested in solar because I am helping the environment and it made economic sense at 44c. I also invested in batteries - one to reduce my carbon footprint even further (use my solar energy at night) but also to give me power reliability (something Energex can't do) as I have power for our server, our security system, effluent plant, etc when the grid is down. Reducing the feed-in tariff will increase my pay-back period.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years due to technological changes	We live in a sun-drenched country. The feed-in price should encourage all consumers to go solar. Wouldn't it be wonderful if the electricity distributors could source all of their electricity from solar producers like me and we could shut down our power stations and not have the need to build nuclear power stations.
11/10/2015 10:07:26	Benjamin	Yes	44c	other: Retail T11 rate	Discrimination by non solar owners and higher fixed network charges	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3 yearly	

Date	First Name	Qu. 1 Do you have solar on your roof?	Qu. 2 What feed-in tariff are you currently on?	Qu. 3 What do you think a fair price for solar power is?	Qu. 4 What are the biggest issues facing solar owners?	Qu. 5 Do you think the Queensland Government should support the initial roll out of battery storage as they have supported solar?	Please specify	Qu. 6 What do you see are the major benefits of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 7 What do you see are the major negative impacts of residential solar to the Queensland electricity grid including other consumers?	Please specify	Qu. 8 How often do you think the solar feed-in price should be reviewed?	Qu. 9 What other comments do you have to make about Queensland's solar feed-in price?
11/10/2015 10:05:44	Wolfgang	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Generator representation at the Australian Energy Regulator. Historic Energy Distribution Grid paradigm that must migrate to Energy Sharing Grid paradigm. Government acknowledgement of Core Infrastructure Contributors.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		10 years	Feed in should obviously be at wholesale cost parity. It is the connection fee rorting that is causing greatest concern. It is driving consumers to go off-grid instead of Battery/Grid Hybrid.
11/10/2015 10:05:25	Bruce	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Uncertainty from changing Government policy.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Five years	Disappointed after investing \$14k in a Solar System to be confronted by continual uncertainty from State Governments.
11/10/2015 10:04:29	Anna	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Increasing daily supply charge that have doubled in the last 12 mths. Plus, a ridiculously low feed in tariff.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Yearly	A review is long overdue and would be extremely welcomed
11/10/2015 10:04:25	Pat	Yes	44c	40c-50c	No rebates and electricity companies increasing their service fees. Plus we need cheaper batteries to go stand alone	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		As needed	Get cheaper batteries
11/10/2015 10:04:16	Qamar	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Equipment failures - inverters are expensive! If solar owners are bearing the costs they should be fairly compensated for the electricity they produce.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		Whenever electricity prices are reviewed	It's critical to get this right - we need to have a fair price so that there is encouragement for people to go to solar, to help protect the environment, but now that systems are in place I think it should be paid by the electricity company and not the responsibility of the government.
11/10/2015 10:03:10	Anthony	No	Don't know	40c-50c	Governments that only act in the interest of Big Business and don't care about the environment	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		3 monthly	
11/10/2015 10:03:04	Luke	No	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Rules always changing. Uncertainty.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Every 6 years	We should be leading the world with solar tech. We are the Sunshine State after all!
11/10/2015 10:02:59	Ken	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Poor political will	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		5 years	
11/10/2015 10:02:50	Rosa	Yes	44c	40c-50c	It just isn't worth doing solar when the power companies are getting it for almost nothing and the owners are still left with a hefty bill. What's the point?	Yes		Environmental benefits		Not as safe		Yearly	
11/10/2015 10:02:04	Grant	No	44c	20c-30c	having to also pay the immovable electricity costs associated with also have electricity. We can always reduce our electricity usage at home, but costs keep rising/	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		every 10 years	
11/10/2015 10:00:46	Tim	No	6-8c	30c-40c	Buyback or Storage prices	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Annually	Far too low, this is an unfair approach
11/10/2015 10:00:06	Tony	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Increasing infrastructure costs and the fact that coal fired power is so heavily subsidized when that money could be invested into renewable generation and STORAGE that will actually deliver Queenslanders and the Old government a much higher and predictable rate of return.	Yes		More reliable		Added expense		Annually but applied only to those agreements that are on say a 8 or 10 year anniversary of installation given that it is the owner who took the risk and made a commitment to do the right thing.	If you look at those countries that support a proper feed in tariff (usually paid as a gross feed in), they have accelerated to renewable generation and storage which has had a significant positive impact to the overall economy. We should be doing the same. This is a faster way out of debt, Queensland!
11/10/2015 10:00:05	Andrew	Yes	44c	other: rate at which I am charged	the biggest issues are the high cost of getting into solar, then no payback if the system is installed. with out the 44 cent feed in tariff.	No		Other	a lower peak demand required on the whole system. When the sun is blazing and demand goes up so does the supply from solar.	Added expense		It should be adjusted each time the cost changes	the solar feed in price should equal what the electricity company charges its customers.
11/10/2015 9:59:59	Neal	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Moves towards fixed electricity infrastructure charges as a means of extracting additional monies from solar owners	No		Environmental benefits		Added expense		Never - fixed price based on wholesale market	
11/10/2015 9:58:42	James	Yes	6-8c	20c-30c	Generating lots of power during the day but still getting charged because we have to use electricity in the evening and getting slugged a much higher tariff	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		Two to three years	
11/10/2015 9:58:39	Mike	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	lack of government direction and too many cowboys in the industry	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		annually	
11/10/2015 9:57:50	Leighton	Yes	6-8c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Constantly changing tariffs, daily supply charges, subsidies. The payback calculations you make on investment decision should not be subject to constant and inconsistent changes.	Yes		Other	Saves on the need to construct new coal fired energy plants.	Other	Perception issues. Particularly for those without solar that see solar home owners as contributing to the rising costs they can't avoid.	Annually.	44c was way too much. 8c is way too little. A sensible common ground needs to be reached (and not offset by increased daily supply charges).
11/10/2015 9:57:47	Daryl	No	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Security of they're investment in solar power	Yes		Environmental benefits		Less reliable		2 years	
11/10/2015 9:57:28	Rowland	No	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	Confusion on what is the tariff. Anger at companies raising their prices when people purchase solar systems.	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		3 years	I think that by purchasing these solar panels we are on the verge of disrupting a 100+ year old industry.  I know it's scare looking at a new paradigm, but that was the same issue when electricity was first rolled out.  It reminds me of an old saying: When you move forward, the way will open
11/10/2015 9:56:20	Gavin	Yes	44c	40c-50c	Cost of going off grid	Yes		Cheaper energy		Added expense		7 years	
11/10/2015 9:56:07	Barbara	Yes	44c	The same rate that retailers buy electricity on the wholesale market	We now have electricity bills while at the same time we're providing power to power companies to sell & make a profit on.	Yes		Environmental benefits		Added expense		It should be linked.	Solar energy is clearly the way forward for Australia. We should be ahead of the game, not lagging.